

The Topological Bi-complex valued metric space

Rabaa Almaitah

Department of Mathematics and Statistics,

Faculty of Science - Mutah University,

Al-Karak, P.O.Box 7, Jordan

Email: rabaamaita@mutah.edu.jo

Abstract

The separation axioms are examined within the context of bi-complex valued metric spaces. Additionally, the concepts of first countability, second countability, and separability are explored in detail for these spaces. Furthermore, compactness and Para compactness are analyzed alongside a specific example to illustrate these properties effectively.

Math. Subject Classification: 54D10 , 54D15, 32C15

Key Words and Phrases: Bi-complex metric space, Separation Axioms, Compactness, Paracompact, Separable, First countable, Second countable.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Choi J. et al., in their work referenced as [3], extended the concept of complex-valued metric spaces by introducing the notion of bi-complex-valued metric spaces. Within this framework, they established several sufficient conditions that guarantee the existence

of common fixed points for pairs of mappings that adhere to contraction conditions. Their interest stemmed from the fact that bi-complex numbers serve as a commutative substitute for the non-commutative algebra of quaternion division, since both are four-dimensional in the real numbers.

Furthermore, in many ways, those numbers are a more refined generalization of complex numbers than quaternions are. Of course, the ring of bi-complex numbers is not a field due to the presence of zero-divisors which make such structure impossible does limit gain in commutativity. Still, it would be reasonable to assume that bi-complex numbers would work well as scalar quantities in function theory and functional analysis, providing at the very least a rough substitute to quaternions (see [2]). The most complete treatment of analysis in bi-complex form is G.B. Price's book [7] where he studied these bi-complex numbers in greater detail, especially the functions defined on the ring of bi-complex numbers which behave like holomorphic functions of a complex variable.

Although the algebra of bi-complex numbers is a four-dimensional real algebra, a more useful perspective is that it serves as a "complexification" of the complex number field. From this angle, one may think of bi-complex algebra as possessing the attributes of a one-dimensional theory situated within four real dimensions. Following that, some papers focused on certain results concepts of bi-complex spaces (For more details see [1] and [4]).

Bi-complex numbers is denoted by:

$$\mathfrak{BC} = \{\zeta : \zeta = \eta + jv \text{ where } \eta = \eta_1 + i\eta_2 \text{ and } v = v_1 + i v_2 \in \mathbb{C}\}$$

which is a generalization of complex numbers. Also, bi-complex number ζ is written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta &= \eta_1 + i\eta_2 + j(v_1 + i v_2) = \eta_1 + i\eta_2 + j v_1 + ji v_2 \\ &= \eta_1 + i\eta_2 + j v_1 + k v_2 \end{aligned}$$

where i , j and k satisfies $i^2 = j^2 = -1$, $k^2 = 1$, $ij = ji = k$ and $\omega_1, \omega_2, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

For each $\zeta \in \mathfrak{BC}$ the norm is defined by

$$\|\zeta\| = \sqrt{\eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2 + v_1^2 + v_2^2}$$

This norm satisfies the usual properties of a norm: non-negativity, positive definiteness homogeneity, and triangle inequality.

Definition 1.1. [3] For any $\xi = \xi_1 + j \xi_2 \in \mathfrak{BC}$ and $\omega = \omega_1 + j \omega_2 \in \mathfrak{BC}$. Define a partial order relation $\preceq_k$ on $\mathfrak{BC}$ as follows:

$$\xi \preceq_k \omega \iff \xi_1 \preceq_i \omega_1 \text{ and } \xi_2 \preceq_i \omega_2,$$

i.e., $\xi \preceq_{ij} \omega$ if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (i) $\xi_1 = \omega_1$ and, $\xi_2 = \omega_2$
- (ii) $\xi_1 \prec_i \omega_1$ and $\xi_2 = \omega_2$,
- (iii) $\xi_1 = \omega_1$ and $\xi_2 \prec_i \omega_2$
- (iv) $\xi_1 \prec_i \omega_1$ and $\xi_2 \prec_i \omega_2$

We can write $\xi \preceq_{ij} \omega$ if $\xi \preceq_{ij} \omega$ and $\xi \neq \omega$, i.e., one of (ii), (iii) and (iv) is satisfied, and we will write $\xi \prec_k \omega$ if only (iv) is satisfied.

Remark. For any two bi-complex numbers $\xi, \omega \in \mathfrak{BC}$, one can easily verify the following:

- (i) If $0 \preceq_{ij} \xi \preceq_{ij} \omega$, then $\|\xi\| \leq \|\omega\|$
- (ii) $\|\xi + \omega\| \leq \|\xi\| + \|\omega\|$
- (iii) $\|\alpha \xi\| \leq \alpha \|\xi\|$, where α is a non-negative real number.
(see [3], for more details).

Definition 1.2. [2] Let Υ be a nonempty set. Suppose the mapping $\mathfrak{H} : \Upsilon \times \Upsilon \rightarrow \mathfrak{BC}$ satisfies the following conditions for all $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{s} \in \Upsilon$

- (i) $\mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}) \preceq_{ij} 0$

$$(ii) \quad \mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}) = 0 \text{ if and only if } \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}$$

$$(iii) \quad \mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{p})$$

$$(iv) \quad \mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}) \preceq_{ij} \mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{s}) + \mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{q})$$

Then bi-complex valued metric space is denoted by $(\Upsilon, \mathfrak{H})$, or more simply by Υ . Sometimes metric space $(\Upsilon, \mathfrak{H})$ together with a partial order relation $\preceq_k$ on Υ is called partially ordered bi-complex valued metric space.

Example 1.1. Let $\Upsilon = \mathbb{R}$ together with the mapping $\mathfrak{H}_i \Upsilon \times \Upsilon \rightarrow \mathfrak{BC}, i = 1, 2$, for every $x, y \in \Upsilon$ be defined by

$$1. \quad \mathfrak{H}_1(x, y) = (i + j + K)|x - y|$$

$$2. \quad \mathfrak{H}_2(x, y) = k|x - y|.$$

Thus $\Upsilon_{\mathfrak{H}_1}$ and $\Upsilon_{\mathfrak{H}_2}$ are a bi-complex valued metric spaces.

Definition 1.3. [2] Let $\{\zeta_n\}$ be a sequence in a bicomplex-valued metric space $(\Upsilon, \mathfrak{H})$, then

- (i) A sequence $\{\zeta_n\}$ converges to $\zeta_0 \in \Upsilon$ if and only if for any $0 \preceq_{i_2} \varepsilon \in \mathfrak{BC}$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}_\varepsilon$ such that $\mathfrak{H}(\zeta_n, \zeta_0) \prec_{ij} \varepsilon$, for all $n > N$. It is denoted by $\zeta_n \rightarrow \zeta$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ or $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \zeta_n = \zeta$.
- (ii) A sequence $\{\zeta\}$ is Cauchy sequence if and only if for any $0 \prec_{ij} \varepsilon \in \mathbb{BC}$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}_\varepsilon$ such that $\mathfrak{H}(\zeta_n, \zeta_m) \prec_{ij} \varepsilon$, for all $n, m > N$.
- (iii) $(\Upsilon, \mathfrak{H})$ is said to be complete bi-complex valued metric space if and only if every Cauchy sequence converges in Υ .

Moving forward, we will revisit some lemmas that are essential to our discussion.

Lemma 1.1. [2] Let $(\Upsilon, \mathfrak{H})$ be a bi complex valued metric space and $\{\zeta_n\}$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{BC}$. Then $\{\zeta_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence if and only if $\|\mathfrak{H}(\zeta_n, \zeta_{n+m})\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 1.2. [2] *Let $(Y, \mathfrak{H})$ be a bi-complex valued metric space and $\{\zeta_n\}$ be a sequence in Y . Then $\{\zeta_n\}$ converges to $\zeta \in Y, \iff \|\mathfrak{H}(\zeta_n, \zeta)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Lemma 1.3. [2] *Let $(Y, \mathfrak{H})$ be a bi-complex valued metric space and $\{\zeta_n\}$ be a sequence in Y such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_n = a$. Then for any $a \in X$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathfrak{H}(\zeta_n, a)\| = \|\mathfrak{H}(\zeta, a)\|$.*

2 The main results

To demonstrate that a bi-complex-valued metric space generates a topology, it is essential to formally define the concept of open sets in relation to the given metric $\mathfrak{H}$. Subsequently, one must rigorously verify that these open sets adhere to the fundamental axioms required to establish a topological structure. Define open ball centered at $\mathfrak{p} \in Y$ with radius $r \in \mathfrak{BC}$ as

$$\wp(\mathfrak{p}, r) = \{\mathfrak{q} \in Y : \mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}) \prec_k r \text{ and } \|\mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q})\| < \|r\|\}$$

To define the topological bi-complex valued metric space $\mathfrak{J}$, let $\mathfrak{J}$ be the collection of open sets of Y for which $\mathfrak{U} \in \mathfrak{J}$ iff for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{U}$, there exists $r \succ_k 0$ such that $\wp(\mathfrak{p}, r) \subseteq \mathfrak{U}$ i.e. $\mathfrak{J}$ consists of all unions of open balls, the empty set ϕ , $Y \in \mathfrak{J}$ since they are expressed in the form of unions of open balls. Also, if $\mathfrak{U}_i$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ is a collection of open sets then $\cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{U}_i$ is again open i.e. by the definitions of open sets as unions of open balls. Moreover, if $\mathfrak{U}_1, \mathfrak{U}_2 \in \mathfrak{J}$ then $\mathfrak{U}_1 \cup \mathfrak{U}_2 \in \mathfrak{J}$, since for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{U}_1 \cup \mathfrak{U}_2$ there exists $r_1, r_2 \succ_k 0$ such that $\wp(\mathfrak{p}, r_1) \subseteq \mathfrak{U}_1$ and $\wp(\mathfrak{p}, r_2) \subseteq \mathfrak{U}_2$ by taking $r = \min\{r_1, r_2\}$ so that $\wp(\mathfrak{p}, r) \subseteq \mathfrak{U}_1 \cup \mathfrak{U}_2$. So that the axioms of topology $\mathfrak{J}$ are satisfied.

Theorem 2.1. *Every bi-complex valued metric space $(Y, \mathfrak{H})$ is T_2 (Hausdorff) space.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}$ be two distinct points in Y . For a given point $\mathfrak{p}$, define the open ball centered at $\mathfrak{p}$ with radius $r \in \mathbb{BC}$ as:

$$\wp(\mathfrak{p}, r) = \{t \in Y : \mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{p}, t) \prec_k r\}.$$

Also, for $q \in \Upsilon$ with radius $a \in \mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}$ define the open ball

$$\wp(q, a) = \{ t \in \Upsilon : \mathfrak{H}(q, t) \prec_k a \}$$

If there exists a point $s \in \wp(p, r) \cap \wp(q, a)$, then $\mathfrak{H}(p, s) \prec_k r$ and $\mathfrak{H}(q, s) \prec_k a$. So, by triangle inequality we have

$$\mathfrak{H}(p, q) \preceq_k \mathfrak{H}(p, s) + \mathfrak{H}(s, q) \prec_k r + a$$

But by our selection of r and a , we have

$$r + a \prec_k c = \mathfrak{H}(p, q)$$

which leads us to a contradiction, since $\mathfrak{H}(p, q) \prec_k \mathfrak{H}(p, q)$. $\square$

Theorem 2.2. *Every bi-complex valued metric space $(\Upsilon, \mathfrak{H})$ is T_4 space.*

Proof. To prove the normality of Υ . Let $\mathfrak{S}_1$ and $\mathfrak{S}_2$ be two closed disjoint sets in Υ . For each $v \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ and $u \in \mathfrak{S}_2$, there exists $\lambda_v \succ_k 0$ and $\lambda_u \succ_k 0$ respectively, such that $\mathfrak{V}(v, \lambda_v) \cap \mathfrak{S}_2 = \emptyset$ (since $\mathfrak{S}_2$ is closed, $v \notin \mathfrak{S}_2$) and $\mathfrak{V}(u, \lambda_u) \cap \mathfrak{S}_1 = \emptyset$ (since $\mathfrak{S}_1$ is closed, $u \notin \mathfrak{S}_1$).

Define the two open sets: $\Theta_1 = \bigcup_{v \in \mathfrak{S}_1} \mathfrak{V}(v, \frac{\lambda_v}{2})$ and $\Theta_2 = \bigcup_{u \in \mathfrak{S}_2} \mathfrak{V}(u, \frac{\lambda_u}{2})$

To prove that Θ_1 and Θ_2 are two disjoint sets:

let $y \in \Theta_1 \cap \Theta_2$ then there exist $v \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ and $u \in \mathfrak{S}_2$ such that $y \in \mathfrak{V}(v, \frac{\lambda_v}{2})$ and $y \in \mathfrak{V}(u, \frac{\lambda_u}{2})$. Then $\mathfrak{H}(v, y) \prec_k \frac{\lambda_v}{2}$ and $\mathfrak{H}(u, y) \prec_k \frac{\lambda_u}{2}$, Which implies

$$\mathfrak{H}(u, v) \prec_k \mathfrak{H}(v, y) + \mathfrak{H}(y, u) \prec_k \frac{\lambda_v}{2} + \frac{\lambda_u}{2}$$

However, for $v \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ and $u \in \mathfrak{S}_2$ we get :

$$\mathfrak{H}(u, v) \succ_k \lambda_v$$

or

$$\mathfrak{H}(u, v) \succ_k \lambda_u$$

since $\mathfrak{V}(v, \lambda_v) \cap \mathfrak{S}_2 = \emptyset$ and $\mathfrak{V}(u, \lambda_u) \cap \mathfrak{S}_1 = \emptyset$, which contradicts the fact:

$$\lambda_v \preceq_k \frac{\lambda_v}{2} + \frac{\lambda_u}{2}$$

is impossible and thus $\Theta_1 \cap \Theta_2 = \emptyset$.

So that it is shown that for any two closed disjoint sets $\mathfrak{S}_1$ and $\mathfrak{S}_2$ there exist two open disjoint sets Θ_1 and Θ_2 such that $\mathfrak{S}_1 \subseteq \Theta_1$ and $\mathfrak{S}_2 \subseteq \Theta_2$. Thus the bi-complex valued metric space $(\Upsilon, \mathfrak{H})$ is T_4 $\square$

Theorem 2.3. Every bi-complex valued metric space $(\Upsilon, \mathfrak{H})$ is T_6 space.

Proof. To prove that every closed set is a $\mathfrak{S}_o$ set, for any closed set $\mathfrak{S}_o \subseteq X$, where $\mathfrak{S}_o = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \Theta_i$ where

$$\Theta_i = \{x \in \Upsilon : \mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}) \prec_k \frac{\alpha}{n} \text{ and } \|\alpha\| < 1\}$$

and the space is perfectly normal T_4 $\square$

Corollary 2.1. The bi-complex valued metric space $(\Upsilon, \mathfrak{H})$ satisfies the axioms of separations T_0 , T_1 , $T_{2.5}$, T_3 , $T_{3.5}$ and T_5 .

Theorem 2.4. A bi-complex valued metric space $(\Upsilon, \mathfrak{H})$ is

(i) First countable

(ii) Second countable

(iii) Separable

Proof. (i) for any point $v \in \Upsilon$ has a countable sequence of neighborhood base $\mathcal{B}_v = \{\mathfrak{V}(v, \frac{1}{\mathfrak{m}}) : \mathfrak{m} \in \mathbb{N}\}$ which is countable for every point. Or it is easier by $\mathfrak{BC} \cong \mathbb{R}^4$

(ii) Define a collection of open balls with rational bi-complex radii and bi-complex centers in countable dense subsets of Υ . Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the countable dense subset of Υ , then the closure of $\mathcal{A}$

is Υ . For $v \in \mathcal{A}$ there exist $r \in \mathfrak{BC}$ such that $r \succ_k 0$ and $\mathfrak{V}(v, r) \subset \mathfrak{BC}$ is the open ball. The collection of open balls forms a base for a topology, so that for an open set Θ that contains v there exist $r \succ_k 0$ such that $\mathfrak{V}(v, r) \subset \Theta$. But $\mathcal{A}$ is a dense subset in Υ , then there exists $u \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\frac{\lambda}{2} \prec_k r \prec_k \lambda$. Thus $\mathfrak{V}(u, r) \subseteq \mathfrak{V}(v, \lambda) \subseteq \Theta$, since for any $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{V}(u, r)$:

$$\mathfrak{H}(v, \mathfrak{s}) \prec_k \mathfrak{H}(v, u) + \mathfrak{H}(u, \mathfrak{s}) \prec_k \frac{\lambda}{2} + r \prec_k \lambda$$

Hence for any arbitrary element we obtain $v \in \mathfrak{V}(u, r) \subseteq \Theta$, for every open set Θ that can be written as a union of open balls with centers and rational radii in $\mathcal{A}$. So that the collection of open balls is countable, since $\mathcal{A}$ is countable.

- (iii) To prove that a bi-complex valued metric space is separable, we must find countable subset $\mathcal{A}$ in Υ such that the closure of $\mathcal{A}$ is Υ . Consider $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{r}_1 i + \mathfrak{r}_2 j + \mathfrak{r}_3 k, \text{ where } \mathfrak{r}_1, \mathfrak{r}_2, \mathfrak{r}_3 \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ which is countable set with a closure equal to Υ

□

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\Upsilon_{\mathfrak{H}}$ be a bi-complex valued metric space. Then it is connected*

Proof. Assume by contradiction that $\Upsilon_{\mathfrak{H}}$ is disconnected, then there exist two disjoint open sets $\mathfrak{U}_1$ and $\mathfrak{U}_2$ such that $\mathfrak{U}_1 \cap \mathfrak{U}_2 = \emptyset$ and $\mathfrak{U}_1 \cup \mathfrak{U}_2 = \Upsilon_{\mathfrak{H}}$. Consider a point $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{U}_1$ and a point $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{U}_2$ then there exist open balls $\wp(\mathfrak{p}, r_1) \subseteq \mathfrak{U}_1$ and $\wp(\mathfrak{q}, r_2) \subseteq \mathfrak{U}_2$ with radii $r_1, r_2 \succ_k 0$. Suppose $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Upsilon$ such that $\psi(\mathfrak{t}) = \mathfrak{t}\mathfrak{p} + (1-\mathfrak{t})\mathfrak{q}$ is a continuous path from $\mathfrak{p}$ to $\mathfrak{q}$ in bi-complex valued metric space $\Upsilon_{\mathfrak{H}}$. Also, by the continuity of ψ we have $\psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{U}_1)$ and $\psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{U}_2)$ are open sets in $[0, 1]$ since $\psi(0) = \mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{U}_2$ and $\psi(1) = \mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{U}_1$, so that $0 \in \psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{U}_2)$ and $1 \in \psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{U}_1)$. Hence the two sets $\psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{U}_1)$ and $\psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{U}_2)$ are disjoint and their union is $[0, 1]$ which is a contradiction since the connected space can't be separated into two disjoint nonempty open sets.

□

It is known that the bi-complex valued metric space $(\Upsilon, \mathfrak{H})$ is compact if for every cover of Υ there is a finite sub cover (or every sequence has a convergent subsequence).

A bi complex-valued metric space does not have to be compact.

Example 2.1. *The space $(\Upsilon, \mathfrak{H})$, defined by $\mathfrak{H}(v, u) = (v - u)ij$ is a bi-complex valued metric. Consider that the sequence $v_n = (i + j + ij)n$ then, $\mathfrak{H}(v_n, 0) = v_n = (i + j + ij)n$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ thus, the sequence goes to infinity in the bicomplex plane, and it is not convergent to a point in $\mathfrak{BC}$ and this means that it has not a convergent subsequence.*

Theorem 2.6. [8] *Every compact metric space is complete.*

So that compactness of bi-complex valued metric spaces implies completeness, but the covers is not true. To discuss what are the necessary and sufficient conditions for the bi-complex valued metric space to be compact, let us define the following.

Definition 2.1. A bi-complex valued metric space $\Upsilon_{\mathfrak{H}}$ is called entirely bounded if for every $\gamma \succ_k 0$ there exists a finite number of points $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \in \Upsilon$ such that $\Upsilon \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{B}(v_i, \gamma)$.

A standard metric space, specifically a real-valued metric space, is compact if and only if it is both complete and entirely bounded. This condition represents a broader generalization of the Heine – Borel Theorem. According to this theorem, any closed and bounded subset S of $\mathbb{R}^n, n \geq 1$ is compact and therefore complete.

Theorem 2.7. *The bi-complex valued metric space $(\Upsilon, \mathfrak{H})$ is compact if it is entirely bounded and closed.*

Proof. Since Υ is an entirely bounded then any sequence $\{\zeta_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ in Υ contains a Cauchy subsequence by repeatedly subdividing the space into smaller balls. So that we can consider the subsequence $\{\zeta_{i_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in the bi-complex valued metric space Υ which is convergent to some point $\zeta \in \bar{\Upsilon}$ (the closure of Υ), since Υ is a closed ($\Upsilon = \bar{\Upsilon}$). The original sequence $\{\zeta_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy

convergent sequence and has a convergent subsequence $\{\zeta_{i_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ that converges to ζ , so it also converges to ζ . To see this, for $\rho \succ_k 0$ there exists m_1 such that $p, q > m_1$ and $\mathfrak{H}(\zeta_p, \zeta_q) \prec_k \frac{\rho}{2}$ also, since $\{\zeta_{i_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \rightarrow \zeta$ there exists m_2 such that $p_k > m_2$ and $\mathfrak{H}(\zeta_{p_k}, \zeta) \prec_k \frac{\rho}{2}$. Choose $p_k > \max\{m_1, m_2\}$. Then for every $\rho > p_k : \mathfrak{H}(\zeta_p, \zeta) \prec_k \mathfrak{H}(\zeta_p, \zeta_{p_k}) + \mathfrak{H}(\zeta_{p_k}, \zeta) \prec_k \frac{\rho}{2} + \frac{\rho}{2} = \rho$. Thus $\{\zeta_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \rightarrow \zeta$. Hence the space Υ is complete. So that the complete entirely bounded space Υ is compact space. $\square$

Also, from Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.8, we obtain the next result.

Corollary 2.2. *The bi-complex valued metric space $(\Upsilon, \mathfrak{H})$ is compact if and only if it is entirely bounded and complete space.*

A weaker topological concept than a compactness is called para compactness.

Definition 2.2. A bi-complex valued metric space $(\Upsilon, \mathfrak{H})$ is called paracompact topological space if for every collection of open sets $\{\mathcal{U}_p\}$ that covers the space, there exists another collection of open sets $\{\mathcal{V}_q\}$ such that:

- (i) $\{\mathcal{U}_p\}$ is a refinement of $\{\mathcal{V}_q\}$.
- (ii) $\{\mathcal{U}_p\}$ is locally finite, meaning every point in space has a neighborhood that intersects only finitely many $\mathcal{U}_p$.

Thus, every compact space can be classified as paracompact; however, the reverse implication does not necessarily hold. Also, Para compactness requires the existence of a locally finite refinement, which is a weaker condition than the existence of a finite subcover that required by compactness. A finite subcover is always locally finite, but a locally finite refinement may not be finite.

Theorem 2.8. *Every bi-complex valued metric space $(\Upsilon, \mathfrak{H})$ is paracompact.*

Proof. The proof follows from the fact that bi-complex valued metric space is metrizable, and every metrizable is paracompact. $\square$

References

- [1] Bekele G., and Geremew A. some generalized fixed point results on compact metric spaces, *Ethiop. J. Edu. & Sc.*, (2014), 53-61.
- [2] Cerroni C, *The theory of congeneric surd equations to Segre's bicomplex numbers*, *Historia Mathematica*, (2017); 232-251.
- [3] Choi J., Datta S., Biswas.T, & N. Islam, Some fixed-point theorems in connection with two weakly compatible mappings in bicomplex valued metric spaces, *Honam. Math. J.*, **39** (2017), 115-126.
- [4] Gnanaprakasam A. J., Boulaaras S. M. Mani G, Cherif B. and Idris S. A., *Solving system of linear equations via bi complex valued metric space*, *Demonstration Mathematica* (2021); 54: 474-487.
- [5] Mani G., Haque S., Gnanaprakasam, A.J., Ege, O., Mlaiki, N., *The Study of Bi Complex-Valued Controlled Metric Spaces with Applications to Fractional Differential Equations*, *Mathematics* (2023), 11, 2742.
- [6] Namdev R., Tiwari R., Singh U., and Bhardwaj R., *Coupled Invariant Point Theorems in Bicomplex Parametric Partial Metric Space Amongst Dominate F*, *Comm. in Math. and Appli* (2024), 15(2): 511-524.
- [7] Price G. *An Introduction to Multicomplex Spaces and Functions*, New York (1991).
- [8] Royden H. and Fitzpatrick P., *Real Analysis*, China Machine Press (2010) 4th Ed.