International Journal of Applied Mathematics

Volume 38 No. 7s, 2025

ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

PROTECTING CONSUMERS IN INSURANCE MARKETS: STRATEGIES FOR FAIRNESS AND TRANSPARENCY

Yasser Mohamed Ayad Soliman[1], Nazar Ali Ahmed [2],

Quantitative Methods Department , applied College, King Faisal university , Saudi Arabia.

Ymsoliman@kfu.edu.sa

Quantitative Methods Department, College of Business Administration , King Faisal university , Saudi Arabia.

nahmed@kfu.edu.sa

Abstract

This paper explores strategies to enhance consumer protection in insurance markets by promoting fairness and transparency. As insurance plays a vital role in financial security, asymmetries in information, complex policy structures, and opaque pricing practices often leave consumers vulnerable to exploitation and misunderstanding. This study examines regulatory frameworks, industry best practices, and technological innovations aimed at addressing these challenges. Key strategies include improving policy disclosure standards, simplifying contract language, enforcing fair pricing regulations, and strengthening oversight of sales practices. The role of digital tools—such as comparison platforms and AI-driven advisory systems—is also analyzed for their potential to empower consumers with clearer choices and personalized insights. Moreover, the paper highlights the importance of financial literacy initiatives and consumer advocacy in promoting equitable access to insurance products. Drawing on international case studies, the research identifies effective approaches for aligning market incentives with consumer interests. The findings suggest that a multi-stakeholder approach—combining regulation, innovation, and education—is essential for creating a more transparent and fair insurance environment. Ultimately, the paper argues that protecting consumers not only safeguards individual rights but also strengthens trust and stability within the broader insurance ecosystem.

Key words: Insurance Markets, Regulatory Framework, Disclosure Requirements, Financial Literacy, Data Privacy

Introduction

Insurance markets serve as a fundamental mechanism for risk transfer, offering financial protection to individuals, households, and firms against unpredictable losses. However, despite their critical role in fostering economic resilience and social stability, insurance markets are often characterized by significant information asymmetries, product complexity, and a lack of transparency (Dafny & Ody, 2023). These factors contribute to market failures that disproportionately affect consumers, manifesting in the form of mis-sold products, opaque pricing mechanisms, inadequate disclosures, and discriminatory underwriting practices.

Received: August 10, 2025

Volume 38 No. 7s, 2025

ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

Consequently, the protection of consumers within insurance markets has emerged as a core concern for regulators, policymakers, and consumer advocacy organizations alike.

In recent years, policy initiatives and empirical research have increasingly emphasized the need for strategies that promote fairness and transparency in insurance markets. Transparency, particularly in relation to pricing, policy terms, and claims processing, is critical in reducing asymmetries between insurers and consumers. For instance, regulatory efforts such as the insurer price transparency rule in the United States mandate the disclosure of negotiated rates, enabling consumers to access price information and make informed purchasing decisions (Dafny & Ody, 2023; Mehrotra et al., 2022). Evidence suggests that such initiatives, when implemented effectively, can lead to more competitive pricing and moderate excessive spending in health insurance markets (Mehrotra & Chernew, 2022).

Nevertheless, transparency in isolation is insufficient. Consumers may lack the capacity, tools, or financial literacy necessary to interpret complex information or benefit from transparent disclosures (Henricson & Strömberg, 2021). In addition, recent developments in algorithmic underwriting and pricing—driven by advances in artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning—raise further concerns regarding opacity and fairness. While these technologies can enhance pricing accuracy and operational efficiency, they may also exacerbate discriminatory outcomes if not properly regulated or understood (Berendt et al., 2022). As such, emerging literature has called for the development of algorithmic fairness frameworks to guide the ethical use of data and models in insurance pricing.

Regulatory responses have evolved accordingly. The European Commission's New Consumer Agenda 2020–2025 underscores the need to enhance consumer rights across financial services, including insurance, through improved product disclosures and digital accessibility (European Commission, 2020). Similarly, the Saudi Central Bank (SAMA) has introduced Financial Consumer Protection Principles and Rules that impose obligations on insurers to ensure fairness, transparency, and non-discrimination in their dealings with customers (SAMA, 2020). These initiatives reflect a global recognition that consumer protection is not only a legal imperative but also essential for maintaining trust and sustainability in insurance systems.

This paper seeks to examine the multifaceted strategies aimed at protecting consumers in insurance markets. Specifically, it investigates (1) the principal sources of consumer harm; (2) regulatory and industry-led approaches to improving fairness and transparency; (3) the opportunities and risks posed by technological innovation; and (4) the role of financial literacy and consumer empowerment. By integrating recent empirical studies and policy developments, this research contributes to the ongoing discourse on how to construct equitable and transparent insurance systems that safeguard consumer interests while ensuring market efficiency.

Literature Review

The insurance industry serves a critical function in modern economies by providing mechanisms for financial protection against risks. However, consumers often face structural challenges in accessing fair, transparent, and understandable insurance products. A growing

Received: August 10, 2025

Volume 38 No. 7s, 2025

ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

body of recent literature has addressed these challenges from multiple dimensions, including pricing fairness, information asymmetry, consumer behavior, regulatory interventions, and technological disruptions. This review synthesizes contemporary research to identify emerging themes and gaps in strategies aimed at enhancing fairness and transparency in insurance markets.

1. Fairness and Risk Classification in Insurance

Fairness in risk classification remains a core issue in the insurance literature, particularly in the context of data-driven pricing models. Traditional actuarial approaches rely on grouping individuals based on risk characteristics; however, emerging computational methods increasingly rely on individualized data, which may unintentionally reinforce or exacerbate discrimination. Thibodeau et al. (2025) propose a fairness-aware framework that introduces a regulatory “tax schedule” to balance profit with equity in risk-based pricing. Similarly, Huang and Pesenti (2025) introduce the concept of "marginal fairness," which operationalizes fair decision-making under risk measures and seeks to ensure outcomes are insensitive to protected attributes such as race or gender.

The ethical implications of big data in underwriting have also gained attention. Recent work by Tzachor et al. (2024) argues that while granular data improves predictive accuracy, it also raises concerns about privacy, transparency, and adverse selection. They call for new governance mechanisms that address the use of sensitive personal data in underwriting and claims processing.

2. Transparency and Pre-Contractual Disclosures

Transparency has long been central to consumer protection frameworks in insurance, with a particular focus on disclosure and clarity of policy terms. There has been a discernible shift in legal scholarship and regulatory practice toward enhancing pre-contractual disclosure obligations. The work of Clarke (2023) highlights reforms in jurisdictions such as the United Kingdom and Germany, where insurers are increasingly required to ensure that consumers understand policy features prior to purchase. These reforms signify a movement away from placing the burden of information-gathering solely on the consumer.

From a broader research perspective, Kuzior et al. (2023) conducted a bibliometric analysis of transparency in insurance markets, revealing that recent studies focus heavily on the intersection between transparency and digital transformation. However, they note a lack of consensus on best practices for implementing transparency across different market structures.

While price transparency has yielded mixed results in healthcare markets, where it has often served as a proxy for insurance-based behavior, it holds lessons for insurance policy design. A scoping review by Brown et al. (2020) found that price disclosure policies may lower negotiated prices and improve consumer decision-making, but their impact is limited by low consumer engagement and inadequate understanding of price data.

Volume 38 No. 7s, 2025

ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

3. Consumer Behavior and Preferences

Understanding consumer preferences is crucial in designing insurance products that are not only fair but also accessible and desirable. Recent research emphasizes the growing consumer demand for sustainability and ethical behavior from insurers. Kraska et al. (2025) found that consumers are increasingly motivated by non-financial attributes such as environmental, social, and governance (ESG) performance. Their findings indicate that transparency in these areas can serve as a reputational asset and influence consumer trust and purchasing behavior.

In the context of Saudi Arabia, Almulhim et al. (2024) analyzed consumer preferences in the motor insurance market. Their study demonstrated that policy features such as comprehensive coverage, loyalty programs, and pricing discounts significantly affect consumer choices. Moreover, clarity in presenting these features enhances decision-making, further supporting the call for transparent product structures.

4. Regulatory Frameworks and Governance

Regulatory interventions play a fundamental role in shaping transparency and fairness within insurance systems. Schmulow (2023) argues that the Australian regulatory model lacks sufficient mechanisms to enforce the fair treatment of customers and advocates for adopting the UK's "Treating Customers Fairly" (TCF) regime. The TCF approach offers a holistic framework that integrates fairness into every stage of the insurance lifecycle, from policy design to claims handling.

Within Islamic finance systems, including the Takāful sector, regulatory bodies such as the Islamic Financial Services Board (IFSB, 2023) have issued guidance emphasizing consumer protection. However, implementation remains inconsistent across jurisdictions, and enforcement mechanisms are often weak.

In a related study, Roslan et al. (2024) examined fraud disclosures in the Takāful insurance sector, finding that lack of transparency surrounding corporate misconduct directly undermines consumer trust and financial stability. Their findings underscore the need for robust governance structures that extend beyond formal regulation to include transparency in corporate ethics and reporting.

5. Emerging Themes: ESG and Sustainability

The integration of ESG considerations into insurance practices is an emerging area of interest, particularly as stakeholders increasingly demand socially responsible business conduct. A study by Alrasheed and Khan (2024) focusing on the Saudi insurance market revealed that ESG disclosures positively influence both stakeholder perceptions and firm performance. Nonetheless, challenges such as inconsistent disclosure standards and limited data availability persist, limiting the comparability and utility of ESG metrics.

Kraska et al. (2025) similarly report that sustainability-linked insurance products are perceived as both ethical and credible, especially when insurers demonstrate consistent commitments to

Volume 38 No. 7s, 2025

ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

climate and social responsibility. Thus, ESG serves not only as a governance tool but also as a mechanism for building consumer trust and market differentiation.

The contemporary literature underscores the multidimensional nature of consumer protection in insurance markets. While transparency and fairness are often addressed separately, the most effective strategies tend to integrate both principles, supported by robust regulation, technological innovation, and a clear understanding of consumer behavior. Future research must move beyond conceptual frameworks to empirically assess the effectiveness of fairness-enhancing interventions, especially in under-researched markets such as those in the Middle East and emerging economies. Additionally, the evolving intersection of technology, ethics, and

Theoretical Framework

The theoretical foundation for this research draws from multiple interrelated concepts within economics, behavioral finance, regulatory theory, and ethics. Together, these frameworks support a multidimensional understanding of fairness and transparency in insurance markets, and guide the development of strategies to enhance consumer protection.

1. Information Asymmetry and Market Failure

At the core of insurance market dysfunctions lies the theory of **information asymmetry** (Akerlof, 1970; Rothschild & Stiglitz, 1976). Consumers often lack full understanding of the risks, terms, or pricing mechanisms embedded in insurance products, while insurers hold superior information. This imbalance can lead to **adverse selection**—where low-risk individuals opt out of insurance due to mispricing—and **moral hazard**—where insured parties take on greater risk due to coverage. Both dynamics undermine market efficiency and lead to higher premiums or restricted access, disproportionately affecting vulnerable consumers.

Transparency initiatives, such as disclosure requirements and simplification of insurance contracts, aim to reduce information asymmetry. Therefore, this study adopts information asymmetry theory to analyze how improved transparency affects consumer behavior, pricing accuracy, and insurer accountability.

2. Procedural and Distributive Justice

The notion of **fairness** in insurance markets is guided by two related theories: **procedural justice** and **distributive justice** (Rawls, 1971; Leventhal, 1980). Procedural justice refers to the fairness of processes used to determine outcomes, such as how insurers assess risk, decide premiums, or handle claims. Distributive justice concerns the fairness of the outcomes themselves, particularly how benefits, costs, and protections are distributed across different groups.

From a regulatory perspective, fairness entails that insurance products and services must be free from discrimination, bias, and exploitation. In risk classification, this translates into a need to balance actuarial fairness (pricing based on expected loss) with social equity (access and affordability). This study uses these justice theories to assess whether current pricing models

Volume 38 No. 7s, 2025

ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

and regulatory interventions serve the principles of equity, especially in data-driven and algorithmic environments.

3. Behavioral Economics and Consumer Choice

Traditional economic models assume that consumers act rationally to maximize utility, but **behavioral economics** challenges this by recognizing that individuals often lack the cognitive capacity, information, or time to make optimal choices (Thaler & Sunstein, 2008). In the context of insurance, consumers are influenced by biases such as framing effects, choice overload, and loss aversion.

These limitations justify regulatory interventions such as default options, standardised disclosures, and "nudging" strategies that guide consumers toward better decisions without restricting choice. This framework also helps explain why transparency, in practice, may not always lead to improved outcomes unless accompanied by simplification, education, or digital tools.

4. Regulatory Theories: Paternalism vs. Market-Based Governance

The role of regulation in insurance markets can be interpreted through competing **regulatory theories**. On one hand, **paternalistic regulation** supports strong state intervention to protect consumers from complex or harmful financial products. On the other hand, **market-based governance** suggests that transparency and competition will discipline market actors and empower consumers.

This study adopts a **responsive regulatory approach** (Ayres & Braithwaite, 1992), where regulators shift between deterrence and cooperation depending on industry behavior. It emphasizes the importance of proportional oversight, with interventions calibrated to the severity of risks faced by consumers. Such a framework supports the argument for flexible yet enforceable consumer protection regimes.

5. Ethical Use of Data and Algorithmic Fairness

With the increasing use of **big data**, artificial intelligence (AI), and predictive analytics in underwriting and claims processing, issues of **algorithmic fairness** have become central. Traditional fairness theories are now being adapted to assess how automated systems make decisions and whether such decisions reinforce systemic bias or inequity (Barocas et al., 2019).

This study incorporates principles from **algorithmic fairness**—including individual fairness (similar individuals should receive similar outcomes) and group fairness (statistical parity across groups)—to evaluate whether data-driven pricing and automation serve or harm consumer interests. This theoretical lens is especially relevant in assessing emerging regulatory responses to AI use in insurance.

Conceptual Model

Based on the theoretical foundations discussed, this study adopts a conceptual model where:

- **Information asymmetry** → justifies the need for transparency reforms.

Volume 38 No. 7s, 2025

ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

- **Justice theories (procedural & distributive)** → guide evaluations of pricing and treatment fairness.
- **Behavioral economics** → explains the need for simplicity and consumer empowerment.
- **Responsive regulation** → supports balanced regulatory strategies.
- **Algorithmic fairness** → evaluates the ethical implications of digital insurance technologies.

These theories collectively inform the development of analytical categories used in the empirical sections of the study: (1) consumer harms; (2) regulatory frameworks; (3) technological tools; and (4) consumer outcomes.

The theoretical framework underpinning this study recognizes that protecting consumers in insurance markets requires an integrated approach. Information asymmetry, justice, behavioral insights, regulatory design, and data ethics intersect to shape outcomes. A robust analysis of fairness and transparency must therefore be multidimensional, drawing from economic, ethical, and regulatory perspectives to assess not only whether consumers are protected, but also how protection mechanisms are implemented, experienced, and improved.

Methodology

1. Research Design

This study adopts a **qualitative research design** informed by a **constructivist epistemology**, recognizing that concepts such as fairness and transparency in insurance are context-dependent, socially constructed, and interpreted differently across stakeholders (regulators, insurers, and consumers). The research follows an **exploratory approach**, aiming to develop a nuanced understanding of the mechanisms through which fairness and transparency are achieved (or undermined) in contemporary insurance markets.

Given the multidisciplinary nature of the topic, the study integrates insights from legal studies, economics, behavioral science, and data ethics. The design is comparative in scope, drawing on regulatory examples from diverse jurisdictions (e.g., EU, UK, Australia, Saudi Arabia) to identify best practices and areas of concern.

2. Research Questions

The study is guided by the following research questions:

1. What are the main consumer risks associated with unfair or non-transparent practices in insurance markets?
2. How do regulatory frameworks and industry practices address issues of fairness and transparency?
3. What role do digital technologies and algorithmic systems play in shaping fairness and transparency outcomes?
4. How do consumer preferences, trust, and behavior influence the effectiveness of transparency initiatives?

International Journal of Applied Mathematics

Volume 38 No. 7s, 2025

ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

3. Data Collection

The study employs **secondary data analysis**, using the following sources:

- **Academic literature**: Peer-reviewed journals, working papers, and law reviews published between 2020 and 2025, accessed through databases such as Scopus, JSTOR, SSRN, Springer, and Web of Science.
- **Regulatory documents**: Consumer protection guidelines, policy briefs, and white papers from institutions such as the European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority (EIOPA), the Saudi Central Bank (SAMA), the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA), and the International Association of Insurance Supervisors (IAIS).
- **Industry reports**: Research from international consulting firms (e.g., McKinsey, Deloitte, PwC) on insurance innovation, digital transformation, and consumer trends.
- **Case studies**: Specific legal reforms (e.g., UK's Consumer Duty, EU's New Consumer Agenda), algorithmic pricing controversies, and publicized transparency failures.

All sources are selected based on relevance, credibility, and contribution to the research questions.

4. **Data Analysis**

A **thematic analysis** approach is employed to systematically identify, analyze, and interpret patterns (themes) within the data (Braun & Clarke, 2006). The analysis follows these steps:

1. **Familiarization**: Reading and re-reading the literature and policy texts to gain an in-depth understanding of the subject matter.
2. **Coding**: Assigning labels to meaningful units of text (e.g., "price opacity," "algorithmic bias," "disclosure reform").
3. **Theme development**: Grouping codes into broader themes, such as "Regulatory Responses," "Technological Disruption," and "Consumer Perception."
4. **Interpretation**: Evaluating how these themes relate to each other and the research questions, while reflecting on theoretical frameworks such as information asymmetry, fairness theory, and behavioral economics.

NVivo or other qualitative analysis tools may be used to manage and structure data where appropriate.

5. Case Study Integration

To illustrate theoretical claims and thematic findings, the study includes brief **case studies** from four jurisdictions:

- **United Kingdom**: The implementation of the FCA's Consumer Duty regime in 2023, focusing on outcomes-based regulation.

Received: August 10, 2025

International Journal of Applied Mathematics
Volume 38 No. 7s, 2025
ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

- **Saudi Arabia**: SAMA's Financial Consumer Protection Principles and recent ESG reforms in the insurance sector.
- **European Union**: The role of the 2020–2025 New Consumer Agenda in enhancing insurance market transparency.
- **Australia**: Ongoing debates around the effectiveness of disclosure laws and the potential adoption of the UK's Treating Customers Fairly framework.

These cases are selected to reflect variation in regulatory maturity, market structure, and legal traditions, providing a comparative perspective.

6. Validity and Limitations

To ensure **credibility**, the study triangulates across multiple data sources and theoretical lenses. Interpretive rigor is maintained by consistently referring back to the research questions and theoretical framework during analysis.

However, the study has several **limitations**:

- It relies solely on secondary data and does not incorporate primary data (e.g., interviews or surveys).
- The study's scope is limited to selected jurisdictions and may not capture developments in all global insurance markets.
- While thematic analysis offers depth, it may not establish causality or generalizability.

These limitations are acknowledged to position the findings as exploratory and hypothesis-generating, rather than definitive.

7. Ethical Considerations

Since this research is based on publicly available secondary data, **no ethical approval** is required. However, ethical scholarship is maintained through proper citation, acknowledgment of all sources, and avoidance of misrepresentation.

This methodology supports a multidimensional exploration of consumer protection in insurance, emphasizing fairness and transparency as complex, evolving constructs. Through thematic analysis of secondary data, and grounded in a robust theoretical framework, the study aims to provide meaningful insights into regulatory innovations, technological disruptions, and consumer-facing challenges in global insurance markets.

Results and Discussion

1. Persistent Consumer Risks in Insurance Markets

The analysis reveals that consumer risks in insurance markets remain significant despite regulatory efforts. Information asymmetry continues to hinder consumers' ability to make fully informed decisions. Complex policy language, opaque pricing models, and the use of jargon remain major barriers to transparency (FCA, 2023; EIOPA, 2022). Consumers, especially those

Volume 38 No. 7s, 2025
ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

with lower financial literacy, are disproportionately affected, leading to suboptimal product choices or exclusion from coverage.

Adverse selection and moral hazard persist as foundational challenges, exacerbated by digital underwriting practices that can unintentionally discriminate or increase pricing opacity (Barocas et al., 2019). These findings confirm the theoretical predictions from information asymmetry theory, emphasizing the ongoing need for regulatory interventions to level the informational playing field.

2. Regulatory Strategies and Their Effectiveness

Regulatory reforms, such as the UK's Consumer Duty and the EU's New Consumer Agenda, demonstrate an evolution towards outcomes-based, consumer-centric frameworks. These initiatives shift focus from mere disclosure to ensuring that insurers actively deliver fair outcomes (FCA, 2023; European Commission, 2020). This aligns with the principles of procedural and distributive justice by emphasizing transparency not just in processes but in tangible consumer benefits.

However, the effectiveness of such regulatory measures depends on enforcement capacity and industry compliance culture. The Saudi Arabian market, with SAMA's recent Financial Consumer Protection Principles, illustrates a proactive approach to harmonize innovation with consumer safeguards, though implementation challenges remain (SAMA, 2024). Cross-jurisdictional variation highlights that fair treatment requires both robust legal frameworks and continuous oversight.

3. Impact of Behavioral Insights

Behavioral economics underscores that transparency alone is insufficient. Studies indicate that consumers often face "information overload" and cognitive biases that impede rational decision-making (Thaler & Sunstein, 2008). For instance, simplifying disclosure formats, employing standardized product summaries, and using digital decision aids improve consumer engagement and comprehension (OECD, 2021).

The analysis suggests that "nudging" techniques, such as default options or warning labels for high-risk products, can help mitigate behavioral pitfalls. These findings emphasize the need for regulators and insurers to integrate behavioral insights into transparency strategies to move beyond formal compliance towards meaningful consumer empowerment.

4. The Role of Digital Technologies and Algorithmic Fairness

The integration of big data analytics and AI in underwriting and claims management introduces both opportunities and risks. While data-driven models can enhance pricing accuracy and fraud detection, they also pose ethical challenges related to bias, discrimination, and transparency (Barocas et al., 2019).

Our findings reveal emerging regulatory responses, such as requirements for algorithmic explainability and fairness audits, particularly in the EU's AI Act and the UK's digital regulation roadmap (European Parliament, 2023; FCA, 2023). Nonetheless, the opacity of

Volume 38 No. 7s, 2025

ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

proprietary algorithms remains a barrier to consumer trust. Addressing algorithmic fairness requires not only technical solutions but also ethical governance frameworks and stakeholder participation.

5. Consumer Trust and Market Dynamics

Trust emerges as a critical mediator of fairness and transparency outcomes. When consumers perceive insurers as trustworthy and transparent, they are more likely to engage with products and comply with terms (Lunt & Livingstone, 2022). Conversely, perceived unfair treatment or opaque practices can trigger market withdrawal or regulatory backlash.

The analysis of case studies indicates that transparency policies must be complemented by consistent communication, accessible complaint mechanisms, and consumer education programs to build sustainable trust. This supports the theoretical position that procedural justice and responsive regulation jointly influence consumer confidence and market stability.

Synthesis and Theoretical Implications

Integrating these findings with the theoretical framework, it is evident that:

- **Information asymmetry** remains a central obstacle but can be partially mitigated through enhanced disclosure and digital tools.
- **Justice theories** provide normative guidance ensuring that fairness encompasses both process and outcomes.
- **Behavioral economics** explains why transparency must be user-centered and behaviorally informed to be effective.
- **Responsive regulation** highlights the necessity of adaptive enforcement that balances deterrence with cooperation.
- **Algorithmic fairness** is an emerging frontier requiring interdisciplinary collaboration to align technological innovation with ethical standards.

Together, these perspectives underscore the multidimensional nature of consumer protection in insurance markets, calling for integrated policy approaches.

The results demonstrate that protecting consumers in insurance markets demands more than traditional disclosure rules. It requires a holistic strategy combining robust regulatory frameworks, behavioral insights, technological oversight, and ethical governance. Policymakers must remain vigilant to evolving market dynamics, especially the implications of AI and digital innovation, to ensure that fairness and transparency are not only regulatory ideals but practical realities that enhance consumer welfare.

Policy Implications

The findings of this study underscore several critical policy implications for regulators, insurers, and stakeholders aiming to enhance consumer protection through fairness and transparency in insurance markets.

Volume 38 No. 7s, 2025

ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

1. Strengthening Regulatory Frameworks with Outcome-Focused Approaches

Regulators should prioritize **outcomes-based regulation** over formalistic disclosure requirements. Policies such as the UK's Consumer Duty exemplify this shift, emphasizing actual consumer benefits rather than mere compliance. Regulators globally should adopt similar frameworks that hold insurers accountable for delivering fair, transparent, and equitable outcomes, ensuring that vulnerable consumers are adequately protected.

2. Enhancing Transparency through Simplification and Standardization

While transparency remains central, regulators must mandate **simplified, standardized, and consumer-friendly disclosures**. Complex insurance contracts and technical jargon limit consumers' understanding, especially among low-literacy groups. Standardized product summaries, visual aids, and plain-language requirements can improve accessibility and comparability across products, facilitating more informed consumer choices.

3. Incorporating Behavioral Insights into Consumer Protection Policies

Policymakers should integrate **behavioral economics principles** into regulation and industry practices. This includes deploying "nudges" such as default settings for fairer products, clear warning labels for high-risk policies, and interactive digital tools that help consumers navigate complex choices. Regulatory guidelines should encourage insurers to design communications and products that account for cognitive biases and decision-making limitations.

4. Addressing Algorithmic Fairness and Data Ethics

The increasing use of AI and big data in insurance necessitates robust **algorithmic governance frameworks**. Regulators must require transparency around algorithmic decision-making processes and enforce standards for fairness audits to detect and mitigate discriminatory biases. Developing ethical guidelines for data use, fostering explainability, and involving consumer representatives in oversight can build trust and legitimacy in automated insurance systems.

5. Promoting Consumer Education and Engagement

Effective consumer protection requires more than regulatory mandates; it depends on **empowered, well-informed consumers**. Policymakers should invest in ongoing education initiatives aimed at improving financial literacy and insurance awareness. Public campaigns, interactive platforms, and partnerships with consumer organizations can foster greater understanding and active participation, thereby enhancing the efficacy of transparency measures.

6. Facilitating Responsive and Adaptive Regulation

Insurance markets are dynamic, shaped by technological innovation and evolving consumer needs. Regulators should adopt **responsive regulatory models** that balance enforcement with collaboration, enabling timely adaptations to new challenges. This includes establishing feedback mechanisms that incorporate consumer complaints and industry insights to continuously refine regulatory approaches.

Received: August 10, 2025

ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

7. Encouraging International Cooperation and Harmonization

Given the global nature of insurance markets, **international regulatory cooperation** is essential to address cross-border challenges and ensure consistent consumer protections. Harmonizing standards on transparency, data privacy, and algorithmic fairness across jurisdictions can reduce regulatory arbitrage and promote best practices.

By implementing these policy recommendations, regulators and stakeholders can create a more equitable and transparent insurance environment that better serves consumers, fosters market trust, and promotes sustainable industry growth. Ultimately, a multidisciplinary, proactive, and consumer-centric regulatory strategy is imperative to meet the complexities of modern insurance markets.

Conclusion

This study highlights the multifaceted challenges and opportunities involved in protecting consumers within insurance markets through enhanced fairness and transparency. Persistent information asymmetries, complex product designs, and emerging technological innovations such as algorithmic underwriting create significant risks for consumers, particularly the vulnerable and financially less literate. The analysis demonstrates that traditional regulatory approaches centered primarily on disclosure are insufficient to address these challenges effectively.

The shift toward outcomes-based, consumer-centric regulation—as exemplified by recent reforms in the UK, EU, and Saudi Arabia—marks a critical advancement in ensuring that insurers deliver tangible benefits and fair treatment. Integrating behavioral economics insights further enhances regulatory efficacy by acknowledging cognitive biases and promoting consumer-friendly communication and decision-making aids. Additionally, the rise of AI and big data analytics necessitates robust frameworks for algorithmic fairness and ethical data governance to maintain consumer trust and prevent discriminatory practices.

This research underscores that effective consumer protection in insurance markets requires a holistic strategy that combines legal, behavioral, and technological dimensions. Responsive regulation, continuous consumer education, and international cooperation are equally vital components to adapt to the evolving landscape.

Ultimately, fostering fairness and transparency is not only a regulatory imperative but also essential for maintaining market confidence and sustainable growth in the insurance sector. Future research should explore empirical assessments of these regulatory innovations and deepen understanding of consumer behavior in digitalized insurance ecosystems.

Funding This research was funded by Deanship of Scientific Research at King Faisal University for funding this research work through the project number **KFU253549**

Competing interests : The author declare that there is no any conflict of interest

Volume 38 No. 7s, 2025

ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

References

1. Berendt, B., Preibusch, S., & Spiekermann, S. (2022). *Algorithmic fairness in insurance: Challenges and emerging solutions*. arXiv preprint. https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.12008
2. Dafny, L., & Ody, C. (2023). *The insurer price transparency rule: What has been disclosed?* Health Affairs Forefront. https://www.healthaffairs.org/content/forefront/insurer-price-transparency-rule-has-been-disclosed
3. European Commission. (2020). *New Consumer Agenda 2020–2025*. Insurance Europe. https://www.insuranceeurope.eu/news/3390/insurance-europe-responds-to-the-european-commission-s-consumer-agenda-public-consultation
4. Henricson, A., & Strömberg, A. (2021). *Insurer use of AI in Sweden: Transparency and trust issues*. BMC Medical Ethics, 22(1), 24. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33686323/
5. Mehrotra, A., & Chernew, M. (2022). *Hospital price transparency—Progress and challenges*. JAMA, 327(2), 119–120. https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2778818
6. Mehrotra, A., Chernew, M. E., & Sinaiko, A. D. (2022). *Health care price transparency: Policy approaches and research needs*. Health Economics Review, 12, Article 4. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/s13561-022-00409-4
7. Saudi Central Bank (SAMA). (2020). *Financial Consumer Protection Principles and Rules*. https://rulebook.sama.gov.sa/en/financial-consumer-protection-principles-and-rules
8. Almulhim, T., Alsharif, A., & Almotairi, M. (2024). Eliciting customers' preferences in the cooperative insurance industry: Evidence from the Saudi motor insurance market. *SAGE Open*, *14*(2). https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440241266003
9. Alrasheed, A., & Khan, M. (2024). ESG integration in Saudi insurance: Financial performance, regulatory reform, and stakeholder insights. *Sustainability*, *17*(15), 6821. https://doi.org/10.3390/su17156821
10. Brown, T. T., et al. (2020). The impact of price transparency on consumers and providers: A scoping review. *Health Policy*, *124*(11), 1125–1133. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2020.08.001
11. Clarke, M. (2023). Reforming the duty of disclosure: The emerging shift towards consumer protection in contemporary insurance law. *European Journal of Risk Regulation*, *14*(1), 45–68.
12. Huang, F., & Pesenti, S. M. (2025). Marginal fairness: Fair decision-making under risk measures. *arXiv preprint*. https://arxiv.org/abs/2505.18895

Volume 38 No. 7s, 2025

ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

13. IFSB. (2023). Approaches to consumer protection regulation in the insurance and Takāful sector. *IFSB Working Paper 09*. https://www.ifsb.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/10/WP-09_En.pdf

14. Kraska, R. A., et al. (2025). Consumer perceptions and purchasing behavior of sustainable insurance products. *The Geneva Papers on Risk and Insurance – Issues and Practice*. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41288-025-00345-4

15. Kuzior, A., Zakharkina, L., & Lyeonov, S. (2023). Insurance market transparency research trends: A bibliometric analysis. *Insurance Markets and Companies*, *14*(1), 136–152.

16. Roslan, S., Omar, M., & Hashim, N. (2024). Spotlight on corporate fraud: How is Takāful insurance stability affected by its disclosure? *Risks*, *12*(9), 145. https://doi.org/10.3390/risks12090145

17. Schmulow, A. (2023). Consumer protection in insurance contracts: The need for a 'Treating Customers Fairly' regime. *International Review of Financial Consumers*, *8*(1), 44–59. https://doi.org/10.36544/irfc.2023.8-1.4

18. Thibodeau, J., Nekoei, H., Taïk, A., Rajendran, J., & Farnadi, G. (2025). Balancing profit and fairness in risk-based pricing markets. *arXiv preprint*. https://arxiv.org/abs/2506.00140

19. Tzachor, B., Ferreira, L., & Smith, G. (2024). Big data, risk classification, and privacy in insurance markets. *The Geneva Risk and Insurance Review*, *49*(2), 202–219. https://doi.org/10.1057/s10713-024-00098-5