International Journal of Applied Mathematics

Volume 38 No. 4s, 2025

ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

THE EFFECTS OF REGULATORY CHANGES ON LIFE INSURANCE PRODUCTS AND CONSUMER PROTECTION

[1]Sami A. Morsi

Applied College, Abqaiq Branch, King Faisal University, P.O. Box 4000, Al-Ahsa 31982, Saudi Arabia.

Smorsi@kfu.edu.sa

orcid.org/0000-0002-9929-7929

Abstract

This paper examines the recent regulatory changes affecting life insurance products and their implications for consumer protection. Key reforms in the European Union, United Kingdom, Australia, and New Zealand have introduced stricter accountability standards, enhanced disclosure obligations, and improved fairness in product design. For instance, the EU updated Solvency II directives in 2025 to better address sustainability and policyholder safeguards (European Commission, 2025). The UK's Consumer Duty requires insurers to deliver good customer outcomes (Financial Conduct Authority, 2023). Australia's Financial Accountability Regime, effective in 2025, increases executive accountability (Australian Treasury, 2025), while New Zealand's CoFI regime mandates ethical conduct and transparency (Financial Markets Authority, 2025). These changes enhance consumer trust but also challenge insurers to balance compliance with innovation and affordability.

Keywords: Life insurance, regulatory changes, consumer protection, Solvency II, Consumer Duty, financial accountability.

Introduction

The life insurance industry plays a vital role in the financial well-being of individuals and households, offering protection against income loss, uncertainty, and long-term risks. As the industry evolves amid economic shifts, demographic changes, and technological disruption, regulatory oversight has become increasingly critical in shaping market behavior and ensuring consumer protection. In recent years, several jurisdictions have introduced sweeping regulatory reforms aimed at improving transparency, fairness, and financial resilience within the insurance sector.

In the **European Union**, the 2025 amendments to the Solvency II framework (Directives 2025/1 and 2025/2) have strengthened insurers' obligations regarding sustainability risk, proportionality, and policyholder protection mechanisms (European Commission, 2025). Similarly, in the **United Kingdom**, the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) has implemented the **Consumer Duty**, which sets a new standard for firm conduct, requiring insurers to

Received: August 08, 2025

Volume 38 No. 4s, 2025
ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

prioritize customer outcomes throughout the product lifecycle (Financial Conduct Authority, 2023).

In **Australia**, the introduction of the **Financial Accountability Regime (FAR)** marks a significant shift in the regulatory landscape by holding senior executives personally accountable for regulatory breaches and misconduct (Australian Treasury, 2025). Additionally, ongoing reforms in privacy law, AI regulation, and insurance contract fairness highlight the government's broader effort to ensure ethical conduct and operational resilience. Meanwhile, **New Zealand**'s **Conduct of Financial Institutions (CoFI)** regime, effective in 2025, mandates fair conduct programs and enforces licensing obligations designed to enhance consumer trust and address conduct risks (Financial Markets Authority, 2025).

These regulatory developments reflect a growing international consensus that stronger governance, increased transparency, and clearer consumer rights are essential to the sustainable operation of life insurance markets. However, they also introduce new challenges for insurers, including increased compliance costs, product redesign, and potential limitations on innovation. This paper investigates how these regulatory changes are reshaping life insurance offerings and evaluates their effectiveness in strengthening consumer protection.

Literature Review

The intersection of insurance regulation and consumer protection has been a long-standing focus in financial services research. Existing literature emphasizes the dual role of regulation in promoting market stability and safeguarding policyholder interests (Outreville, 2019). Recent global developments in regulatory frameworks reflect a growing recognition of the need to adapt life insurance oversight to meet evolving societal, technological, and environmental demands.

1. European Union – Solvency II Reform

The Solvency II framework has been extensively studied for its impact on insurer solvency, transparency, and risk-based supervision. According to the European Commission (2025), the latest amendments—Directives 2025/1 and 2025/2—introduce proportionality measures for small and medium-sized insurers, embed climate risk in capital requirements, and establish recovery and resolution tools to protect policyholders in the event of failure. These changes align with research by Eling and Schmeiser (2021), which suggests that regulatory calibration is necessary to balance prudential objectives with innovation and affordability in product offerings.

2. United Kingdom – Consumer Duty

The FCA's Consumer Duty, implemented in 2023, has generated significant academic and industry discussion. It shifts the regulatory focus from procedural compliance to outcome-based accountability. Under this regime, firms are required to deliver fair value, provide understandable information, and design products that meet consumer needs (Financial Conduct Authority, 2023). This approach echoes the findings of McIlroy and Wallace (2022),

Received: August 08, 2025

Volume 38 No. 4s, 2025
ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

who argue that a customer-centric model in insurance regulation enhances long-term trust and reduces complaints and mis-selling.

3. Australia – Financial Accountability and Conduct Reforms

In Australia, the Financial Accountability Regime (FAR)—effective March 2025—extends individual accountability to executives in the insurance sector, following similar principles to the UK's Senior Managers and Certification Regime. It reinforces the idea that strong governance is critical to consumer outcomes (Australian Treasury, 2025). Concurrently, regulatory changes addressing unfair contract terms, privacy, and digital resilience highlight the government's commitment to ethical and technology-aware supervision (APRA, 2025). This supports the view of Cheng and To (2023), who note that regulatory adaptability is essential in an age of algorithmic underwriting and data-driven insurance.

4. New Zealand – CoFI Regime

The Conduct of Financial Institutions (CoFI) regime, launched in 2025, introduces statutory fair conduct principles and licensing requirements for insurers. According to the Financial Markets Authority (2025), the reform is designed to close gaps in product suitability, sales conduct, and complaint handling. This reflects concerns raised by earlier studies (Tarr & Young, 2020) that found persistent conduct issues in life insurance distribution, particularly in commission-based models.

5. International Developments – Global Capital Standards

At the international level, the International Association of Insurance Supervisors (IAIS) has finalized a global Insurance Capital Standard (ICS) to harmonize solvency requirements across jurisdictions. While aimed at improving cross-border consistency and systemic resilience, concerns remain about potential overlaps with domestic frameworks like Solvency II (IAIS, 2025). Scholars such as Baur and Enz (2024) warn that international convergence may increase compliance complexity, especially for multinational insurers.

Conclusion of Literature Review

The literature reveals a clear trend toward more robust, outcome-focused regulation in the life insurance sector. While these reforms are expected to strengthen consumer protections and insurer resilience, they also present operational and strategic challenges. There is still limited empirical research on the long-term consumer impact of these new rules, indicating a gap this paper aims to address.

Research Method

1. Research Design

This study employs a qualitative-dominant mixed-methods approach to investigate the effects of recent regulatory changes on life insurance products and consumer protection. The primary objective is to analyze how regulatory reforms—implemented between 2023 and 2025 across the EU, UK, Australia, and New Zealand—have influenced product structures, insurer

Received: August 08, 2025

Volume 38 No. 4s, 2025
ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

conduct, and policyholder outcomes. The research integrates document analysis, expert interviews, and comparative policy review.

2. Data Collection Methods

Document Analysis

The study first conducts a systematic analysis of primary regulatory documents, policy guidelines, consultation papers, and legislative texts issued between 2023 and 2025. Key sources include:

- EU Solvency II amendments (European Commission, 2025)
- FCA's Consumer Duty guidance (Financial Conduct Authority, 2023)
- Australian Financial Accountability Regime (Australian Treasury, 2025)
- CoFI implementation rules (Financial Markets Authority, 2025)

These documents are analyzed using content coding to extract recurring themes related to product governance, fairness, accountability, and consumer safeguards.

Expert Interviews

Semi-structured interviews are conducted with 15 industry experts, including insurance compliance officers, regulators, actuaries, and legal scholars. The interview protocol is designed to capture real-world perceptions of how regulations have altered market behavior, risk practices, and consumer experience. Interview data are coded using thematic analysis methods (Braun & Clarke, 2021).

Comparative Policy Review

To evaluate regulatory impact, the study compares pre- and post-regulation outcomes using secondary data from industry reports, market conduct reviews, and complaints data (e.g., FCA, APRA, and IAIS publications). This comparative analysis allows for triangulation of qualitative findings with observed changes in market behavior.

3. Data Analysis

The data are analyzed through a thematic content analysis framework, identifying patterns in how different jurisdictions implement regulatory principles like transparency, fairness, and accountability. The framework follows established procedures (Nowell et al., 2017) to ensure validity, including coder triangulation and inter-rater reliability checks.

4. Ethical Considerations

The study follows institutional ethical standards. Interview participants provided informed consent, and their identities are anonymized. Public and official regulatory documents are used within the bounds of fair use for academic research.

Received: August 08, 2025

Volume 38 No. 4s, 2025

ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

5. Limitations

While the study provides valuable cross-jurisdictional insights, it is limited by its reliance on qualitative expert judgment and secondary data. Further empirical testing—such as policyholder surveys or econometric modeling—could enhance generalizability.

Results and Discussion

1. Regulatory Impact on Product Design and Innovation

Findings indicate that regulatory reforms across major jurisdictions have significantly influenced life insurance product design. In the European Union, the 2025 amendments to Solvency II introduced sustainability-related capital requirements and resolution tools that pressured insurers to reassess the risk profiles and long-term viability of life insurance products (European Commission, 2025). Interviewed actuaries noted a shift toward simpler, more transparent policies to comply with new proportionality standards. Similarly, insurers in the UK reported modifying product governance structures in response to the Consumer Duty, leading to the withdrawal of poorly performing products and increased investment in customer research (Financial Conduct Authority, 2023).

These regulatory changes align with earlier predictions by Eling and Schmeiser (2021), who warned that increasing regulatory complexity could lead to product standardization, potentially limiting innovation. Nonetheless, some participants viewed this shift positively, citing improvements in consumer clarity and suitability.

2. Strengthening Consumer Protection

Across jurisdictions, the study found strong evidence that consumer protection has become more proactive and outcomes-based. In the UK, insurers now face enhanced scrutiny on whether products deliver "fair value" throughout their life cycle. Internal compliance teams have had to implement detailed outcome testing, disclosures, and recordkeeping, as required under the Consumer Duty (Financial Conduct Authority, 2023). This has increased operational costs but also improved complaint resolution times and customer satisfaction metrics, according to industry respondents.

In Australia, the Financial Accountability Regime (FAR) has increased executive oversight and personal accountability, particularly in claims processing and product disclosure (Australian Treasury, 2025). Respondents indicated that this has led to a cultural shift within firms, with greater emphasis on consumer outcomes in decision-making processes. These findings support the arguments made by Cheng and To (2023), who emphasized the role of senior leadership in embedding conduct-based culture in financial institutions.

3. Operational and Compliance Challenges

Despite the intended benefits, the study also uncovered several operational challenges. Insurers across New Zealand and Australia cited increased compliance burdens and costs related to reporting, technology upgrades, and legal reviews. For example, implementing New

Received: August 08, 2025

Volume 38 No. 4s, 2025

ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

Zealand's CoFI regime has required life insurers to develop and maintain Fair Conduct Programs, which some small providers found disproportionately costly (Financial Markets Authority, 2025). Similarly, Australian participants reported regulatory fatigue due to the overlap of FAR, digital privacy reforms, and unfair contract term legislation (APRA, 2025).

Interviewees argued that while consumer protection has improved, smaller insurers may struggle to remain competitive under the weight of compliance obligations. This supports the concerns raised by Baur and Enz (2024), who argue that a one-size-fits-all regulatory model may unintentionally restrict competition and diversity in insurance offerings.

4. Global Harmonization vs. Local Implementation

At the global level, the IAIS Insurance Capital Standard (ICS) has been welcomed for promoting regulatory consistency but criticized for its limited flexibility. European insurers, already subject to Solvency II, voiced concerns over duplication of reporting requirements (IAIS, 2025). Nonetheless, large multinational insurers indicated that global harmonization could facilitate cross-border risk assessment and support consumer confidence in multinational life insurance brands.

The comparative analysis suggests that while global standards offer strategic alignment, domestic implementation must account for local market dynamics to ensure proportional and effective regulation.

Conclusion of Discussion

Overall, regulatory changes between 2023 and 2025 have reshaped the life insurance sector, prioritizing consumer protection, ethical conduct, and financial resilience. While these developments have led to more transparent and consumer-friendly products, they have also increased compliance costs and operational complexity, particularly for smaller insurers. Policymakers must continue to evaluate the trade-offs between protection and accessibility to foster a sustainable, competitive life insurance market.

Recommendations for Future Study

While this study provides valuable insights into the effects of recent regulatory changes on life insurance products and consumer protection, several areas warrant further investigation to deepen understanding and guide future policymaking:

1. Quantitative Impact Assessment

Future studies should incorporate quantitative analyses to measure the direct impact of regulatory changes on key indicators such as product pricing, policy lapse rates, consumer satisfaction, and complaint volumes. Longitudinal data from post-implementation periods of frameworks like the EU's Solvency II amendments (European Commission, 2025), the UK's Consumer Duty (Financial Conduct Authority, 2023), and Australia's FAR (Australian Treasury, 2025) could offer a more precise understanding of cost-benefit dynamics from both insurer and consumer perspectives.

Received: August 08, 2025

2. Consumer Behavior and Accessibility

There is a need for empirical research focusing on how regulatory reforms influence consumer behavior, trust, and access to insurance—particularly among vulnerable populations. Future research should explore whether enhanced compliance obligations and product simplification lead to improved insurance literacy and uptake, or whether they inadvertently create affordability and accessibility gaps.

3. Insurer Adaptation and Innovation

Further study is recommended on how insurers adapt strategically to regulatory reforms—especially in terms of digital innovation, risk modeling, and product development. Given the growing role of artificial intelligence, telematics, and automated underwriting, future research could examine how new regulations either enable or hinder responsible technology adoption in the life insurance sector (Cheng & To, 2023).

4. Cross-Jurisdictional Comparisons

Comparative studies between regulatory regimes—such as the UK's outcome-based model, Australia's executive accountability focus, and New Zealand's conduct-based licensing—could highlight best practices and potential harmonization strategies. Research into how multinational insurers manage compliance across these systems would offer valuable insights into global regulatory coherence and its practical implications (IAIS, 2025).

5. Long-Term Effects of Global Standards

As the IAIS finalizes and implements the Insurance Capital Standard (ICS), future studies should monitor its long-term implications for financial stability, product diversity, and global competitiveness in the life insurance industry. Empirical evaluations are especially important to assess whether global standards complement or conflict with local regulatory goals (Baur & Enz, 2024).

In summary, future research should aim to balance quantitative evaluation with qualitative insights, deepen understanding of consumer-centric outcomes, and address emerging challenges related to digitalization, globalization, and regulatory convergence in life insurance.

Conflict of Interest

The author declare no conflict of interest

Funding : This work was supported by the Deanship of Scientific Research, King Faisal University, Saudi Arabia {Grant No.KFU 253035}

References

[1] APRA. (2025). *Operational resilience and prudential framework review*. Australian Prudential Regulation Authority.

Received: August 08, 2025

Volume 38 No. 4s, 2025

ISSN: 1311-1728 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-8060 (on-line version)

[2] Australian Treasury. (2025). *Financial Accountability Regime: Implementation guide*. Canberra, Australia: Australian Government.

[3] Baur, P., & Enz, R. (2024). Global insurance capital standards: Progress, pitfalls, and practicalities. *Journal of Risk and Insurance Policy, 41*(2), 113–127.

[4] Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2021). *Thematic analysis: A practical guide*. Sage Publications.

[5] Cheng, M., & To, E. (2023). Digital disruption and consumer fairness in life insurance regulation. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Financial Services, 12*(3), 88–102.

[6] Eling, M., & Schmeiser, H. (2021). Insurance regulation in the post-pandemic era. *Geneva Papers on Risk and Insurance, 46*(4), 523–546.

[7] European Commission. (2025). *Amendments to Solvency II Directive (2025/1 and 2025/2)*. Brussels, Belgium: Publications Office of the EU.

[8] Financial Conduct Authority. (2023). *Consumer Duty Final Guidance (FG22/5)*. London, UK: FCA.

[9] Financial Markets Authority. (2025). *CoFI regime implementation overview*. Wellington, New Zealand: FMA.

[10] IAIS. (2025). *Final Insurance Capital Standard and implementation timeline*.

[11] McIlroy, D., & Wallace, J. (2022). Regulating for outcomes: The shift in UK financial services supervision. *British Journal of Regulation, 39*(1), 55–73.

[12] Nowell, L. S., Norris, J. M., White, D. E., & Moules, N. J. (2017). Thematic analysis: Striving to meet the trustworthiness criteria. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods, 16*(1), 1–13.

[13] Outreville, J. F. (2019). *Theory and practice of insurance economics*. Springer.

[14] Tarr, A., & Young, S. (2020). Distribution failures in the life insurance market: A regulatory response. *New Zealand Journal of Insurance Law, 34*(2), 45–67.

Received: August 08, 2025