

TRIPLED FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN C^* -ALGEBRA VALUED S_b -METRIC SPACES

N. Narsimha¹, Dr. G. Upender Reddy^{2*}

¹Department of Mathematics Govt. Degree College Hayathnagar Ranga Reddy Dist. Telangana, India-501505. E-mail: ahmisran@gmail.com.

^{2*}Department of Mathematics Mahatma Gandhi University. Nalgonda, Telangana, India - 508254.

Corresponding author E-mail: upendermathsmgu@gmail.com

Abstract:

In this paper, we establish unique common tripled fixed point theorems for pairs of mappings that satisfy a specific rational contraction condition in C^* -AV- S_b -MS. Several illustrative examples are provided to demonstrate the validity of the hypotheses underlying our results. Furthermore, we explore an application in homotopy theory, where we establish both the existence and uniqueness of solutions to a class of nonlinear integral equations.

Key words: Tripled Fixed-point Theorems in C^* – algebra Valued S_b -metric Spaces, Examples to Theorems, Applications to Integral Equations and Homotopy.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 2010 MSC :54H25,47H10,54E50.

1.INTRODUCTION

Polish mathematician Stephen Banach [1] developed the most notable fixed- point theorem in 1922. It is often referred to as the Banach contraction principle and is used extensively in a variety of mathematical contexts.

The study of fixed- point theory in metric spaces is thought to have led to the discovery of the Banach contraction principle. Different generalizations of metric spaces were introduced by a number of mathematicians worldwide ([2], [3], [4], [5], [6]). The concept of C^* -algebra-valued metric spaces was first introduced by Ma et al. in 2014 [7]. They presented the notion of C^* -algebra-valued b -metric spaces in 2015 and looked at a few results [8]. In order to find similar principles, Razavi and Masiha also explored C^* -algebra-valued b -metric spaces [9].

Combining the ideas of S and b -metric spaces, Sedghi et al. [10] established S_b -metric spaces and proved common fixed -point results in these spaces. Several authors have produced a wealth of results on S_b -metric spaces (e.g., [11] [19]). principles) in an effort to improve.

Razavi et al. introduced the concept of C^* -algebra-valued S_b -metric space [20] in 2023, drawing inspiration from the work of Souayah and Mlaiki in [11]. They also established some common fixed-point results in this space [21].

This work presents a unique common tripled fixed-point theorem for pairs of mappings that satisfy a specific rational contraction condition in C^* -algebra-valued S_b -metric spaces. We can also provide appropriate and relevant examples for both integral equations and homotopy.

First, we recall some basic results.

2.PRELIMINARIES

This section provides a short introduction to some realities about the theory of C^* -algebras[24].First, suppose that $\mathcal{U}$ is a unital C^* -algebra with the unit $1_{\mathcal{U}}$. Set $\mathcal{U}_h = \{s \in \mathcal{U}; s = s^*\}$. The element $s \in \mathcal{U}$ is said to be positive, and we write $s \geq 0_{\mathcal{U}}$ if and only if $s = s^*$ and $\sigma(s) \subseteq [0, \infty)$, in which $0_{\mathcal{U}}$ in $\mathcal{U}$ is the zero element and spectrum of s is $\sigma(s)$. On $\mathcal{U}_h$, we can find a

natural partial ordering given by $\ell \leq \varphi$ if and only if $\varphi - \ell \geq 0_{\mathfrak{U}}$. we denote with $\mathfrak{U}_+ = \{s \in \mathfrak{U}; s \geq 0_{\mathfrak{U}}\}$ and $\mathfrak{U}' = \{s \in \mathfrak{U}; st = ts \ \forall t \in \mathfrak{U}\}$.

Definition 2.1. ([20]): Let G be a non-empty set and $\kappa \in \mathfrak{U}'$ with

$\|\kappa\| \geq 1$. Suppose that a mapping $S_b: G \times G \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}$ be a function satisfying the following properties

$(S_{b_1}): S_b(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \geq 0_{\mathfrak{U}}$ for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in G$,

$(S_{b_2}): S_b(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \alpha = \beta = \gamma$,

$(S_{b_3}): S_b(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \leq \kappa(S_b(\alpha, \alpha, \theta) + S_b(\beta, \beta, \theta) + S_b(\gamma, \gamma, \theta))$ for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta \in G$.

Then the function S_b is called a C^* -algebra valued S_b -metric on G and the pair $(G, \mathfrak{U}, S_b)$ is called a C^* -algebra valued S_b -metric space (C^* -AV- S_b MS) with a co-efficient κ .

Example 2.1. ([7]). Let $G = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathfrak{U} = M_2(\mathbb{R})$ be all 2×2 matrices with the usual operations of addition, scalar multiplication, and matrix multiplication. It is clear that $\|P\| =$

$\sqrt{\sum_{i,j=1}^2 |P_{i,j}|^2}$ defines a norm on $\mathfrak{U}$, where $P = (p_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{U}$.

$*$: $\mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}$ defines an involution on $\mathfrak{U}$ and where $\mathfrak{U}^* = \mathfrak{U}$. Then, $\mathfrak{U}$ is a C^* -algebra. For $P = (p_{ij})$

and $Q = (q_{ij})$ in $\mathfrak{U}$, a partial order on $\mathfrak{U}$ can be given as follows: $P \leq Q \Leftrightarrow p_{ij} - q_{ij} \leq 0$

$\forall i, j = 1, 2$. Let (G, d) be a b -metric space where, $\|\kappa\| \geq 1$ and $S_b: G \times G \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{R})$,

fulfilling $S_b(p, q, r) = \begin{bmatrix} d(p, q) + d(q, r) + d(r, p) & 0 \\ 0 & d(p, q) + d(q, r) + d(r, p) \end{bmatrix}$.

Then, clearly $(G, \mathfrak{U}, S_b)$ is a C^* -AV- S_b -MS.

Definition 2.2. ([20]): A C^* -AV- S_b -MS is symmetric

if $S_b(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = S_b(\alpha, \gamma, \beta) = S_b(\beta, \gamma, \alpha) = S_b(\beta, \alpha, \gamma) = S_b(\gamma, \alpha, \beta)$

$= S_b(\gamma, \beta, \alpha) \forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in G$

Definition 2.3. ([20]): Let $(G, \mathfrak{U}, S_b)$ be a C^* -AV- S_b -MS and $\{X_n\}$ be a sequence in G :

(1) If for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|S_b(X_{n+p}, X_{n+p}, X_n)\| \rightarrow 0$, where $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\{X_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in G .

(2) If $\|S_b(X_n, X_n, \chi)\| \rightarrow 0$, where $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\{X_n\}$ converges to χ , and we present it with

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = \chi$.

(3) If every Cauchy sequence is convergent in G , then $(G, \mathfrak{U}, S_b)$ is a complete C^* -AV- S_b -MS.

Definition 2.4. ([20]): Suppose that $(G_1, \mathfrak{U}_1, S_{b_1})$ and $(G_2, \mathfrak{U}_2, S_{b_2})$ are C^* -AV- S_b MS, and let

$\Gamma: (G_1, \mathfrak{U}_1, S_{b_1}) \rightarrow (G_2, \mathfrak{U}_2, S_{b_2})$ be a function. Then, Γ is continuous at a point $\chi \in G_1$ if, for every sequence, $\{X_n\}$ in G_1 , $S_b(X_n, X_n, \chi) \rightarrow 0_{\mathfrak{U}_1}$, ($n \rightarrow \infty$) implies $S_b(\Gamma(X_n), \Gamma(X_n), \Gamma(\chi)) \rightarrow 0_{\mathfrak{U}_2}$, where $n \rightarrow \infty$. A function Γ is continuous at G_1 if and only if it is continuous at all $\chi \in G_1$.

Lemma 2.5([23]) : Suppose that $\mathfrak{U}$ is a unital C^* -algebra with a unit $1_{\mathfrak{U}}$:

(1) If $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathfrak{U}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0_{\mathfrak{U}}$, then for any $\chi \in \mathfrak{U}$,

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi * x_n = 0_{\mathfrak{U}}$.

(2) If $\chi, \xi \in \mathfrak{U}_h$ and $s \in \mathfrak{U}'_+$ then $\chi \leq \xi$ yields $s\chi \leq s\xi$ in which $\mathfrak{U}'_+ = \mathfrak{U}_+ \cap \mathfrak{U}'$.

(3) If $\chi \in \mathfrak{U}_+$ with $\|\chi\| < \frac{1}{2}$ then $1_{\mathfrak{U}} - \chi$ is invertible, and $\|\chi(1_{\mathfrak{U}} - \chi)^{-1}\| < 1$.

(4) If $\chi, \xi \in \mathfrak{U}_+$ such that $\chi\xi = \xi\chi$, then $\chi\xi \geq 0_{\mathfrak{U}}$.

Lemma 2.6: If $(G, \mathfrak{U}, S_b)$ be a C^* -AV- S_b MS with $\|\kappa\| \geq 1$ and suppose that $\{\alpha_p\}$ is a C^* -AV- S_b -convergent to ℓ , then we have

$\frac{1}{2k} S_b(\wp, \wp, \ell) \leq \liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} S_b(\wp, \wp, \wp_p) \leq \limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} S_b(\wp, \wp, \wp_p) \leq 2k S_b(\wp, \wp, \ell)$ for all $\wp \in \mathcal{G}$. In particular, if $\ell = \wp$, then we have $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} S_b(\ell_p, \ell_p, \wp) = 0_{\mathcal{U}}$.

Proof : Using condition (S_{b_3}) of Definition 2.1, we have

$$S_b(\wp, \wp, \wp_p) \leq 2k S_b(\wp, \wp, \ell) + k S_b(\wp_p, \wp_p, \ell)$$

and

$$S_b(\wp, \wp, \ell) \leq 2k S_b(\wp, \wp, \wp_p) + k S_b(\ell, \ell, \wp_p)$$

Taking the upper limit as $p \rightarrow \infty$ in the first inequality and the lower limit as $p \rightarrow \infty$ in the second inequality we obtain the desired result.

3. MAIN RESULTS

3.1 Some Applications of Tripled Fixed-point Theorem in C^* -Algebra Valued S_b -Metric Spaces

The objective of this section is to prove the existence of common tripled fixed points under the specific rational contractive conditions and to illustrate the applicability of our findings through relevant examples drawn from existing results.

Definition 3.1.1: Let $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{A}, \varrho_\zeta)$ be a complete C^* -AV- S_b -MS with $\|\zeta\| \geq 1$. Let $\Omega: \mathcal{L}^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ be a mapping, an element $(\wp, \wp, \wp) \in \mathcal{L}^3$ is called tripled fixed point of Ω if $\Omega(\wp, \wp, \wp) = \wp$, $\Omega(\wp, \wp, \wp) = \wp$, $\Omega(\wp, \wp, \wp) = \wp$.

Theorem 3.1.1. Let $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{A}, \varrho_\zeta)$ be a complete C^* -AV- S_b -MS with $\|\zeta\| \geq 1$, , suppose $\Gamma, \Omega: \mathcal{L}^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ be two mappings satisfying for all $\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, \wp, \wp, \wp \in \mathcal{L}$

$$4\zeta^2 \varrho_\zeta(\Gamma(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}), \Gamma(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}), \Omega(\wp, \wp, \wp)) \leq \frac{a[\varrho_\zeta(\ell, \ell, \wp) + \varrho_\zeta(\kappa, \kappa, \wp) + \varrho_\zeta(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{z}, \wp)]}{3} + \frac{a[\varrho_\zeta(\ell, \ell, \Gamma(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z})) \cdot \varrho_\zeta(\wp, \wp, \Gamma(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z})) + \varrho_\zeta(\wp, \wp, \Omega(\wp, \wp, \wp)) \cdot \varrho_\zeta(\ell, \ell, \Omega(\wp, \wp, \wp))]}{1 + \varrho_\zeta(\ell, \ell, \Omega(\wp, \wp, \wp)) + \varrho_\zeta(\wp, \wp, \Gamma(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}))} \dots (3.1.1) \quad \text{Where}$$

$a \in \mathcal{A}_+$ in which $\|a\| < \frac{1}{2}$. Then Γ and Ω have a unique common tripled fixed point in $\mathcal{L}$.

Proof: Let $\wp_0, \wp_0, \wp_0 \in \mathcal{L}$ be arbitrary, we construct the sequences $\{\wp_p\}, \{\wp_p\}, \{\wp_p\}$ in $\mathcal{L}$ as

$$\Gamma(\wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}) = \wp_{2p+1}, \quad \Gamma(\wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}) = \wp_{2p+1}, \quad \Gamma(\wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}) = \wp_{2p+1}$$

$$\Omega(\wp_{2p+1}, \wp_{2p+1}, \wp_{2p+1}) = \wp_{2p+2}, \quad \Omega(\wp_{2p+1}, \wp_{2p+1}, \wp_{2p+1}) = \wp_{2p+2}, \quad \Omega(\wp_{2p+1}, \wp_{2p+1}, \wp_{2p+1}) = \wp_{2p+2}$$

$$\Omega(\wp_{2p+1}, \wp_{2p+1}, \wp_{2p+1}) = \wp_{2p+2} \quad \text{where } p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

To establish the existence of a common tripled fixed point for the mappings Γ, Ω in $\mathcal{L}$, suppose that $\varrho_\zeta(\wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p+1}) > 0_{\mathcal{A}}$, $\varrho_\zeta(\wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p+1}) > 0_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\varrho_\zeta(\wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p+1}) > 0_{\mathcal{A}} \quad \forall p$. If this assumption fails, then there exists some p such that $\wp_{2p} = \wp_{2p+1}$, $\wp_{2p} = \wp_{2p+1}$ and $\wp_{2p} = \wp_{2p+1}$. Consequently, $(\wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p})$ serves as a common tripled fixed point of Γ, Ω and hence completing the proof. By using (3.1.1), for each $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_\zeta(\wp_{2p+1}, \wp_{2p+1}, \wp_{2p+2}) &= \varrho_\zeta(\Gamma(\wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}), \Gamma(\wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}), \Omega(\wp_{2p+1}, \wp_{2p+1}, \wp_{2p+1})) \\ &\leq 4\zeta^2 \varrho_\zeta(\Gamma(\wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}), \Gamma(\wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}), \Omega(\wp_{2p+1}, \wp_{2p+1}, \wp_{2p+1})) \\ &\leq \frac{a[\varrho_\zeta(\wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p+1}) + \varrho_\zeta(\wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p+1}) + \varrho_\zeta(\wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p+1})]}{3} \\ &\quad + \frac{a\left\{ \varrho_\zeta(\wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}, \Gamma(\wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p})) \cdot \varrho_\zeta(\wp_{2p+1}, \wp_{2p+1}, \Gamma(\wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p})) \right.}{1 + \varrho_\zeta(\wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}, \Omega(\wp_{2p+1}, \wp_{2p+1}, \wp_{2p+1})) + \varrho_\zeta(\wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}, \Gamma(\wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}))} \\ &\quad \left. + \varrho_\zeta(\wp_{2p+1}, \wp_{2p+1}, \Omega(\wp_{2p+1}, \wp_{2p+1}, \wp_{2p+1})) \cdot \varrho_\zeta(\wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}, \Omega(\wp_{2p+1}, \wp_{2p+1}, \wp_{2p+1})) \right\}}{1 + \varrho_\zeta(\wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}, \Omega(\wp_{2p+1}, \wp_{2p+1}, \wp_{2p+1})) + \varrho_\zeta(\wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}, \Gamma(\wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}))} \\ &\leq \frac{a[\varrho_\zeta(\wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p+1}) + \varrho_\zeta(\wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p+1}) + \varrho_\zeta(\wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p}, \wp_{2p+1})]}{3} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & a[\varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{2p}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}) \cdot \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}) \\
 & + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+2}) \cdot \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{2p}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+2})] \\
 & + \frac{1 + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{2p}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+2}) + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{2p}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+1})}{3} \\
 & \leq \frac{a[\varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{2p}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}) + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{2p}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}) + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{s}_{2p}, \mathfrak{s}_{2p}, \mathfrak{s}_{2p+1})]}{3} \\
 & + a\varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+2})
 \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+2}) & \leq \frac{a(1_{\mathcal{A}} - a)^{-1}}{3} \left\{ \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{2p}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}) + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{2p}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{s}_{2p}, \mathfrak{s}_{2p}, \mathfrak{s}_{2p+1}) \right\} \dots \\
 & \dots \dots \dots (3.1.2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+2}) & \leq \frac{a(1_{\mathcal{A}} - a)^{-1}}{3} \left\{ \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{2p}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}) + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{2p}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}) \right\} \dots \\
 & \quad + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{s}_{2p}, \mathfrak{s}_{2p}, \mathfrak{s}_{2p+1}) \dots \dots \dots (3.1.3)
 \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{s}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{s}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{s}_{2p+2}) & \leq \frac{a(1_{\mathcal{A}} - a)^{-1}}{3} \left\{ \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{2p}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}) + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{2p}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}) \right\} \dots \dots \dots \\
 & \quad + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{s}_{2p}, \mathfrak{s}_{2p}, \mathfrak{s}_{2p+1}) \dots \dots \dots (3.1.4)
 \end{aligned}$$

Adding (3.1.2), (3.1.3) and (3.1.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+2}) + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+2}) + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{s}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{s}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{s}_{2p+2}) \\
 & \leq a(1_{\mathcal{A}} - a)^{-1} \left\{ \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{2p}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}) + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{2p}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}) \right\} \\
 & \quad + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{s}_{2p}, \mathfrak{s}_{2p}, \mathfrak{s}_{2p+1})
 \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \| \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+2}) + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+2}) + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{s}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{s}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{s}_{2p+2}) \| \\
 & \leq \| a(1_{\mathcal{A}} - a)^{-1} \| \left\| \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{2p}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}) + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{2p}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}) \right\| \\
 & \quad + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{s}_{2p}, \mathfrak{s}_{2p}, \mathfrak{s}_{2p+1})
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $b = a(1_{\mathcal{A}} - a)^{-1}$ and by using Lemma 2.5, we have $a \in \mathcal{A}'_+$ with $\|a\| < \frac{1}{2}$ one has $(1_{\mathcal{A}} - a)^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}'_+$ and $a(1_{\mathcal{A}} - a)^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}'_+$ with $\|a(1_{\mathcal{A}} - a)^{-1}\| < 1$ so that $\|b\| < 1$.

Let $\Delta_{2p+1} = \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+2}) + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{x}_{2p+2}) + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{s}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{s}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{s}_{2p+2})$ then we have

$$\|\Delta_{2p+1}\| \leq \|b\| \|\Delta_{2p}\| \leq \|b\|^2 \|\Delta_{2p-1}\| \leq \dots \dots \dots \leq \|b\|^{2p+1} \|\Delta_0\|$$

It follows that as $p \rightarrow \infty$, we get $\Delta_p \rightarrow 0_{\mathcal{A}}$. If $\Delta_0 = 0_{\mathcal{A}}$, then from (S_{b_2}) of Definition 2.1, we know $(\mathfrak{x}_0, \mathfrak{o}_0, \mathfrak{s}_0)$ is a tripled fixed point of Γ, Ω . Now letting $\Delta_0 > 0_{\mathcal{A}}$, for any $p \in N$ and $l \in N$, using condition (S_{b_3}) of definition 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{p+l}, \mathfrak{x}_{p+l}, \mathfrak{x}_p) & \leq \varsigma \left(\varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{p+l}, \mathfrak{x}_{p+l}, \mathfrak{x}_{p+l-1}) + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{p+l}, \mathfrak{x}_{p+l}, \mathfrak{x}_{p+l-1}) \right) \\
 & \quad + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_p, \mathfrak{x}_p, \mathfrak{x}_{p+l-1}) \\
 & \leq 2\varsigma \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{p+l}, \mathfrak{x}_{p+l}, \mathfrak{x}_{p+l-1}) + \varsigma \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_p, \mathfrak{x}_p, \mathfrak{x}_{p+l-1}) \\
 & \leq 2\varsigma \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{p+l}, \mathfrak{x}_{p+l}, \mathfrak{x}_{p+l-1}) + 2\varsigma^2 \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{p+l-1}, \mathfrak{x}_{p+l-1}, \mathfrak{x}_{p+l-2}) \\
 & + \varsigma^2 \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{p+l-2}, \mathfrak{x}_{p+l-2}, \mathfrak{x}_p) \\
 & \dots \dots \dots \\
 & \leq 2\varsigma \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{p+l}, \mathfrak{x}_{p+l}, \mathfrak{x}_{p+l-1}) + 2\varsigma^2 \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{p+l-1}, \mathfrak{x}_{p+l-1}, \mathfrak{x}_{p+l-2}) \\
 & + 2\varsigma^3 \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{p+l-2}, \mathfrak{x}_{p+l-2}, \mathfrak{x}_{p+l-3}) + \dots \dots \dots + 2\varsigma^l \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{x}_{p+1}, \mathfrak{x}_{p+1}, \mathfrak{x}_p)
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{a}_{p+l}, \mathfrak{a}_{p+l}, \mathfrak{a}_p) \leq 2\zeta \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{a}_{p+l}, \mathfrak{a}_{p+l}, \mathfrak{a}_{p+l-1}) + 2\zeta^2 \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{a}_{p+l-1}, \mathfrak{a}_{p+l-1}, \mathfrak{a}_{p+l-2}) \\ + 2\zeta^3 \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{a}_{p+l-2}, \mathfrak{a}_{p+l-2}, \mathfrak{a}_{p+l-3}) + \dots + 2\zeta^l \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{a}_{p+1}, \mathfrak{a}_{p+1}, \mathfrak{a}_p)$$

And

$$\varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{s}_{p+l}, \mathfrak{s}_{p+l}, \mathfrak{s}_p) \leq 2\zeta \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{s}_{p+l}, \mathfrak{s}_{p+l}, \mathfrak{s}_{p+l-1}) + 2\zeta^2 \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{s}_{p+l-1}, \mathfrak{s}_{p+l-1}, \mathfrak{s}_{p+l-2}) \\ + 2\zeta^3 \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{s}_{p+l-2}, \mathfrak{s}_{p+l-2}, \mathfrak{s}_{p+l-3}) + \dots + 2\zeta^l \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{s}_{p+1}, \mathfrak{s}_{p+1}, \mathfrak{s}_p)$$

Consequently,

$$\varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{a}_{p+l}, \mathfrak{a}_{p+l}, \mathfrak{a}_p) + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{a}_{p+l}, \mathfrak{a}_{p+l}, \mathfrak{a}_p) + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{s}_{p+l}, \mathfrak{s}_{p+l}, \mathfrak{s}_p) \\ \leq 2\zeta \Delta_{p+l-1} + 2\zeta^2 \Delta_{p+l-2} + 2\zeta^3 \Delta_{p+l-3} + \dots + 2\zeta^l \Delta_p \\ \leq 2\zeta b^{p+l-1} \Delta_0 + 2\zeta^2 b^{p+l-2} \Delta_0 + 2\zeta^3 b^{p+l-3} \Delta_0 + \dots + 2\zeta^l b^p \Delta_0 \\ \leq 2 \sum_{m=p}^{m=p+l-1} \zeta^{l-m+p} b^{\frac{m}{2}} \Delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}} b^{\frac{m}{2}} \\ \leq 2 \sum_{m=p}^{m=p+l-1} \zeta^{l-m+p} \left(b^{\frac{m}{2}} \Delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^* \left(b^{\frac{m}{2}} \Delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\ = 2 \sum_{m=p}^{m=p+l-1} \zeta^{l-m+p} \left| b^{\frac{m}{2}} \Delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \right|^2 \leq 2 \left\| \sum_{m=p}^{m=p+l-1} \zeta^{l-m+p} \left| b^{\frac{m}{2}} \Delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \right|^2 \right\| 1_{\mathcal{A}} \\ \leq 2 \|\Delta_0\| \sum_{m=p}^{m=p+l-1} \|b\|^m \|\zeta^{l-m+p}\| 1_{\mathcal{A}} \\ \leq 2 \|\Delta_0\| \frac{\|\zeta\|^{l+1} \|b\|^m}{\|\zeta\| - \|b\|} 1_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } p \rightarrow \infty.$$

This implies that $\{\mathfrak{a}_p\}$, $\{\mathfrak{a}_p\}$ and $\{\mathfrak{s}_p\}$ are Cauchy sequences in $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{A}, \varrho_{\zeta})$ with respect to $\mathcal{A}$. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is complete, there exist $\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z} \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\mathfrak{a}_p \rightarrow \mathfrak{x}$, $\mathfrak{a}_p \rightarrow \mathfrak{y}$, $\mathfrak{s}_p \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. Now we show that $\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z}) = \mathfrak{x}$, $\Gamma(\mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{x}) = \mathfrak{y}$, $\Gamma(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}) = \mathfrak{z}$. we suppose on contrary that $\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z}) \neq \mathfrak{x}$, $\Gamma(\mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{x}) \neq \mathfrak{y}$, $\Gamma(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}) \neq \mathfrak{z}$, by Lemma (2.6), we have

$$\frac{1}{2\zeta} \varrho_{\zeta}(\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z}), \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z}), \mathfrak{x}) \leq \liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_{\zeta}(\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z}), \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z}), \mathfrak{a}_{2p+2}) \\ = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sup \varrho_{\zeta}(\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z}), \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z}), \Omega(\mathfrak{a}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{a}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{s}_{2p+1})) \\ \leq \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{1}{4\zeta^2} \left\{ \frac{a\{\varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{a}_{2p+1}) + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{a}_{2p+1}) + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{s}_{2p+1})\}}{3} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{a\left\{ \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{x}, \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z})) \cdot \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{a}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{a}_{2p+1}, \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z})) \right\}}{\varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{a}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{a}_{2p+1}, \Omega(\mathfrak{a}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{a}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{s}_{2p+1})) \cdot \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{x}, \Omega(\mathfrak{a}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{a}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{s}_{2p+1}))} \right\} \\ \leq \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{1}{4\zeta^2} \left\{ \frac{a\{\varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{a}_{2p+1}) + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{a}_{2p+1}) + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{s}_{2p+1})\}}{3} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{a\left\{ \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{x}, \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z})) \cdot \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{a}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{a}_{2p+1}, \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z})) \right\}}{\varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{a}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{a}_{2p+1}, \mathfrak{a}_{2p+2}) \cdot \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{a}_{2p+2})} \right\} \\ \leq \frac{1}{4\zeta^2} 2\zeta a \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{x}, \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z})) \leq \frac{1}{2\zeta} \varrho_{\zeta}(\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z}), \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z}), \mathfrak{x})$$

It follows that,

$$\|\varrho_{\zeta}(\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z}), \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z}), \mathfrak{x})\| \leq \|a\| \|\varrho_{\zeta}(\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z}), \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z}), \mathfrak{x})\|$$

Since $\|a\| < \frac{1}{2}$, which implies that $\|\varrho_{\zeta}(\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z}), \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z}), \mathfrak{x})\| = 0$ and hence $\varrho_{\zeta}(\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z}), \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z}), \mathfrak{x}) = 0_{\mathcal{A}}$, imply that $\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z}) = \mathfrak{x}$. Similarly we can prove that $\Gamma(\mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{x}) = \mathfrak{y}$ and $\Gamma(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}) = \mathfrak{z}$. So, we have proved $(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z})$ is common tripled fixed point (CTFP) of Γ, Ω . Now we show Γ and Ω have unique common tripled fixed point. For this assume $(\mathfrak{x}', \mathfrak{y}', \mathfrak{z}') \in \mathcal{L}$ is another common tripled fixed point of Γ and Ω . Then

$$0_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{x}') = \varrho_{\zeta}(\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z}), \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z}), \Omega(\mathfrak{x}', \mathfrak{y}', \mathfrak{z}')) \\ \leq 4\zeta^2 \varrho_{\zeta}(\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z}), \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z}), \Omega(\mathfrak{x}', \mathfrak{y}', \mathfrak{z}'))$$

$$\leq \frac{a\{\varrho_{\zeta}(x, x, x') + \varrho_{\zeta}(y, y, y') + \varrho_{\zeta}(z, z, z')\}}{3} \\ + \frac{a\left\{ \frac{\varrho_{\zeta}(x, x, \Gamma(x, y, z)) \cdot \varrho_{\zeta}(x', x', \Gamma(x, y, z))}{\varrho_{\zeta}(x', x', \Omega(x', y', z')) \cdot \varrho_{\zeta}(x, x, \Omega(x', y', z'))} \right\}}{1 + \varrho_{\zeta}(x, x, \Omega(x', y', z')) + \varrho_{\zeta}(x', x', \Gamma(x, y, z))} \\ \leq \frac{a\{\varrho_{\zeta}(x, x, x') + \varrho_{\zeta}(y, y, y') + \varrho_{\zeta}(z, z, z')\}}{3}$$

Similarly,

$$0_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \varrho_{\zeta}(y, y, y') \leq \frac{a\{\varrho_{\zeta}(x, x, x') + \varrho_{\zeta}(y, y, y') + \varrho_{\zeta}(z, z, z')\}}{3}$$

And

$$0_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \varrho_{\zeta}(z, z, z') \leq \frac{a\{\varrho_{\zeta}(x, x, x') + \varrho_{\zeta}(y, y, y') + \varrho_{\zeta}(z, z, z')\}}{3}$$

Therefore,

$$\|\varrho_{\zeta}(x, x, x') + \varrho_{\zeta}(y, y, y') + \varrho_{\zeta}(z, z, z')\| < \|a\| \|\varrho_{\zeta}(x, x, x') + \varrho_{\zeta}(y, y, y') + \varrho_{\zeta}(z, z, z')\|$$

Since $\|a\| < \frac{1}{2}$, it is incongruous. Consequently, $x = x', y = y', z = z'$

Therefore, UCTFP of Γ and Ω is (x, y, z) .

Theorem 3.1.2. Let $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{A}, \varrho_{\zeta})$ be a complete C^* -AV- S_b -MS with $\|\zeta\| \geq 1$. Suppose that the mapping $\Gamma: \mathcal{L}^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ satisfies for all $\ell, \kappa, z, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}, s \in \mathcal{L}$,

$$\varrho_{\zeta}(\Gamma(\ell, \kappa, z), \Gamma(\ell, \kappa, z), \Gamma(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}, s)) \leq a \left\{ \frac{\frac{\varrho_{\zeta}(\ell, \ell, \mathfrak{a}) + \varrho_{\zeta}(\kappa, \kappa, \mathfrak{o}) + \varrho_{\zeta}(z, z, s)}{3}}{\varrho_{\zeta}(\Gamma(\ell, \kappa, z), \Gamma(\ell, \kappa, z), \mathfrak{a}), \varrho_{\zeta}(\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}, s), \Gamma(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}, s), \ell)} + \frac{\varrho_{\zeta}(\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}, s), \Gamma(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}, s), \ell)}{1 + \varrho_{\zeta}(\ell, \ell, \mathfrak{a}) + \varrho_{\zeta}(\kappa, \kappa, \mathfrak{o}) + \varrho_{\zeta}(z, z, s)} \right\} a^* \quad (3.1.5)$$

Where $a \in \mathcal{A}_+$ in which $\|a\| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. Then Γ has a unique tripled fixed point in $\mathcal{L}$.

Proof: Let $\mathfrak{a}_0, \mathfrak{o}_0, s_0 \in \mathcal{L}$ be arbitrary, we construct the sequences $\{\mathfrak{a}_p\}, \{\mathfrak{o}_p\}, \{s_p\}$ in $\mathcal{L}$ as

$\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{o}_p, s_p) = \mathfrak{a}_{p+1}, \Gamma(\mathfrak{o}_p, s_p, \mathfrak{a}_p) = \mathfrak{o}_{p+1}, \Gamma(s_p, \mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{o}_p) = s_{p+1}$ where $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

In C^* -algebra, if $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $a \leq b$, then for any $j \in \mathcal{A}_+$ both j^*aj and j^*bj are positive elements and $j^*aj \leq j^*bj$. By using (5.1.5), for each $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{a}_{p+1}) = \varrho_{\zeta}(\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{o}_{p-1}, s_{p-1}), \Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{o}_{p-1}, s_{p-1}), \Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{o}_p, s_p)) \\ \leq a \left\{ \frac{\frac{\varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{a}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{a}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{a}_p) + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{o}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{o}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{o}_p) + \varrho_{\zeta}(s_{p-1}, s_{p-1}, s_p)}{3}}{\varrho_{\zeta}(\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{a}_{p-1}, s_{p-1}), \Gamma(\ell, \kappa, z), \mathfrak{a}), \varrho_{\zeta}(\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{o}_p, s_p), \Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{o}_p, s_p), \mathfrak{a}_{p-1})} + \frac{\varrho_{\zeta}(\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{o}_p, s_p), \Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{o}_p, s_p), \mathfrak{a}_{p-1})}{1 + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{a}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{a}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{a}_p) + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{o}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{o}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{o}_p) + \varrho_{\zeta}(s_{p-1}, s_{p-1}, s_p)} \right\} a^* \\ \leq a \left\{ \frac{\varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{a}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{a}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{a}_p) + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{o}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{o}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{o}_p) + \varrho_{\zeta}(s_{p-1}, s_{p-1}, s_p)}{3} \right\} a^* \quad \dots (3.1.6)$$

Similarly, we can prove that

$$\varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{o}_p, \mathfrak{o}_p, \mathfrak{o}_{p+1}) \leq a \left\{ \frac{\varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{a}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{a}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{a}_p) + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{o}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{o}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{o}_p) + \varrho_{\zeta}(s_{p-1}, s_{p-1}, s_p)}{3} \right\} a^* \quad \dots (3.1.7)$$

Also

$$\varrho_{\zeta}(s_p, s, s_{p+1}) \leq a \left\{ \frac{\varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{a}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{a}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{a}_p) + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{o}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{o}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{o}_p) + \varrho_{\zeta}(s_{p-1}, s_{p-1}, s_p)}{3} \right\} a^* \quad \dots (3.1.8)$$

Adding (3.1.6), (3.1.7) and (3.1.8), we get

$$\varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{a}_{p+1}) + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{o}_p, \mathfrak{o}_p, \mathfrak{o}_{p+1}) + \varrho_{\zeta}(s_p, s, s_{p+1}) \\ \leq a \{ \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{a}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{a}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{a}_p) + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{o}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{o}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{o}_p) + \varrho_{\zeta}(s_{p-1}, s_{p-1}, s_p) \} a^*$$

Let $\Delta_p = \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{a}_{p+1}) + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{o}_p, \mathfrak{o}_p, \mathfrak{o}_{p+1}) + \varrho_{\zeta}(s_p, s, s_{p+1})$ then we have

$$\Delta_p \leq a \Delta_{p-1} a^* \leq a^2 \Delta_{p-1} (a^*)^2 \leq \dots \dots \dots a^p \Delta_{p-1} (a^*)^p$$

Now letting $\Delta_0 > 0_{\mathcal{A}}$, for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$, using condition (S_{b_3}) of definition 2.1 similar to the concept of Cauchy Sequences in Theorem 3.1.1, then consequently, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{x}_p, \mathfrak{x}_p, \mathfrak{x}_{p+1}) + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{o}_p, \mathfrak{o}_p, \mathfrak{o}_{p+1}) + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{s}_p, \mathfrak{s}_p, \mathfrak{s}_{p+1}) \\
 & \leq 2\zeta \Delta_{p+l-1} + 2\zeta^2 \Delta_{p+l-2} + 2\zeta^3 \Delta_{p+l-3} + \dots + 2\zeta^l \Delta_p \\
 & \leq 2\zeta a^{p+l-1} \Delta_0(a^*)^{p+l-1} + 2\zeta^2 a^{p+l-2} \Delta_0(a^*)^{p+l-2} + 2\zeta^3 a^{p+l-3} \Delta_0(a^*)^{p+l-3} + \dots + 2\zeta^l a^p \Delta_0(a^*)^p \\
 & \leq 2 \sum_{m=p}^{m=p+l-1} \zeta^{l-m+p} a^m \Delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(a^*)^m \\
 & \leq 2 \sum_{m=p}^{m=p+l-1} \zeta^{l-m+p} \left(a^m \Delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \left(a^m \Delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^* \\
 & = 2 \sum_{m=p}^{m=p+l-1} \zeta^{l-m+p} \left| a^m \Delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \right|^2 \leq 2 \left\| \sum_{m=p}^{m=p+l-1} \zeta^{l-m+p} \left| a^m \Delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \right|^2 \right\| 1_{\mathcal{A}} \\
 & \leq 2 \|\Delta_0\| \sum_{m=p}^{m=p+l-1} \|a\|^{2m} \|\zeta^{l-m+p}\| 1_{\mathcal{A}} \\
 & \leq 2 \|\Delta_0\| \frac{\|\zeta\|^{l+1} \|a\|^{2p}}{\|\zeta\| - \|a\|} 1_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } p \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\{\mathfrak{x}_p\}$, $\{\mathfrak{o}_p\}$ and $\{\mathfrak{s}_p\}$ are Cauchy sequences in $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{A}, \varrho_{\zeta})$ with respect to $\mathcal{A}$. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is complete, there exist $\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^* \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\mathfrak{x}_p \rightarrow \mathfrak{x}^*$, $\mathfrak{o}_p \rightarrow \mathfrak{y}^*$, $\mathfrak{s}_p \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}^*$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, from Lemma (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2\zeta} \varrho_{\zeta}(\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*), \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*), \mathfrak{x}^*) \leq \liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_{\zeta}(\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*), \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*), \mathfrak{x}_{p+1}) \\
 & = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \inf \varrho_{\zeta}(\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*), \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*), \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}_p, \mathfrak{o}_p, \mathfrak{s}_p))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} a \left\{ \frac{a\{\varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{x}_p) + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{o}_p) + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{z}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*, \mathfrak{s}_p)\}}{3} + \frac{\{\varrho_{\zeta}(\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*), \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*), \mathfrak{x}_p) \cdot \varrho_{\zeta}(\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}_p, \mathfrak{o}_p, \mathfrak{s}_p), \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}_p, \mathfrak{o}_p, \mathfrak{s}_p), \mathfrak{x}^*)\}}{1 + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{x}_p) + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{o}_p) + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{z}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*, \mathfrak{s}_p)} \right\} a^* = 0_{\mathcal{A}}$$

Hence, we obtain that $\varrho_{\zeta}(\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*), \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*), \mathfrak{x}^*) = 0_{\mathcal{A}}$ imply that $\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*) = \mathfrak{x}^*$. similarly, we can prove $\Gamma(\mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*, \mathfrak{x}^*) = \mathfrak{y}^*$ and $\Gamma(\mathfrak{z}^*, \mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*) = \mathfrak{z}^*$. So, we have proved $(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*)$ is tripled fixed point (TFP) of Γ . Now $(\mathfrak{x}', \mathfrak{y}', \mathfrak{z}') \in \mathcal{L}$ is another common tripled fixed point of Γ and Ω . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 0_{\mathcal{A}} & \leq \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{x}') = \varrho_{\zeta}(\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*), \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*), \Omega(\mathfrak{x}', \mathfrak{y}', \mathfrak{z}')) \\
 & \leq a \left\{ \frac{\varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{x}') + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{y}') + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{z}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*, \mathfrak{z}')}{3} + \frac{\varrho_{\zeta}(\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*), \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*), \mathfrak{x}') \cdot \varrho_{\zeta}(\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}', \mathfrak{y}', \mathfrak{z}'), \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}', \mathfrak{y}', \mathfrak{z}'), \mathfrak{x}^*)}{1 + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{x}') + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{y}') + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{z}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*, \mathfrak{z}')} \right\} a^* \\
 & \leq \frac{a\{\varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{x}') + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{y}') + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{z}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*, \mathfrak{z}')\} a^*}{3} + a \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{x}') a^*
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$0_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{y}') \leq \frac{a\{\varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{x}') + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{y}') + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{z}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*, \mathfrak{z}')\}}{3} + a \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{y}') a^*$$

And

$$0_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{z}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*, \mathfrak{z}') \leq \frac{a\{\varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{x}') + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{y}') + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{z}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*, \mathfrak{z}')\}}{3} + a \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{z}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*, \mathfrak{z}') a^*$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 & \leq \|\varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{x}') + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{y}') + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{z}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*, \mathfrak{z}')\| \\
 & \leq \|a\|^2 (1 - \|a\|^2)^{-1} \|\varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{x}') + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{y}') + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{z}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*, \mathfrak{z}')\|
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|a\| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, so that $(1 - \|a\|^2)^{-1} < 1$, it is incongruous. Consequently, $\mathfrak{x}^* = \mathfrak{x}'$, $\mathfrak{y}^* = \mathfrak{y}'$,

$$\mathfrak{z}^* = \mathfrak{z}'$$

Therefore, UCTFP of Γ is $(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*)$.

Theorem 3.1.3: Let $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{A}, \varrho_\zeta)$ be a complete $\mathcal{C}^*$ -AV- S_b -MS with $\|\zeta\| \geq 1$. Suppose that the mapping $\Gamma: \mathcal{L}^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ satisfies for all $\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{L}$, $\varrho_\zeta(\Gamma(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}), \Gamma(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}), \Gamma(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{s})) \leq$

$$a \left\{ \frac{\varrho_\zeta(\Gamma(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}), \Gamma(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}), \ell) + \varrho_\zeta(\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{s}), \Gamma(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{s}), \mathfrak{a}) + \varrho_\zeta(\Gamma(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}), \Gamma(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}), \mathfrak{a}) \cdot \varrho_\zeta(\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{s}), \Gamma(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{s}), \ell)}{1 + \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varrho_\zeta(\Gamma(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}), \Gamma(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}), \mathfrak{a}) \\ + \varrho_\zeta(\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{s}), \Gamma(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{s}), \ell) \\ + \varrho_\zeta(\ell, \ell, \mathfrak{a}) + \varrho_\zeta(\kappa, \kappa, \mathfrak{o}) \\ + \varrho_\zeta(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{s}) \end{array} \right\}} \right\} \dots\dots\dots (3.1.9)$$

Where $a \in \mathcal{A}_+$ in which $\|a\| < \frac{1}{2}$. Then Γ has a unique tripled fixed point in $\mathcal{L}$.

Proof: Let $\mathfrak{a}_0, \mathfrak{o}_0, \mathfrak{s}_0 \in \mathcal{L}$ be arbitrary, we construct the sequences $\{\mathfrak{a}_p\}, \{\mathfrak{o}_p\}, \{\mathfrak{s}_p\}$ in $\mathcal{L}$ as $\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{o}_p, \mathfrak{s}_p) = \mathfrak{a}_{p+1}$, $\Gamma(\mathfrak{o}_p, \mathfrak{s}_p, \mathfrak{a}_p) = \mathfrak{o}_{p+1}$, $\Gamma(\mathfrak{s}_p, \mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{o}_p) = \mathfrak{s}_{p+1}$ where $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

From (5.1.9), for each $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\varrho_\zeta(\mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{a}_{p+1}) = \varrho_\zeta(\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{o}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{s}_{p-1}), \Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{o}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{s}_{p-1}), \Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{o}_p, \mathfrak{s}_p)) \leq 4\zeta^2 \varrho_\zeta(\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{o}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{s}_{p-1}), \Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{o}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{s}_{p-1}), \Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{o}_p, \mathfrak{s}_p))$$

$$\leq a \left\{ \frac{\varrho_\zeta(\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{o}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{s}_{p-1}), \Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{o}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{s}_{p-1}), \mathfrak{a}_{p-1}) + \varrho_\zeta(\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{o}_p, \mathfrak{s}_p), \Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{o}_p, \mathfrak{s}_p), \mathfrak{a}_p) + \varrho_\zeta(\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{o}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{s}_{p-1}), \Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{o}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{s}_{p-1}), \mathfrak{a}_p) \cdot \varrho_\zeta(\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{o}_p, \mathfrak{s}_p), \Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{o}_p, \mathfrak{s}_p), \mathfrak{a}_{p-1})}{1 + \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varrho_\zeta(\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{o}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{s}_{p-1}), \Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{o}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{s}_{p-1}), \mathfrak{a}_p) \\ + \varrho_\zeta(\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{o}_p, \mathfrak{s}_p), \Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{o}_p, \mathfrak{s}_p), \mathfrak{a}_{p-1}) \\ + \varrho_\zeta(\mathfrak{a}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{a}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{a}_p) + \varrho_\zeta(\mathfrak{o}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{o}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{o}_p) \\ + \varrho_\zeta(\mathfrak{s}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{s}_{p-1}, \mathfrak{s}_p) \end{array} \right\}} \right\}$$

$$\leq a(\varrho_\zeta(\mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{a}_{p-1}) + \varrho_\zeta(\mathfrak{a}_{p+1}, \mathfrak{a}_{p+1}, \mathfrak{a}_p))$$

$$\text{Thus, } \varrho_\zeta(\mathfrak{a}_{p+1}, \mathfrak{a}_{p+1}, \mathfrak{a}_p) \leq a(1_{\mathcal{A}} - a)^{-1} \varrho_\zeta(\mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{a}_{p-1})$$

Since $b = a(1_{\mathcal{A}} - a)^{-1}$ and by using Lemma 2.5, we have $a \in \mathcal{A}'_+$ with $\|a\| < \frac{1}{2}$ one has

$(1_{\mathcal{A}} - a)^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}'_+$ and $a(1_{\mathcal{A}} - a)^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}'_+$ with $\|a(1_{\mathcal{A}} - a)^{-1}\| < 1$ so that $\|b\| < 1$. Hence $\varrho_\zeta(\mathfrak{a}_{p+1}, \mathfrak{a}_{p+1}, \mathfrak{a}_p) \leq b \varrho_\zeta(\mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{a}_{p-1})$. Similarly, we have $\varrho_\zeta(\mathfrak{o}_{p+1}, \mathfrak{o}_{p+1}, \mathfrak{o}_p) \leq b \varrho_\zeta(\mathfrak{o}_p, \mathfrak{o}_p, \mathfrak{o}_{p-1})$ and $\varrho_\zeta(\mathfrak{s}_{p+1}, \mathfrak{s}_{p+1}, \mathfrak{s}_p) \leq b \varrho_\zeta(\mathfrak{s}_p, \mathfrak{s}_p, \mathfrak{s}_{p-1})$.

Which implies that $\{\mathfrak{a}_p\}, \{\mathfrak{o}_p\}$ and $\{\mathfrak{s}_p\}$ are Cauchy sequences in $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{A}, \varrho_\zeta)$ with respect to $\mathcal{A}$, therefore by completeness of $\mathcal{L}$ there exist $\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^* \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{a}_p = \mathfrak{x}^*$, $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{o}_p = \mathfrak{y}^*$,

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{s}_p = \mathfrak{z}^*.$$

$$\frac{1}{2\zeta} \varrho_\zeta(\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*), \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*), \mathfrak{x}^*) \leq \liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_\zeta(\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*), \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*), \mathfrak{a}_{p+1})$$

$$= \liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_\zeta(\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*), \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*), \Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{o}_p, \mathfrak{s}_p))$$

$$\leq \limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4\zeta^2} a \left\{ \frac{\varrho_\zeta(\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*), \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*), \mathfrak{x}^*) + \varrho_\zeta(\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{o}_p, \mathfrak{s}_p), \Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{o}_p, \mathfrak{s}_p), \mathfrak{a}_p) + \varrho_\zeta(\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*), \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*), \mathfrak{a}_p) \cdot \varrho_\zeta(\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{o}_p, \mathfrak{s}_p), \Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{o}_p, \mathfrak{s}_p), \mathfrak{x}^*)}{1 + \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varrho_\zeta(\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*), \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*), \mathfrak{a}_p) \\ + \varrho_\zeta(\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{o}_p, \mathfrak{s}_p), \Gamma(\mathfrak{a}_p, \mathfrak{o}_p, \mathfrak{s}_p), \mathfrak{x}^*) \\ + \varrho_\zeta(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{a}_p) + \varrho_\zeta(\mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{o}_p) \\ + \varrho_\zeta(\mathfrak{z}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*, \mathfrak{s}_p) \end{array} \right\}} \right\}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\zeta} a \varrho_\zeta(\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*), \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}^*, \mathfrak{y}^*, \mathfrak{z}^*), \mathfrak{x}^*)$$

It follows that

$$\| \varrho_{\varsigma}(\Gamma(x^*, y^*, z^*), \Gamma(x^*, y^*, z^*), x^*) \| \leq \|a\| \| \varrho_{\varsigma}(\Gamma(x^*, y^*, z^*), \Gamma(x^*, y^*, z^*), x^*) \|$$

Since $\|a\| < \frac{1}{2}$, which implies that $\| \varrho_{\varsigma}(\Gamma(x^*, y^*, z^*), \Gamma(x^*, y^*, z^*), x^*) \| = 0$ and hence $\varrho_{\varsigma}(\Gamma(x^*, y^*, z^*), \Gamma(x^*, y^*, z^*), x^*) = 0_{\mathcal{A}}$, imply that $\Gamma(x^*, y^*, z^*) = x^*$. Similarly we can prove that $\Gamma(y^*, z^*, x^*) = y^*$ and $\Gamma(z^*, x^*, y^*) = z^*$. Hence (x^*, y^*, z^*) is the tripled fixed point of Γ .

Now if $(x', y', z') \in \mathcal{L}$ is another common tripled fixed point of Γ . Then by applying Eq. (3.1.9)

$$0_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \varrho_{\varsigma}(x^*, x^*, x') = \varrho_{\varsigma}(\Gamma(x^*, y^*, z^*), \Gamma(x^*, y^*, z^*), \Gamma(x', y', z'))$$

$$\leq 4\varsigma^2 \varrho_{\varsigma}(\Gamma(x^*, y^*, z^*), \Gamma(x^*, y^*, z^*), \Gamma(x', y', z'))$$

$$\leq a \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varrho_{\varsigma}(\Gamma(x^*, y^*, z^*), \Gamma(x^*, y^*, z^*), x^*) \\ + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\Gamma(x', y', z'), \Gamma(x', y', z'), x') \\ + \frac{\varrho_{\varsigma}(\Gamma(x^*, y^*, z^*), \Gamma(x^*, y^*, z^*), x') \cdot \varrho_{\varsigma}(\Gamma(x', y', z'), \Gamma(x', y', z'), x^*)}{1 + \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varrho_{\varsigma}(\Gamma(x^*, y^*, z^*), \Gamma(x^*, y^*, z^*), x') \\ + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\Gamma(x', y', z'), \Gamma(x', y', z'), x^*) \\ + \varrho_{\varsigma}(x^*, x^*, x') + \varrho_{\varsigma}(y^*, y^*, y') \\ + \varrho_{\varsigma}(z^*, z^*, z') \end{array} \right\}} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\leq a \varrho_{\varsigma}(x^*, x^*, x')$$

It follows that $0 \leq \| \varrho_{\varsigma}(x^*, x^*, x') \| \leq \|a\| \| \varrho_{\varsigma}(x^*, x^*, x') \|$. since $\|a\| < \frac{1}{2}$, which implies that $\| \varrho_{\varsigma}(x^*, x^*, x') \| = 0$ and hence $x^* = x'$. similarly, we prove $y^* = y'$ and $z^* = z'$. Therefore, the UTFP of Γ is (x^*, y^*, z^*) .

Corollary 3.1.4: Let $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{A}, \varrho_{\varsigma})$ be a complete C^* -AV- S_b -MS with $\|\varsigma\| \geq 1$. Suppose that the mapping $\Gamma: \mathcal{L}^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ satisfies for all $\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{L}$,

$$\varrho_{\varsigma}(\Gamma(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}), \Gamma(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}), \Gamma(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{s})) \leq a \left\{ \frac{\varrho_{\varsigma}(\ell, \ell, \mathfrak{a}) + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\kappa, \kappa, \mathfrak{o}) + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{s})}{3} \right\} a^*$$

Where $a \in \mathcal{A}_+$ in which $\|a\| < 1$. Then Γ has a unique tripled fixed point in $\mathcal{L}$.

Proof: Following similar steps as in the proof of Theorem (3.1.2), we obtain the proof of our corollary.

Example 3.1: Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{A} = M_2(\mathbb{C})$ be a all 2x2 complex matrices whose norm is $\|\mathcal{A}\| = \max \{ |\lambda_1|, |\lambda_2|, |\lambda_3|, |\lambda_4| \}$ where λ_i 's are the Eigen values of $\mathcal{A}$. Then, clearly $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{A}, \varrho_{\varsigma})$ is a complete C^* -AV- S_b -MS with $\|\varsigma\| \geq 2$ whenever $\varrho_{\varsigma}: \mathcal{L}^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be as $\varrho_{\varsigma}(p, q, r) = (p^2 + q^2 + r^2)A$ where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{A}_+ \text{ self-adjoint. we define mappings } \Gamma, \Omega: \mathcal{L}^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \text{ as follows } \Gamma(x, y, z) = \frac{x}{8} + \frac{y}{10} +$$

$\frac{z}{20}$, $\Omega(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{s}) = \frac{\mathfrak{a}}{10} + \frac{\mathfrak{o}}{50} + \frac{\mathfrak{s}}{40}$ for all $x, y, z, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{L}$. By taking $a \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\|a\| < \frac{1}{2}$, it can be easily verified that inequality (3.1.1) holds for all $x, y, z, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{L}$.

Hence, all the conditions of Theorem 5.1.1 are satisfied. Therefore, the mappings Γ and Ω admit a unique common tripled fixed point (UCTFP), namely $(x, x, x) = (0_{\mathcal{A}}, 0_{\mathcal{A}}, 0_{\mathcal{A}})$ in $\mathcal{L}$.

Example 3.2: Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}$ so $\mathcal{A}_+ = [0, \infty)$. Define $\varrho_{\varsigma}: \mathcal{L}^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ as $\varrho_{\varsigma}(p, q, r) =$

$$|p - q| + |q - r| \text{ for all } p, q, r \in \mathbb{R} \text{ then, clearly } (\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{A}, \varrho_{\varsigma}) \text{ is a complete } C^*\text{-AV-}S_b\text{-MS with } \varsigma =$$

$$1 \text{ so } \|\varsigma\| = 1 \geq 1. \text{ Let } \Gamma: \mathcal{L}^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \text{ as follows } \Gamma(x, y, z) = \frac{x+y+z}{6} \text{ for all } x, y, z \in \mathcal{L}. \text{ By taking } a = \frac{1}{2}$$

$< \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, it can easily verify that inequality (3.1.5) holds for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{L}$. Hence, all the conditions of Theorem 5.1.2 are satisfied. Thus, Γ has a unique tripled fixed point in $\mathbb{R}$, which is $(x, x, x) = (0, 0, 0)$.

3.2. Application to Integral Equations

As an application to Corollary 3.1.4, we examine the presence of a singular solution to an integral equation in this section.

Theorem 3.1.5: Let us examine the following integral equation.

$$\mathfrak{x}(t) = \int_{\varepsilon} (\mathcal{S}(r, t, s) + \mathcal{T}(r, t, s)) (\mathfrak{f}(t, s, \mathfrak{x}(s)) + g(t, s, \mathfrak{x}(s)) + \mathfrak{h}(r, t, \mathfrak{x}(s))) ds + k(t) \quad \forall r, s, t \in \varepsilon \dots (5.1.10)$$

where ε is a Lebesgue measurable set and $m(\varepsilon) < \infty$. Let $\varepsilon = [0, 1]$ and denote $\mathcal{L} = L^\infty(\varepsilon)$ be the space of essentially bounded measurable functions on ε . we consider the following assumptions:

(i₀) $\mathfrak{f}, g, \mathfrak{h} : \varepsilon \times \varepsilon \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T} : \varepsilon \times \varepsilon \times \varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are integrable, and $k \in L^\infty(\varepsilon)$.

(i₁) there is $\theta \in (0, 1)$ such that $i, j \in \varepsilon$ and $\mathfrak{x}(i), \mathfrak{x}(j) \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$0 \leq |\mathfrak{f}(i, j, \mathfrak{x}(j)) - \mathfrak{f}(i, j, \mathfrak{x}(j))| \leq \left(\frac{2^{1-p\theta}}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} |\mathfrak{x}(j) - \mathfrak{x}(j)|,$$

$$-\left(\frac{2^{1-p\theta}}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} |\mathfrak{x}(j) - \mathfrak{x}(j)| \leq |g(i, j, \mathfrak{x}(j)) - g(i, j, \mathfrak{x}(j))| \leq 0,$$

$$0 \leq |\mathfrak{h}(i, j, \mathfrak{x}(j)) - \mathfrak{h}(i, j, \mathfrak{x}(j))| \leq \left(\frac{2^{1-p\theta}}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} |\mathfrak{x}(j) - \mathfrak{x}(j)|.$$

$$(i_2) \sup_{t, s \in \varepsilon} \int_{\varepsilon} (\mathcal{S}(r, t, s) + \mathcal{T}(r, t, s)) ds \leq 1.$$

Thus, the integral equation admits a unique solution in $L^\infty(\varepsilon)$.

Proof: Let $\varepsilon = [0, 1]$ and Let $\mathcal{L} = L^\infty(\varepsilon)$ denote the space of essentially bounded measurable functions on ε and $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\varepsilon)$. The set of bounded linear operators on Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ denoted by $L(\mathcal{H})$. we equip $\mathcal{L}$ with $\varrho_\zeta : \mathcal{L}^3 \rightarrow L(\mathcal{H})$, which is ascertained by $\varrho_\zeta(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z}) = M_{(|\mathfrak{x}-\mathfrak{z}|+|\mathfrak{y}-\mathfrak{z}|)^p}$, where $M_{(|\mathfrak{x}-\mathfrak{z}|+|\mathfrak{y}-\mathfrak{z}|)^p}$ is the multiplication operator on $\mathcal{H}$ ascertained by $M_\phi(\alpha) = \phi \alpha$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}$. Therefore, $(\mathcal{L}, L(\mathcal{H}), \varrho_\zeta)$ is a complete C^* -AV- S_b MS with $\zeta = 2^{2(p-1)}$ where $p > 1$.

Define $\Gamma : \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ be as $\forall r, s, t \in \varepsilon, \mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z} \in \mathcal{L}$,

$$\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z}) = \int_{\varepsilon} (\mathcal{S}(r, t, s) + \mathcal{T}(r, t, s)) (\mathfrak{f}(t, s, \mathfrak{x}(s)) + g(t, s, \mathfrak{y}(s)) + \mathfrak{h}(r, t, \mathfrak{z}(s))) ds + k(t)$$

$$\text{We have } \varrho_\zeta(\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z}), \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z}), \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z})) = M_{(2|\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z}) - \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z})|)^p}$$

Let us first evaluate the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} 2^p |\Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z}) - \Gamma(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{z})|(t)^p &= 2^p \left| \int_{\varepsilon} (\mathcal{S}(r, t, s) + \mathcal{T}(r, t, s)) (\mathfrak{f}(t, s, \mathfrak{x}(s)) + g(t, s, \mathfrak{y}(s)) + \mathfrak{h}(r, t, \mathfrak{z}(s))) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{\varepsilon} (\mathcal{S}(r, t, s) + \mathcal{T}(r, t, s)) (\mathfrak{f}(t, s, \mathfrak{x}(s)) + g(t, s, \mathfrak{y}(s)) + \mathfrak{h}(r, t, \mathfrak{z}(s))) ds \right|^p \\ &= 2^p \left| \int_{\varepsilon} (\mathcal{S}(r, t, s) + \mathcal{T}(r, t, s)) \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{f}(t, s, \mathfrak{x}(s)) - \mathfrak{f}(t, s, \mathfrak{x}(s)) \\ +g(t, s, \mathfrak{y}(s)) - g(t, s, \mathfrak{y}(s)) \\ +\mathfrak{h}(r, t, \mathfrak{z}(s)) - \mathfrak{h}(r, t, \mathfrak{z}(s)) \end{pmatrix} ds \right|^p \\ &\leq 2^p 2^{p-1} \left(\int_{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{2^{1-p\theta}}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} |(\mathcal{S}(r, t, s) + \mathcal{T}(r, t, s))| ds \right)^p \begin{bmatrix} |\mathfrak{x}(s) - \mathfrak{x}(s)|^p \\ +|\mathfrak{y}(s) - \mathfrak{y}(s)|^p \\ +|\mathfrak{z}(s) - \mathfrak{z}(s)|^p \end{bmatrix} \\ &\leq \frac{\theta}{3} \left(\sup_{t, s \in \varepsilon} \int_{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{2^{1-p\theta}}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} |(\mathcal{S}(r, t, s) + \mathcal{T}(r, t, s))| ds \right)^p \begin{bmatrix} (2\|\mathfrak{x}(s) - \mathfrak{x}(s)\|_\infty)^p \\ + (2\|\mathfrak{y}(s) - \mathfrak{y}(s)\|_\infty)^p \\ + (2\|\mathfrak{z}(s) - \mathfrak{z}(s)\|_\infty)^p \end{bmatrix} \\ &\leq \frac{\theta}{3} \begin{bmatrix} (2\|\mathfrak{x}(s) - \mathfrak{x}(s)\|_\infty)^p \\ + (2\|\mathfrak{y}(s) - \mathfrak{y}(s)\|_\infty)^p \\ + (2\|\mathfrak{z}(s) - \mathfrak{z}(s)\|_\infty)^p \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \varrho_{\zeta}(\Gamma(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}), \Gamma(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}), \Gamma(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{B})) \| = \sup_{\|\phi\|=1} \langle M_{(2\|\Gamma(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}) - \Gamma(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{B})\|)^P} \phi, \phi \rangle \\ & = \sup_{\|\phi\|=1} \langle 2^P M_{(\|\Gamma(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}) - \Gamma(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{B})\|)^P} \phi, \phi \rangle \\ & = \sup_{\|\phi\|=1} \int_{\mathcal{E}} (2^P \|\Gamma(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}) - \Gamma(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{B})(t)\|^P) \phi(t) \overline{\phi(t)} dt \\ & \leq \sup_{\|\phi\|=1} \int_{\mathcal{E}} |\phi(t)|^2 dt \frac{\theta}{3} [(2\|\ell(s) - \mathfrak{a}(s)\|_{\infty})^p + (2\|\kappa(s) - \mathfrak{a}(s)\|_{\infty})^p + (2\|\mathfrak{z}(s) - \mathfrak{B}(s)\|_{\infty})^p] \\ & \leq \frac{\theta}{3} [\|\varrho_{\zeta}(\ell, \ell, \mathfrak{a})\| + \|\varrho_{\zeta}(\kappa, \kappa, \mathfrak{a})\| + \|\varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{B})\|] \\ & \leq \frac{\|a\|^2}{3} [\|\varrho_{\zeta}(\ell, \ell, \mathfrak{a})\| + \|\varrho_{\zeta}(\kappa, \kappa, \mathfrak{a})\| + \|\varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{B})\|]. \end{aligned}$$

By setting $a = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} = \sqrt{\theta} 1_{L(\mathcal{H})}$, then $a \in L(\mathcal{H})$ and $\|a\| = \sqrt{\theta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} < 1$.

Hence, applying our Corollary 3.1.4, we get the desired result.

3.3. Application to Homotopy

We now offer research on the possibility of a singular homotopy theory solution.

Theorem 3.1.6: If $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{A}, \varrho_{\zeta})$ is a complete C^* -AV- S_bMS , Suppose $\mathfrak{U}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{U}}$ are open and closed subsets of $\mathcal{L}$, respectively, such that $\mathfrak{U} \subseteq \overline{\mathfrak{U}}$. Let $\mathfrak{L}_b: \overline{\mathfrak{U}}^3 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ be an homotopy operator meeting the requirements listed below.

(τ_0) $\mathfrak{a} \neq \mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{a}, \kappa, \mathfrak{b}, s)$, $\kappa \neq \mathfrak{L}_b(\kappa, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{a}, s)$ and $\mathfrak{B} \neq \mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{a}, \kappa, s)$ for each $\mathfrak{a}, \kappa, \mathfrak{b} \in \partial\mathfrak{U}$ and $s \in [0, 1]$ (here $\partial\mathfrak{U}$ is boundary of $\mathfrak{U}$ in $\mathcal{L}$)

(τ_1) there exist $\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{B} \in \overline{\mathfrak{U}}$, $s \in [0, 1]$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\|a\| < 1$ such that

$$\varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, s)) \leq a \left[\frac{\varrho_{\zeta}(\ell, \ell, \mathfrak{a}) + \varrho_{\zeta}(\kappa, \kappa, \mathfrak{a}) + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{b})}{3} \right] a^*$$

(τ_2) $\exists M_b \geq 0_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $\varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, t)) \leq \|M_b\| |s - t|$ for every $\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z} \in \overline{\mathfrak{U}}$ and $s, t \in [0, 1]$;

Then $\mathfrak{L}_b(\cdot, s)$ has a TFP for some $s \in [0, 1] \iff \mathfrak{L}_b(\cdot, t)$ has a TFP point for some $t \in [0, 1]$.

Proof: From (τ_2) it follows that $\mathfrak{L}_b$ is continuous in the second variable. From (τ_1) it follows that $\mathfrak{L}_b$ is continuous in the first variable. Consequently, we observe that:

$$\varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{a}, \kappa, \mathfrak{b}, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{a}, \kappa, \mathfrak{b}, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{a}_p, \kappa_p, \mathfrak{b}_p, s)) \leq a \left[\frac{\varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}_p) + \varrho_{\zeta}(\kappa, \kappa, \kappa_p) + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}_p)}{3} \right] a^* \rightarrow 0_{\mathcal{A}}$$

as $p \rightarrow \infty$

Whenever $\mathfrak{a}_p \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ and $\kappa_p \rightarrow \kappa$, then $\varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{a}, \kappa, \mathfrak{b}, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{a}, \kappa, \mathfrak{b}, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{a}_p, \kappa_p, \mathfrak{b}_p, s)) \rightarrow 0_{\mathcal{A}}$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, $\varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{a}, \kappa, \mathfrak{b}, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{a}, \kappa, \mathfrak{b}, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{a}_p, \kappa_p, \mathfrak{b}_p, s)) = 0_{\mathcal{A}}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, t)) \\ & \leq \frac{2}{3} \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, s)) + \\ & \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, t), \mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, t), \mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, s)) \\ & \leq 2 \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, t)) + \varsigma \|M_b\| |s - t| \\ & \leq a \left[\frac{\varrho_{\zeta}(\ell, \ell, \mathfrak{a}) + \varrho_{\zeta}(\kappa, \kappa, \mathfrak{a}) + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{b})}{3} \right] a^* + \varsigma \|M_b\| |s - t| \rightarrow 0_{\mathcal{A}} \text{ as } (\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence is a continuous on $\overline{\mathfrak{U}}^3 \times [0, 1]$. Also

$$\varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, s)) \leq a \left[\frac{\varrho_{\zeta}(\ell, \ell, \mathfrak{a}) + \varrho_{\zeta}(\kappa, \kappa, \mathfrak{a}) + \varrho_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{b})}{3} \right] a^*$$

if $\ell \neq \mathfrak{a}, \kappa \neq \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{z} \neq \mathfrak{B}$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, s)) \\ + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{L}_b(\kappa, \mathfrak{z}, \ell, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\kappa, \mathfrak{z}, \ell, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{a}, s)) \\ + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{z}, \ell, \kappa, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{z}, \ell, \kappa, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}, s)) \end{pmatrix} \leq a \begin{pmatrix} \varrho_{\varsigma}(\ell, \ell, \mathfrak{a}) \\ + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\kappa, \kappa, \mathfrak{a}) \\ \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{b}) \end{pmatrix} a^*$$

Now consider the set

$$\mathfrak{B} = \left\{ s \in [0, 1] : \ell = \mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s), \kappa = \mathfrak{L}_b(\kappa, \mathfrak{z}, \ell, s), \mathfrak{z} = \mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{z}, \ell, \kappa, s) \right\}$$

for some $\ell, \kappa \in \mathfrak{U}$

Suppose s is a limit point of $\mathfrak{B}$. Then there exists a $\{s_p\}$ in $\mathfrak{B}$ such that $s_p \rightarrow s$. Then there exists a sequence $\{\ell_p\}, \{\kappa_p\}, \{\mathfrak{z}_p\} \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\ell_p = \mathfrak{L}_b(\ell_p, \kappa_p, \mathfrak{z}_p, s_p)$,

$\kappa_p = \mathfrak{L}_b(\kappa_p, \mathfrak{z}_p, \ell_p, s_p)$ and $\mathfrak{z}_p = \mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{z}_p, \ell_p, \kappa_p, s_p)$. Now we show that $\{\ell_p\}, \{\kappa_p\}$ and $\{\mathfrak{z}_p\}$ are ϱ_{ς} -Cauchy sequences in $(\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{U}, \varrho_{\varsigma})$. Suppose that $\{\ell_p\}, \{\kappa_p\}, \{\mathfrak{z}_p\}$ are not ϱ_{ς} -Cauchy sequences with respect to $\mathfrak{U}$. So there exists $\varepsilon > 0_{\mathfrak{U}}$ and monotonically increasing of natural numbers $\{q_z\}$ and $\{p_z\}$ such that $p_z > q_z$.

$$\varrho_{\varsigma}(\ell_{q_z}, \ell_{q_z}, \ell_{p_z}) \geq \varepsilon \quad \varrho_{\varsigma}(\kappa_{q_z}, \kappa_{q_z}, \kappa_{p_z}) \geq \varepsilon \quad \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{z}_{q_z}, \mathfrak{z}_{q_z}, \mathfrak{z}_{p_z}) \geq \varepsilon \dots\dots\dots (3.1,11)$$

and

$$\varrho_{\varsigma}(\ell_{q_z}, \ell_{q_z}, \ell_{p_{z-1}}) < \varepsilon, \quad \varrho_{\varsigma}(\kappa_{q_z}, \kappa_{q_z}, \kappa_{p_{z-1}}) < \varepsilon \quad \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{z}_{q_z}, \mathfrak{z}_{q_z}, \mathfrak{z}_{p_z}) < \varepsilon \dots\dots\dots (3.1.12)$$

From (3.1,11) and (3.1.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &\leq \varrho_{\varsigma}(\ell_{q_z}, \ell_{q_z}, \ell_{p_z}) \\ &\leq 2k\varrho_{\varsigma}(\ell_{q_z}, \ell_{q_z}, \ell_{q_{z+1}}) + k\varrho_{\varsigma}(\ell_{q_{z+1}}, \ell_{q_{z+1}}, \ell_{p_z}). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $z \rightarrow \infty$ we have that

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{k} \leq \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_{\varsigma}(\ell_{q_{z+1}}, \ell_{q_{z+1}}, \ell_{p_z}) \dots\dots\dots (3.1.13)$$

Choose $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{12\|\mathfrak{M}_b\|\|\varsigma\|} > 0$ such that $|s - s_0| < \delta$ and $\ell \in \varrho_{\varsigma}(\ell_0, \frac{\delta}{6\|\varsigma\|\|a\|^2})$, $\ell \neq \ell_0$,

$\kappa \in \varrho_{\varsigma}(\kappa_0, \frac{\delta}{6\|\varsigma\|\|a\|^2})$, $\kappa \neq \kappa_0$, $\mathfrak{z} \in \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{z}_0, \frac{\delta}{6\|\varsigma\|\|a\|^2})$, $\mathfrak{z} \neq \mathfrak{z}_0$ then

$$\varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s_0), \mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s_0), \mathfrak{L}_b(\ell_0, \kappa_0, \mathfrak{z}_0, s_0)) \leq a \left[\frac{\varrho_{\varsigma}(\ell, \ell, \ell_0) + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\kappa, \kappa, \kappa_0) + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{z}_0)}{3} \right] a^*$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\begin{aligned} &\|\varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s_0), \mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s_0), \mathfrak{L}_b(\ell_0, \kappa_0, \mathfrak{z}_0, s_0))\| \\ &+ \|\varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{L}_b(\kappa, \mathfrak{z}, \ell, s_0), \mathfrak{L}_b(\kappa, \mathfrak{z}, \ell, s_0), \mathfrak{L}_b(\kappa_0, \mathfrak{z}_0, \ell_0, s_0))\| \\ &+ \|\varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{z}, \ell, \kappa, s_0), \mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{z}, \ell, \kappa, s_0), \mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{z}_0, \kappa_0, \ell_0, s_0))\| \end{aligned} \right) < \|a\|^2 \left(\begin{aligned} &\|\varrho_{\varsigma}(\ell, \ell, \ell_0)\| \\ &+ \|\varrho_{\varsigma}(\kappa, \kappa, \kappa_0)\| \\ &+ \|\varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{z}_0)\| \end{aligned} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\delta}{2\|\varsigma\|} \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} &\varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\ell_0, \kappa_0, \mathfrak{z}_0, s_0)) \\ &\leq 2 \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s_0)) + \\ &\varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{L}_b(\ell_0, \kappa_0, \mathfrak{z}_0, s_0), \mathfrak{L}_b(\ell_0, \kappa_0, \mathfrak{z}_0, s_0), \mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s_0)) \\ &\leq 2\|\varsigma\|\|\mathfrak{M}_b\||s - s_0| + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{L}_b(\ell_0, \kappa_0, \mathfrak{z}_0, s_0), \mathfrak{L}_b(\ell_0, \kappa_0, \mathfrak{z}_0, s_0), \mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s_0)) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\begin{aligned} &\|\varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\ell_0, \kappa_0, \mathfrak{z}_0, s_0))\| \\ &+ \|\varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{L}_b(\kappa, \mathfrak{z}, \ell, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\kappa, \mathfrak{z}, \ell, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\kappa_0, \mathfrak{z}_0, \ell_0, s_0))\| \\ &+ \|\varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{z}, \ell, \kappa, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{z}, \ell, \kappa, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{z}_0, \kappa_0, \ell_0, s_0))\| \end{aligned} \right) \leq 6\|\varsigma\|\|\mathfrak{M}_b\||s - s_0| + \frac{\|\varsigma\|\delta}{\|\varsigma\|} \\ &\leq 6\|\varsigma\|\|\mathfrak{M}_b\|\delta + \frac{\delta}{2} \\ &\leq 6\|\varsigma\|\|\mathfrak{M}_b\| \frac{\delta}{12\|\mathfrak{M}_b\|\|\varsigma\|} + \frac{\delta}{2} \\ &= \delta \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\left(\begin{aligned} &\| \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s), \ell_0) \| \\ &+ \| \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{L}_b(\kappa, \mathfrak{z}, \ell, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\kappa, \mathfrak{z}, \ell, s), \kappa_0) \| \\ &+ \| \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{z}, \ell, \kappa, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{z}, \ell, \kappa, s), \mathfrak{z}_0) \| \end{aligned} \right) \leq$$

$$\left(\begin{aligned} &\| \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\ell_0, \kappa_0, \mathfrak{z}_0, s_0)) \| \\ &+ \| \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{L}_b(\kappa, \mathfrak{z}, \ell, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\kappa, \mathfrak{z}, \ell, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\kappa_0, \mathfrak{z}_0, \ell_0, s_0)) \| \\ &+ \| \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{z}, \ell, \kappa, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{z}, \ell, \kappa, s), \mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{z}_0, \kappa_0, \ell_0, s_0)) \| \end{aligned} \right) < \delta$$

Therefore, $\mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s) \in \varrho_{\varsigma}(\ell_0, \frac{\delta}{6\|\varsigma\|\|a\|^2})$, $\mathfrak{L}_b(\kappa, \mathfrak{z}, \ell, s) \in \varrho_{\varsigma}(\kappa_0, \frac{\delta}{6\|\varsigma\|\|a\|^2})$ and

$\mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{z}, \ell, \kappa, s) \in \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{z}_0, \frac{\delta}{6\|\varsigma\|\|a\|^2})$. Thus, for any s , with $|s - s_0| < \epsilon$ and $s \in [0, 1]$, it follows that

$\Gamma: \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{z}_0, \frac{\delta}{6\|\varsigma\|\|a\|^2})^3 \rightarrow \varrho_{\varsigma}(\ell_0, \frac{\delta}{6\|\varsigma\|\|a\|^2})$ defined by $\Gamma(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}) = \mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s)$ satisfies all the hypothesis of the theorem(5.1.6). Hence Γ has a tripled fixed point . i.e. $\Gamma(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}) = \ell$ for some

$\ell \in \varrho_{\varsigma}(\ell_0, \frac{\delta}{6\|\varsigma\|\|a\|^2}) \subseteq \mathfrak{U}$, $\Gamma(\kappa, \mathfrak{z}, \ell) = \kappa$ for some $\kappa \in \varrho_{\varsigma}(\kappa_0, \frac{\delta}{6\|\varsigma\|\|a\|^2}) \subseteq \mathfrak{U}$ and $\Gamma(\mathfrak{z}, \ell, \kappa) = \mathfrak{z}$ for

some $\mathfrak{z} \in \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{z}_0, \frac{\delta}{6\|\varsigma\|\|a\|^2}) \subseteq \mathfrak{U}$ therefore, $\Gamma(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}) = \mathfrak{L}_b(\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z}, s) = \ell$,

$\Gamma(\kappa, \mathfrak{z}, \ell) = \mathfrak{L}_b(\kappa, \mathfrak{z}, \ell, s) = \kappa$ and $\Gamma(\mathfrak{z}, \ell, \kappa) = \mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{z}, \ell, \kappa, s) = \mathfrak{z}$ hence $s \in \mathfrak{B}$. Thus $|s - s_0| < \epsilon \Rightarrow s \in \mathfrak{B}$. But

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_{\varsigma}(\ell_{q_z}, \ell_{q_z}, \ell_{p_z})$$

$$= \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} (\varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{L}_b(\ell_{q_{z+1}}, \kappa_{q_{z+1}}, \mathfrak{z}_{q_{z+1}}, s_{q_{z+1}}), \mathfrak{L}_b(\ell_{q_{z+1}}, \kappa_{q_{z+1}}, \mathfrak{z}_{q_{z+1}}, s_{q_{z+1}}), \mathfrak{L}_b(\ell_{p_z}, \kappa_{p_z}, \mathfrak{z}_{p_z}, s_{p_z})))$$

$$\leq a \left[\frac{\varrho_{\varsigma}(\ell_{q_{z+1}}, \ell_{q_{z+1}}, \ell_{p_z}) + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\kappa_{q_{z+1}}, \kappa_{q_{z+1}}, \kappa_{p_z}) + \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{z}_{q_{z+1}}, \mathfrak{z}_{q_{z+1}}, \mathfrak{z}_{p_z})}{3} \right] a^*$$

$$\text{It follows that } \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \|a\|^2) \left(\| \varrho_{\varsigma}(\ell_{q_{z+1}}, \ell_{q_{z+1}}, \ell_{p_z}) \| + \| \varrho_{\varsigma}(\kappa_{q_{z+1}}, \kappa_{q_{z+1}}, \kappa_{p_z}) \| + \| \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{z}_{q_{z+1}}, \mathfrak{z}_{q_{z+1}}, \mathfrak{z}_{p_z}) \| \right) \leq 0$$

Thus $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_{\varsigma}(\ell_{q_{z+1}}, \ell_{q_{z+1}}, \ell_{p_z}) = 0_{\mathfrak{U}}$. $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_{\varsigma}(\kappa_{q_{z+1}}, \kappa_{q_{z+1}}, \kappa_{p_z}) = 0_{\mathfrak{U}}$ and

$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{z}_{q_{z+1}}, \mathfrak{z}_{q_{z+1}}, \mathfrak{z}_{p_z}) = 0_{\mathfrak{U}}$. Hence from (5.1.13), we have $\epsilon \leq 0_{\mathcal{A}}$ which is a contradiction.

Hence $\{\ell_p\}$, $\{\kappa_p\}$ and $\{\mathfrak{z}_p\}$ are a C^* -AV- S_b CS in C^* -AV- S_b MS $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{A}, \varrho_{\varsigma})$ and by the completeness of $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{A}, \varrho_{\varsigma})$, there exist $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{U}$ with

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \ell_p = \mathfrak{a}, \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_p = \mathfrak{a} \text{ and } \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{z}_p = \mathfrak{b}.$$

Suppose $s_p \rightarrow s$. then $(\ell_p, \kappa_p, \mathfrak{z}_p, s_p) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, s)$. Since $\mathfrak{L}_b$ is continuous so that $\mathfrak{L}_b(\ell_p, \kappa_p, \mathfrak{z}_p, s_p) \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, s)$, $\mathfrak{L}_b(\kappa_p, \mathfrak{z}_p, \ell_p, s_p) \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{a}, s)$ and $\mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{z}_p, \ell_p, \kappa_p, s_p) \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}, s)$. But $\mathfrak{L}_b(\ell_p, \kappa_p, \mathfrak{z}_p, s_p) = \ell_p \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$, $\mathfrak{L}_b(\kappa_p, \mathfrak{z}_p, \ell_p, s_p) = \kappa_p \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{z}_p, \ell_p, \kappa_p, s_p) = \mathfrak{z}_p \rightarrow \mathfrak{b}$. Therefore, we have $\mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, s) = \mathfrak{a}$, $\mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{a}, s) = \mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}, s) = \mathfrak{b}$. Hence $\mathfrak{B}$ is closed.

Now we show that $\mathfrak{B}$ is open. Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be s_0 . Then $\ell_0, \kappa_0, \mathfrak{z}_0$ exists in $\mathfrak{U}$ such that $\ell_0 = \mathfrak{L}_b(\ell_0, \kappa_0, \mathfrak{z}_0, s_0)$, $\kappa_0 = \mathfrak{L}_b(\kappa_0, \mathfrak{z}_0, \ell_0, s_0)$ and $\mathfrak{z}_0 = \mathfrak{L}_b(\mathfrak{z}_0, \ell_0, \kappa_0, s_0)$. Because $\mathfrak{U}$ is open, $\delta > 0$ must exist for $\varrho_{\varsigma}(\ell, \ell, \ell_0) \leq \delta$, $\varrho_{\varsigma}(\kappa, \kappa, \kappa_0) \leq \delta$ and $\varrho_{\varsigma}(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{z}_0) \leq \delta$ implies that $\ell, \kappa, \mathfrak{z} \in \mathfrak{U}$.

Choose ϵ such that $0 < \epsilon < \frac{\delta}{12\|k\|\|M_b\|}$, then s_0 is an interior point of $\mathfrak{B}$. Hence $\mathfrak{B}$ is open.

Consequently $\mathfrak{B}$ is both closed and open. Therefore, either $\mathfrak{B} = \emptyset$ or $\mathfrak{B} = [0, 1]$. Now Suppose $\mathfrak{L}_b(\cdot; s)$ has a tripled fixed point for some $s \in [0, 1]$, then $\mathfrak{B} \neq \emptyset$ so that $\mathfrak{B} = [0, 1]$. Therefore $\mathfrak{L}_b(\cdot; t)$ has a tripled fixed point for some $s \in [0, 1]$.

3.4. CONCLUSION

In this study, we derive applications to homotopy theory and nonlinear integral equations by employing tripled fixed-point theorems for a pair of mappings satisfying certain rational contractive type within the frame work of complete C^* -AV- S_bMS .

3.5. CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES:

- [1] S. Banach, 1922, Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrals, Fundam.Math.,1922, pp. 133-181.
- [2] P. Naresh¹, G. Upender Reddy, B. Srinuvasa Rao, Existence suzuki type fixed point results in A_b -metric spaces with application, Int. J. Anal. Appl. (2022), 20:67
- [3] B. S. Rao, L. V. Kumar, C. Maheswari, S. M. Shaw, On certain quadruple fixed points of integral type contraction mappings in G-metric spaces and its applications, J. Sci. Res. 14 (2), 501-512, 2022.
- [4] D. Ram Prasad, G.N.V. Kishore, Hseyin Isk, B. Srinuvasa Rao, and G. Adi Lakshmi, C^* -algebra valued fuzzy soft metric spaces and results for hybrid pair of mappings, Axioms 2019, 8, 0099; doi:10.3390/axioms8030099.
- [5] D. Ram Prasad, G.N.V. Kishore, B. Srinuvasa Rao, G. Adhi Lakshmi, Results in partially ordered bipolar metric spaces via (α, φ) -contractive condition, International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE), Volume-8, Issue-1S3, 2019.
- [6] S. Sedghi, A. Gholidahneh, K. P. R. Rao, Common fixed point of two R-weakly commuting mappings in S_b -metric spaces, Math. Sci. Lett. 6, No. 3(2017), 249-253.
- [7] Z. H. Ma, L.N. Jiang, H.K. Sun, C^* -algebra-valued metric spaces and related fixed point Theorems, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2014,2014, 206.
- [8] Z. H. Ma, L.N. Jiang, C-algebra-valued b-metric spaces and related fixed- point theorems, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2015, 2015, 222.
- [9] S.S. Razavi, H.P. Masiha, Common fixed -point theorems in C^* -algebra valued b-metric spaces with applications to integral equations, Fixed Point Theory 2019, 20, 649662.
- [10] S. Sedghi, Gholidahneh, T. Dosenovic, J. Esfahani and S. Radenovic, Common fixed point of four maps in S_b -metric spaces, Journal of Linear and Topological Algebra, Vol.5(2), (2016), 93- 104.
- [11] N. Souayah, N. Mlaiki A fixed point theorems in S_b -metric spaces, Journal of mathematics and computer science, 16(2016), 131-139.
- [12] Souayah Nizar, A fixed point in partial S_b -metric spaces, An. St. univ ovidius constante, vol24(3),2016, 315-362, doi: 10.1515/auom-2016-0062.
- [13] K.P.R. Sastry, K.K.M. Sarma, P. Krishna Kumari, Fixed point theorems for (ψ, φ, λ) -contractions in S_b -metric spaces, International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology (IJMTT) Volume 56(1), 2018, PP: 28-38.
- [14] Y. Rohen, T. Dosenovic, S. Radenovic, A Note on the Paper A Fixed Point Theorems in S_b -Metric Spaces, Filomat. 31 (2017), 3335-3346.
- [15] Duduka Venkatesh, V. Naga Raju, some fixed-point outcomes in S_b -metric spaces using (ϕ, ψ) -generalized weakly contractive maps in S_b -metric spaces, Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Volume 18, Number 2(2022), pp. 753-770.
- [16] Rohen y, Dose Nović T, Randanovic S, A note on a paper A fixed -point theorems in S_b -metric spaces, Filomat 31(11), 3335-3346(2017).

- [17] K. P. R. Rao, G. N.V. Kishore, Sk. Sadik, Unique common coupled fixed- point theorem for four maps in S_b -metric spaces, Journal of Linear and Topological Algebra, Vol. 06, No. 01, 2017, 29- 43.
- [18] Sh. Sedghi, A. Gholidahneh, T. Dosenovic, J. Esfahani, S. Radenovic, Common fixed point of four maps in S_b -metric spaces, Journal of Linear and Topological Algebra Vol. 05, No. 02, 2016, 93- 104.
- [19] Kishore GNV, Rao KPR, Panthi D, Srinuvsa Rao B, Satyanaraya S, some applications via fixed point results in partially ordered S_b -metric spaces, Fixed point theory and applications (2017), 2017;10, doi: 10.1186/s13663-017-0603-2.
- [20] S.S. Razavi, H.P. Masiha, C^* -Algebra-Valued S_b -Metric Spaces and Applications to Integral Equations, Faculty of Mathematics, K.N. Toosi University of Technology, Tehran, Iran, 2023, in progress.
- [21] S. S. Razavi, H. P. Masiha, Manuel De La Sen, Applications in Integral Equations through Common Results in C -Algebra-Valued S_b Metric Spaces, Axioms 2023,12, 413.
- [22] G.J. Murphy, C^* -algebras and operator theory, Academic Press: London, UK, 1990.
- [23] Q. L. Xin, L. N. Jiang, Z. H. Ma, Common fixed -point theorems in C^* -algebra-valued metric spaces, Journal of Nonlinear Science and Applications, 9(2016), 4617-4627
- [24] Berinde,V., Borcut,M., Tripled fixed point theorems for contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, Nonlinear Anal.,74(15), Pp.4889-4897,2011.