

HIGHER-ORDER HARMONIC OSCILLATOR  
PERTURBED BY ALMOST PERIODIC POTENTIAL

Mohamed El Hammaji <sup>1,§</sup>, Ilias Aarab <sup>2</sup>

and Mohamed Ali Tagmouti <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2,3</sup> Abdelmalek Essaadi University

Faculty of Sciences

B.P 2121, Tetuan, MOROCCO

<sup>1</sup> e-mail: mohamedelhammaji@gmail.com (§ corresponding author)

<sup>2</sup> e-mail: ilias.aarab1989@gmail.com

<sup>3</sup> e-mail: tagmoutimohamedali@gmail.com

**Abstract**

We study the perturbation  $L = H + V$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $H = (-1)^l \frac{d^{2l}}{dx^{2l}} + x^{2l}$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , and  $V$  is an almost periodic potential with uniformly continuous derivatives  $V^{(n)}$ . We assume that the eigenvalues of  $L$  around  $\lambda_k$  can be written in the form  $\lambda_k + \mu_k$ . We establish an asymptotic formula for the fluctuations  $\{\mu_k\}$ , which are determined by a transformation of  $V$ .

**Math. Subject Classification:** 47G30, 47A10

**Key Words and Phrases:** pseudo-differential operator, averaging method, perturbation theory, spectrum, eigenvalue asymptotics

### 1. Introduction and main result:

We consider in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  the operator  $H$  defined by:

$$H = (-1)^l \frac{d^{2l}}{dx^{2l}} + x^{2l}, \quad l \in \mathbb{N}^*. \quad (1)$$

We recall that  $H$  is essentially self-adjoint in  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  with compact resolvent [1]. Its spectrum is the increasing sequence of eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 0}$  of finite multiplicity, such that there exists a positive integer  $k_0$ , for  $k \geq k_0$ ,  $\lambda_k$  is simple and has the following asymptotic expansion:

$$\lambda_k^{\frac{1}{l}} = \frac{2\pi}{T} \left( k + \frac{1}{2} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \quad (k \rightarrow +\infty), \quad (2)$$

and

$$T = \frac{1}{l} B\left(\frac{1}{2l}, \frac{1}{2l}\right), \quad (3)$$

where  $B$  is the beta function. Let  $V$  be a smooth function from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , that is almost periodic, with uniformly continuous derivatives,

$$V(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n e^{i\nu_n x}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4)$$

We suppose that:

$$\nu_n > 0, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{i=0}^k \nu_n^i \left( \frac{1}{\nu_n^{\frac{1}{2l}}} + \frac{1}{\nu_n} \right) |a_n| < +\infty. \quad (5)$$

The operator  $L = H + V$  is essentially self-adjoint with compact resolvent [12]. The Min-Max theorem [7] shows that the spectrum of  $L$  around  $\lambda_k$ , for  $k \geq k_0$  can be written in the form  $\lambda_k + \mu_k$ . The goal is to study the asymptotic behavior of the fluctuation  $\mu_k$  when  $\lambda_k \rightarrow +\infty$ . Let us state the main result of this paper.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *The asymptotic behavior of  $\mu_k$  is:*

$$\mu_k = \frac{l}{B(\frac{1}{2l}, \frac{1}{2l})} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{V(y\lambda_k^{\frac{1}{2l}})}{(1 - y^{2l})^{1 - \frac{1}{2l}}} dy + O\left(\lambda_k^{-(\frac{1}{l} - \frac{1}{4l^2})}\right), \quad l \geq 2.$$

For the case  $l = 1$  (harmonic oscillator), various mathematicians have investigated such problems. Notably, the authors in [3] treated the case:

$V(x) \sim |x|^{-\alpha} \sum_m a_m \cos \omega_m x$ . In a scenario similar to ours, authors in [8] Studied the case where  $V$  is periodic. Additionally, in [9] authors explored the perturbation:

$$-\frac{d^{2m}}{dx^{2m}} + x^{2m} + V(x),$$

where  $V$  satisfies  $|V^{(n)}(x)| \leq (1+x^2)^{\frac{-s}{2}}, s \in ]0, 1[ \cup ]1, +\infty[$ . In [2] authors studied the case where  $V$  is a polynomial of degree  $< 2q$ . Our objective is to utilize the averaging method of Weinstein (see [5], [10], [11]). However, this method is not directly applicable here because the operator  $H$ , considered as a pseudo-differential operator ( $\Psi DO$ ), lacks a periodic flow. Instead, it is the operator  $H^{\frac{1}{l}}$ , that exhibits this property [1]. We begin by considering a perturbation of the operator  $H^{\frac{1}{l}}$ :

$$L_l = H^{\frac{1}{l}} + B, \quad l \in \mathbb{N}^*, \quad (6)$$

where

$$B = \frac{1}{l} H^{\frac{1}{l}-1} V. \quad (7)$$

We apply the averaging method by replacing  $B$  in the perturbation (6) with its average:

$$\bar{B} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T e^{-itH^{\frac{1}{l}}} B e^{itH^{\frac{1}{l}}} dt, \quad (8)$$

where  $T$  is the period of the flow of  $H^{\frac{1}{l}}$ , as given by (3). The main advantage of this method is that  $\bar{B}$  is a compact operator, and the operators  $L_l, \bar{L}_l = H^{\frac{1}{l}} + \bar{B}$  are almost unitarily equivalent. This means there exists a unitary operator  $U$  such that  $UL_lU^{-1} - \bar{L}_l$  is compact. Note that both  $L_l$  and  $\bar{L}_l$  have a compact resolvents [12]. Using Min-Max theorem, their spectrum near  $\lambda_k^{\frac{1}{l}}$  are of the form  $\lambda_k^{\frac{1}{l}} + v_k$  and  $\lambda_k^{\frac{1}{l}} + \bar{v}_k$ , respectively. Then we study  $\bar{v}_k$  by using a functional calculus of the operator  $H$ . We begin by establishing the link between  $v_k$  and  $\mu_k$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.1.** *For  $l \geq 1$ , we have:*

$$\mu_k = l\lambda_k^{1-\frac{1}{l}}v_k + O(\lambda_k^{-1}), \quad (\lambda_k \rightarrow +\infty).$$

Using a functional calculus for  $H$ , we obtain:

PROPOSITION 1.2. *For  $l \geq 1$ , we have:*

$$l\lambda_k^{1-\frac{1}{l}}\bar{v}_k = \frac{l}{B(\frac{1}{2l}, \frac{1}{2l})} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{V(y\lambda_k^{\frac{1}{2l}})}{(1-y^{2l})^{1-\frac{1}{2l}}} dy + O(\lambda_k^{-(\frac{1}{l}-\frac{1}{4l^2})}).$$

The following proposition gives the relation between  $v_k$  and  $\bar{v}_k$ :

PROPOSITION 1.3. *For  $l \geq 1$ , we have:*

$$v_k = \bar{v}_k + O(\lambda_k^{-(\frac{1}{2l^2}+2-\frac{2}{l})}).$$

The rest of this paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, this section provides additional details about specific properties of Weyl pseudo-differential operators and their functional calculus. In Section 3, we examine the spectrum of the spectrum of  $L$  and show the relation between  $\mu_k$  and  $v_k$ . Section 4 is devoted to the study of the asymptotic behavior of  $\bar{v}_k$  and we establish Proposition 1.2. In Section 5, we study the relation between the spectrum of  $L_l$  and  $\bar{L}_l$  which will help in proving Proposition 1.3, a key step in demonstrating the main theorem.

## 2. Weyl pseudo-differential operator and functional calculus

Let  $\rho \in [0, 1]$  and  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ . We consider the temperate weight function [14]

$$(x, \xi) \longrightarrow (1 + \sigma_H)^{\frac{m}{2}}, \quad (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where  $\sigma_H(x, \xi)$  is the Weyl symbol of the operator  $H$  defined in the space phase  $T^*\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}_x \times \mathbb{R}_\xi$  by:

$$\sigma_H(x, \xi) = x^{2l} + \xi^{2l}.$$

We denote by  $\Gamma_\rho^m(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$  the space of symbols associated with the temperate weight function, precisely:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_\rho^m &= \{a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) : \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}, \exists c_{\alpha, \beta} > 0 / |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi)| \\ &\leq c_{\alpha, \beta} (1 + \sigma_H)^{\frac{m - \rho(\alpha + \beta)}{2}}\}. \end{aligned}$$

We will use the standard Weyl quantization of the symbols. To be precise, if  $a \in \Gamma_\rho^m$ , then for  $u \in S(\mathbb{R})$ , the operator associated is defined by:

$$op^w(a)u(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} e^{i(x-y)\xi} a\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \xi\right) u(y) dy d\xi.$$

We note by  $G_\rho^m$  the operator class whose symbol belongs to  $\Gamma_\rho^m$ , for example  $H \in G_{\frac{1}{l}}^2$  and  $V \in G_0^0$ . Let us now introduce the notion of the asymptotic expansion of symbols.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let  $a_j \in \Gamma_\rho^{m_j}$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , we suppose that  $m_j$  is a decreasing sequence tending towards  $-\infty$ . We say that  $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$  has an asymptotic expansion and we write:

$$a = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} a_j,$$

if

$$a - \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} a_j \in \Gamma_\rho^{m_r}, \quad \forall r \geq 1.$$

We require the symbolic calculation of these classes of operators, therefore, we present the following proposition, which will be proven in the Appendix, Section 6.

PROPOSITION 2.1. i) If  $A \in G_\rho^{m_1}$ ,  $\rho \in ]0, 1]$  and  $B \in G_0^{m_2}$  then the operator  $AB \in G_0^{m_1+m_2}$ . Its Weyl symbol admits the following asymptotic development:

$$c = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} c_j, \quad c_j \in \Gamma_0^{m_1+m_2-\rho j},$$

where

$$c_j = \frac{1}{2^j} \sum_{\alpha+\beta=j} \frac{(-1)^{|\beta|}}{\alpha! \beta!} (\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta a) (\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta b),$$

ii) If  $(B_i)_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}}$  is the family of operators such as  $B_i \in G_0^{m_i}$ . Then the operator

$$B_1 B_2 \cdots B_n H^{-\frac{m_1 + \cdots + m_n}{2}},$$

is bounded.

THEOREM 2.1. (Calderon-Vaillancourt Theorem)  
If  $a \in \Gamma_0^0$  then the operator  $op^w(a)$  is bounded on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

THEOREM 2.2. (*Compactness*)

If  $a \in \Gamma_\rho^m$ ,  $m < 0$  and  $\rho \in ]0, 1]$ , then the operator  $op^w(a)$  is compact on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

In our work, we need the functional calculus of operators  $H$  and we use the properties of a function  $f$  that satisfies, for all  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\rho \in [1 - \frac{1}{2l}, 1]$ ,

$$|f^{(k)}(x)| \leq C_k(1 + |x|)^{r-\rho k}.$$

PROPOSITION 2.2.  $f(H)$  is a ( $\Psi DO$ ) included in  $G_{\frac{1}{l}-2(1-\rho)}^{2r}$  and its weyl symbol admits the following development:

$$\sigma_{f(H)} = \sum_{j \geq 0} \sigma_{f(H), 2j},$$

$$\sigma_{f(H), 2j} = \sum_{k=2}^{3j} \frac{d_{jk}}{k!} f^{(k)}(\sigma_H), \quad \forall j \geq 1,$$

where

$$d_{j,k} \in \Gamma_{\frac{1}{l}}^{2k-j\frac{4}{l}}, \quad \sigma_{f(H), 2j} \in \Gamma_{\frac{1}{l}-2(1-\rho)}^{2r-j(\frac{4}{l}-6(1-\rho))}, \quad (9)$$

in particular

$$\sigma_{f(H), 0} = f(\sigma_H).$$

P r o o f. For studying  $f(H)$  we follow the same strategy in [13], using the Mellin transformation, the latter consists of the following steps:

1) We prove by induction that  $(H - \lambda)^{-1}$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , is a ( $\Psi DO$ ) and its Weyl symbol admits the development  $b_\lambda = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} b_{j,\lambda}$  where

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} b_{0,\lambda} = (\sigma_H - \lambda)^{-1}, \\ b_{2j+1,\lambda} = 0, \\ b_{2j,\lambda} = \sum_{k=2}^{3j} (-1)^k d_{j,k} b_{0,\lambda}^{k+1}, \quad d_{j,k} \in \Gamma_1^{2lk-4j}. \end{array} \right.$$

2) We study the operator  $H^s$  using the Cauchy integral formula:

$$H^s = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Delta} \lambda^s (H - \lambda)^{-1} d\lambda,$$

$\Delta$  is the same domain defined in the article [13].  $H^s$  is a  $(\Psi DO)$  and its Weyl symbol is given by:

$$\sigma_s = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \sigma_{s,2j},$$

with

$$\sigma_{s,0} = \sigma_H^s, \quad \sigma_{s,2j} = \sum_{k=2}^{3j} d_{j,k} \cdot \frac{s(s-1) \cdots (s-k+1)}{k!} \sigma_H^{s-k},$$

$$\sigma_{s,2j} \in \Gamma_1^{2ls-4j}.$$

3) We study  $f(H)$  using the representation formula

$$f(H) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma-i\infty}^{\sigma+i\infty} M[f](s) H^{-s} ds,$$

$\sigma \in [0, -r[, r < 0$  and  $M[f]$  is the Mellin transformation of  $f$ . □

### 3. Reduction to a perturbation of $H^{\frac{1}{l}}$

If we translate  $H$  by a sufficiently large positive constant, we can assume that  $L$  is positive and  $\|H^{-1}V\| < 1$ . This allows us to reduce the problem to a perturbation of  $H^{\frac{1}{l}}$  by expressing

$$(H + V)^{\frac{1}{l}} = H^{\frac{1}{l}} + W,$$

consequently,

$$W = B + H^{\frac{1}{l}} (H^{-1}V)^2 \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \alpha_{k+2} (H^{-1}V)^k,$$

where

$$B = \left(\frac{1}{l}\right) H^{\frac{1}{l}-1} V, \quad \alpha_k = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{l}\right) \left(\frac{1}{l} - 1\right) \cdots \left(\frac{1}{l} - k + 1\right)}{k!}. \quad (10)$$

We can then write

$$L^{\frac{1}{l}} - L_l = H^{\frac{1}{l}} (H^{-1}V)^2 \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \alpha_{k+2} (H^{-1}V)^k. \quad (11)$$

Since  $\|H^{-1}V\| < 1$ , the operator  $\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \alpha_{k+2} (H^{-1}V)^k$  is bounded in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

Given that  $H \in G_{\frac{1}{l}}^2$ , we have  $H^{-1} \in G_{\frac{1}{l}}^{-2}$ . By combining the above with the fact that  $V \in G_0^0$  and Proposition 2.1-(ii), the operator  $(L^{\frac{1}{l}} - L_l)H^{2-\frac{1}{l}}$  is bounded. We thus conclude that there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that:

$$-cH^{-2+\frac{1}{l}} \leq L^{\frac{1}{l}} - L_l \leq cH^{-2+\frac{1}{l}}. \quad (12)$$

According to the Min-Max theorem, we obtain:

$$(\lambda_k + \mu_k)^{\frac{1}{l}} = \lambda_k^{\frac{1}{l}} + v_k + O\left(\lambda_k^{\frac{1}{l}-2}\right), \quad (13)$$

Using the fact that  $\{\mu_k\}$  is bounded and applying Taylor's formula to the function  $t \rightarrow (1 + \frac{\mu_k}{t})^{\frac{1}{l}}$ , we obtain the estimate

$$\mu_k = l\lambda_k^{1-\frac{1}{l}}v_k + O(\lambda_k^{-1}). \quad (14)$$

This completes the proof of proposition 1.1.

#### 4. The asymptotic behavior of $\bar{v}_k$

We recall that  $\bar{L}_l$  is obtained by replacing  $B$  in  $L_l$  with  $\bar{B}$ , and  $\lambda_k^{\frac{1}{l}} + \bar{v}_k$  is the part of the spectrum of  $\bar{L}_l$  around  $\lambda_k^{\frac{1}{l}}$  as  $\lambda_k \rightarrow +\infty$ . Setting

$$\bar{V} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T W(t) dt, \quad W(t) = e^{-itH^{\frac{1}{l}}} V e^{itH^{\frac{1}{l}}}, \quad (15)$$

and from (10)

$$\bar{B} = \frac{1}{l} H^{\frac{1}{l}-1} \bar{V}. \quad (16)$$

As noted in Proposition 2.2, the operator  $H^{\frac{1}{l}} \in G_{\frac{1}{l}}^{\frac{2}{l}}$  possesses a Weyl symbol  $\sigma$  that is expressed as:

$$\sigma = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \sigma_{2j},$$

where  $\sigma_0 = \sigma_H^{\frac{1}{l}}$  and  $\sigma_{2j} \in \Gamma_{\frac{1}{l}}^{\frac{2}{l}-\frac{4}{l}j}$ .

PROPOSITION 4.1. For  $l \geq 1$ , we have:

$$\bar{V} \in G_0^{-\frac{1}{2l^2}}, \quad (17)$$



and its Weyl symbol admits the following asymptotic development

$$\sigma_{\bar{V}} = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \sigma_{\bar{V},2j}, \quad \sigma_{\bar{V},2j} \in \Gamma_0^{-\frac{1}{2l^2} - \frac{2}{l}j}, \quad (18)$$

in particular:

$$\sigma_{\bar{V},0} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T V(x(t)) dt = \frac{l}{B(\frac{1}{2l}, \frac{1}{2l})} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{V(y\sigma_H^{\frac{1}{2l}})}{(1 - y^{2l})^{1-\frac{1}{2l}}} dy. \quad (19)$$

**P r o o f.** We recall that  $\varphi(t) = (x(t), \xi(t))$  is a solution of the dynamic system:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx(t)}{dt} = \frac{\partial \sigma_H^{\frac{1}{2l}}}{\partial \xi} = 2E^{\frac{1}{l}-1} \xi^{2l-1}(t), \\ \frac{d\xi(t)}{dt} = \frac{-\partial \sigma_H^{\frac{1}{2l}}}{\partial x} = -2E^{\frac{1}{l}-1} x^{2l-1}(t), \\ x(0) = x, \quad \xi(0) = \xi, \\ x^{2l}(t) + \xi^{2l}(t) = x^{2l} + \xi^{2l} = E. \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

To establish equation (19), we begin by recalling that  $\varphi(t) = (x(t), \xi(t))$  is a solution of the dynamic system (20). We assume the initial conditions  $x(0) > 0$  and  $\frac{dx(0)}{dt} > 0$ , the other cases can be handled similarly. Our focus now is on analyzing the properties of the function  $x(t)$  over the interval  $[0, T]$ . From equation (20) we get the relation:

$$dt = \pm \frac{dx}{2E^{\frac{1}{l}-1}(E - x^{2l})^{1-\frac{1}{2l}}}. \quad (21)$$

Given that  $x(t)$  is a smooth periodic function of period  $T$ , we can deduce from equation (21) that the function  $x(t)$  reaches its maximum at  $t_0$  where  $x(t_0) = E^{\frac{1}{2l}}$ , and its minimum at  $t_1$ , where  $x(t_1) = -E^{\frac{1}{2l}}$ . At this point, we have:

$$\sigma_{\bar{V},0} = \frac{1}{T} \left[ \int_0^{t_0} V(x(t)) dt + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} V(x(t)) dt + \int_{t_1}^T V(x(t)) dt \right].$$

To proceed, we perform a change of variable  $x(t) = u$ . Since  $x(t)$  is increasing on the interval  $[0, t_0]$ , we obtain:

$$\int_0^{t_0} V(x(t)) dt = \frac{1}{2} E^{1-\frac{1}{l}} \int_x^{E^{\frac{1}{2l}}} \frac{V(u)}{(E - u^{2l})^{1-\frac{1}{2l}}} du, \quad (22)$$

after applying a similar calculation over the intervals  $[t_0, t_1]$  and  $[t_1, T]$ , we obtain:

$$\sigma_{\bar{V},0} = \frac{l}{B(\frac{1}{2l}, \frac{1}{2l})} E^{1-\frac{1}{l}} \int_{-E^{\frac{1}{2l}}}^{E^{\frac{1}{2l}}} \frac{V(u)}{(E - u^{2l})^{1-\frac{1}{2l}}} du. \quad (23)$$

Now, by performing the change of variable  $y = \frac{u}{E^{\frac{1}{2l}}}$ , we have:

$$\sigma_{\bar{V},0} = \frac{l}{B(\frac{1}{2l}, \frac{1}{2l})} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{V(y\sigma_H^{\frac{1}{2l}})}{(1 - y^{2l})^{1-\frac{1}{2l}}} dy = \frac{l}{B(\frac{1}{2l}, \frac{1}{2l})} f(\sigma_H^{\frac{1}{2l}}), \quad (24)$$

where

$$f(x) = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{V(xy)}{(1 - y^{2l})^{1-\frac{1}{2l}}} dy, \quad x > c > 0,$$

with  $c$  being a small positive constant. We can write:

$$f(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{\mathcal{V}(yx)}{(1 - y^{2l})^{1-\frac{1}{2l}}} dy, \quad x > c > 0, \quad (25)$$

where  $\mathcal{V}(x) = V(-x) + V(x) = 2 \sum_{l=1}^{+\infty} a_l \cos(\nu_l x)$ . Given equations (4) and (25), and since  $\mathcal{V} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ , its Fourier series converges normally, and hence uniformly on  $\mathbb{R}$ , with the series sum being  $\mathcal{V}$ . Therefore, we have:

$$f(x) = 2 \sum_{l=1}^{+\infty} a_l \int_0^1 \frac{Re(e^{-i\nu_l xy})}{(1 - y^{2l})^{1-\frac{1}{2l}}} dy. \quad (26)$$

Let us define:

$$f_l(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{e^{-i\nu_l xy}}{(1 - y^{2l})^{1-\frac{1}{2l}}} dy = e^{-i\nu_l x} h_l(x), \quad (27)$$

where

$$h_l(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{e^{i\nu_l x(1-y)}}{(1 - y^{2l})^{1-\frac{1}{2l}}} dy.$$

By making the change of variables  $z = 1 - y$ , we get:

$$h_l(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{e^{i\nu_l xz}}{(1 - (1 - z)^{2l})^{1-\frac{1}{2l}}} dz.$$

Since

$$1 - (1 - z)^{2l} = z \sum_{k=0}^{2l-1} (1 - z)^k,$$

we have:

$$h_l(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{e^{i\nu_l x z}}{z^{1-\frac{1}{2l}} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{2l-1} (1-z)^k \right)^{1-\frac{1}{2l}}} dz. \quad (28)$$

We define, for  $z \in [0; 1]$ :

$$\psi(z) = \frac{1}{\left( \sum_{k=0}^{2l-1} (1-z)^k \right)^{1-\frac{1}{2l}}}.$$

It is clear that:

$$\psi(z) = \frac{1}{(2l)^{1-\frac{1}{2l}}} + z\theta(z), \quad (29)$$

where  $\theta(z) = \int_0^1 \psi'(tz) dt$ . Substituting (29) into (28), we obtain:

$$h_l(x) = \frac{1}{(2l)^{1-\frac{1}{2l}}} \int_0^1 \frac{e^{i\nu_l x z}}{z^{1-\frac{1}{2l}}} dz + \int_0^1 z^{\frac{1}{2l}} \theta(z) e^{i\nu_l x z} dz. \quad (30)$$

Now, by making the substitution  $u = \nu_l x z$  in the first integral of (30), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} h_l(x) &= \frac{1}{(2l)^{1-\frac{1}{2l}}} \frac{1}{(\nu_l x)^{\frac{1}{2l}}} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{e^{iu}}{u^{1-\frac{1}{2l}}} du - \frac{1}{(2l)^{1-\frac{1}{2l}}} \frac{1}{(\nu_l x)^{\frac{1}{2l}}} \int_{\nu_l x}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{iu}}{u^{1-\frac{1}{2l}}} du \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 z^{\frac{1}{2l}} \theta(z) e^{i\nu_l x z} dz. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Note that:

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{e^{iu}}{u^{1-\frac{1}{2l}}} du = e^{\frac{i\pi}{4l}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2l}\right). \quad (32)$$

By substituting equations (31) and (32) into equation (27), we obtain the expression:

$$\begin{aligned} f_l(x) &= \left(\frac{1}{2l}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{2l}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2l})}{(\nu_l x)^{\frac{1}{2l}}} e^{-i(\nu_l x - \frac{\pi}{4l})} - \left(\frac{1}{2l}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{2l}} \frac{e^{-i\nu_l x}}{(\nu_l x)^{\frac{1}{2l}}} \int_{\nu_l x}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{iz}}{z^{1-\frac{1}{2l}}} dz \\ &\quad - e^{-i\nu_l x} \int_0^1 z^{\frac{1}{2l}} \theta(z) e^{i\nu_l x z} dz \\ &= f_{l,1} + f_{l,2} + f_{l,3}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

A direct calculation shows that for all  $x > c > 0$ :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} |f_{l,1}^{(k)}(x)| \leq c_k \frac{1+\nu_n+\dots+\nu_n^k}{\nu_n^{\frac{1}{2l}}} (1+|x|)^{-\frac{1}{2l}}, \\ |f_{l,2}^{(k)}(x)| \leq c_k \frac{1+\nu_n+\dots+\nu_n^k}{\nu_n} (1+|x|)^{-1}, \\ |f_{l,3}^{(k)}(x)| \leq c_k \frac{\nu_n^k}{\nu_n} (1+|x|)^{-1}. \end{array} \right. \quad (34)$$

We will sum these results and then evaluate the estimate with respect to  $l$ . Using (26), (27), (33) and (34) it follows that:

$$|f^{(k)}(x)| \leq c_k \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{i=0}^k \nu_n^i \left( \frac{1}{\nu_n^{\frac{1}{2l}}} + \frac{1}{\nu_n} \right) |a_n| (1+|x|)^{-\frac{1}{2l}}. \quad (35)$$

From which, according to (5), we deduce that:

$$|f^{(k)}(x)| \leq C_k (1+|x|)^{-\frac{1}{2l}}. \quad (36)$$

For all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta (f(\sigma_H^{\frac{1}{2l}})) &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq \alpha + \beta \\ \alpha = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k \\ \beta = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k}} C_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k}^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k} \times \partial_x^{\alpha_1} \partial_\xi^{\beta_1} (\sigma_H^{\frac{1}{2l}}) \times \dots \\ &\quad \times \partial_x^{\alpha_k} \partial_\xi^{\beta_k} (\sigma_H^{\frac{1}{2l}}) \times f^{(k)}(\sigma_H^{\frac{1}{2l}}). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Using (5), (36), and considering that  $\sigma_H^{\frac{1}{2l}} \in \Gamma_{\frac{1}{l}}^{\frac{1}{l}}$ , we get:

$$\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta (f(\sigma_H^{\frac{1}{2l}})) \right| \leq c_{\alpha, \beta} (1 + \sigma_H)^{-\frac{1}{4l^2}}. \quad (38)$$

From (24) and (38), it is evident that  $\sigma_{\overline{V}, 0} \in \Gamma_0^{-\frac{1}{2l^2}}$ . To prove (18), we begin by applying Egorov's theorem. Direct calculations show that:

$$\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \sigma_{2j} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}), \quad \alpha, \beta, j \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha + \beta + j > 2,$$

and

$$\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \varphi(t) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}), \quad \alpha + \beta \geq 1,$$

Applying Egorov's theorem as outlined in [14] in the context of the Heisenberg-von Neumann equation, we obtain the expression for the Weyl symbol:

$$\sigma_{W(t)} = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \sigma_{W(t), 2j},$$

where

$$= \frac{1}{i} \int_0^t \sum_{\substack{\alpha' + \beta' + l' + 2k' = 2j+1 \\ 0 \leq l' \leq 2j-1}} C_{\alpha', \beta'} (\partial_\xi^{\alpha'} \partial_x^{\beta'} \sigma_{2k}) (\partial_\xi^{\beta'} \partial_x^{\alpha'} \sigma_{W,l}(\tau)) |\varphi^{t-\tau}| d\tau. \quad (39)$$

Here,  $C_{\alpha', \beta'}$  is defined as:

$$C_{\alpha', \beta'} = (1 - (-1)^{\alpha+\beta}) \Gamma(\alpha, \beta),$$

with

$$\sigma_{W(t),0}(x, \xi) = V o x(t), \quad \sigma_{W(t),1}(x, \xi) = 0.$$

For all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have:

$$\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta V(x(t)) \right| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq l \leq \alpha+\beta \\ \alpha = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_l \\ \beta = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_l}} V^{(l)}(x(t)).$$

From (39), it follows that:

$$\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \sigma_{W(t),2j} \right| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} (e + \sigma_H)^{-\frac{j}{l}} \times \int_0^t \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\alpha+\beta+2j+1} |V^{(m)}(x(u))| \right) du. \quad (40)$$

Since  $|V^{(m)}|$  is almost periodic for all  $m$ , we can use the previous calculation to obtain:

$$\int_0^T |V^{(m)}|(x(u)) du \in \Gamma_0^{-\frac{1}{2l^2}}. \quad (41)$$

Applying similar calculations to (40) confirms (18).  $\square$

### Proof of Proposition 1.2

**P r o o f.** By Proposition 4.1, we have

$$\sigma_{\bar{V}} - \sigma_{\bar{V},0} \in \Gamma_0^{-\frac{1}{2l^2} - \frac{2}{l}}, \quad (42)$$

using (19), we can write

$$\sigma_{\bar{V},0} = g(\sigma_H), \quad (43)$$

where

$$g(x) = \frac{l}{B(\frac{1}{2l}, \frac{1}{2l})} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{V(y\sigma_H^{\frac{1}{2l}})}{(1 - y^{2l})^{1-\frac{1}{2l}}} dy = f(x^{\frac{1}{2l}}), \quad (44)$$

by exploiting (36) and by a direct calculation, we obtain for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|g^{(k)}(x)| \leq C_k (1 + |x|)^{-\frac{1}{4l^2} - (1-\frac{1}{2l})k}; \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (45)$$

Applying Proposition 2.2, we find that  $g(H) \in G_0^{-\frac{1}{2l^2}}$ , and its weyl symbol  $\sigma_{f(H)}$  satisfies

$$\sigma_{g(H)} - g(\sigma_H) \in \Gamma_0^{-\frac{1}{2l^2} - \frac{2}{l}}, \quad (46)$$

combining (42), (43) and (46), we get

$$\sigma_{\bar{V}} - \sigma_{g(H)} \in \Gamma_0^{-\frac{1}{2l^2} - \frac{2}{l}}. \quad (47)$$

In terms of operators, this translates to

$$\bar{V} - g(H) \in G_0^{-\frac{1}{2l^2} - \frac{2}{l}}, \quad (48)$$

we can write

$$\frac{1}{l} H^{\frac{1}{l}-1} (\bar{V} - g(H)) = \left[ \bar{L}_l - (H^{\frac{1}{l}} + \frac{1}{l} H^{\frac{1}{l}-1} g(H)) \right]. \quad (49)$$

From (48), Proposition 2.1, Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 2.1, we deduce that the operator

$$\left[ \bar{L}_l - (H^{\frac{1}{l}} + \frac{1}{l} H^{\frac{1}{l}-1} g(H)) \right] H^{1-\frac{1}{4l^2}}, \quad (50)$$

is bounded. According to Min-Max theorem, we have

$$\bar{v}_k = \frac{1}{l} \lambda_k^{\frac{1}{l}-1} g(\lambda_k) + O(\lambda_k^{-(1-\frac{1}{4l^2})}). \quad (51)$$

Thus,

$$l \lambda_k^{1-\frac{1}{l}} \bar{v}_k = \frac{l}{B(\frac{1}{2l}, \frac{1}{2l})} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{V(y \lambda_k^{\frac{1}{2l}})}{(1-y^{2l})^{1-\frac{1}{2l}}} dy + O(\lambda_k^{-(\frac{1}{l}-\frac{1}{4l^2})}). \quad (52)$$

□

## 5. The relation between the spectrum of $L_l$ and $\bar{L}_l$

**PROPOSITION 5.1.** *There exists a skew-symmetric operator  $Q \in G_0^{-(\frac{1}{2l^2}+2-\frac{2}{l})}$  such that the operator  $(e^Q L_l e^{-Q} - \bar{L}_l) H^{\frac{1}{2l^2}+2-\frac{2}{l}}$  is bounded.*

P r o o f. The operator  $Q$  is constructed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= Q_1 + Q_2, \quad Q_1 = \frac{i}{lT} H^{\frac{1}{l}-1} \int_0^T (T-t) W(t) dt, \\ Q_2 &= \frac{-1}{2T} \int_0^T (T-t) \int_0^t \left[ \frac{1}{l} H^{\frac{1}{l}-1} W(t), \frac{1}{l} H^{\frac{1}{l}-1} W(r) \right] dr dt. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

The following commutation formulas can be easily verified [4]:

$$[Q_1, H^{\frac{1}{l}}] = \frac{1}{l} H^{\frac{1}{l}-1} (\bar{V} - V), \quad (54)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [Q_2, H^{\frac{1}{l}}] &= \frac{-1}{2T} \int_0^T (T-t) \int_0^t \left[ \left[ \frac{1}{l} H^{\frac{1}{l}-1} W(t), \frac{1}{l} H^{\frac{1}{l}-1} W(r) \right], H^{\frac{1}{l}} \right] dr dt \\ &= -\bar{\bar{V}} - \frac{1}{2} [Q_1, \frac{1}{l} H^{\frac{1}{l}-1} V], \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

where

$$\bar{\bar{V}} = \frac{1}{2Ti} \int_0^T \int_0^t \left[ \frac{1}{l} H^{\frac{1}{l}-1} W(t), \frac{1}{l} H^{\frac{1}{l}-1} W(r) \right] dr dt. \quad (56)$$

We point out that the differential equation

$$\frac{dX(t)}{dt} = [Q, X], \quad X(0) = L_l,$$

has a unique solution given by

$$X(t) = e^{tAdQ} . L_l = e^{tQ} L_l e^{-tQ}, \quad (57)$$

where  $AdQ . L_l = [Q, L_l]$ .

As a consequence of (53), (54) and (55), we deduce that:

$$\begin{aligned} e^Q L_l e^{-Q} - \bar{L}_l &= -\bar{\bar{V}} + \frac{1}{2l} [Q_2, H^{\frac{1}{l}-1} V] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2l} [Q, H^{\frac{1}{l}-1} \bar{V}] + \frac{1}{4l} [Q, [Q_1, H^{\frac{1}{l}-1} V]] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} [Q, [Q_2, \frac{1}{l} H^{\frac{1}{l}-1} V]] - \frac{1}{2} [Q, \bar{\bar{V}}] \\ &\quad + \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(AdQ)^n}{(n+3)!} [Q, [Q, [Q, L_l]]]. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

To continue the proof of Proposition 5.1, we will use the following lemma.

LEMMA 5.1.  $Q_1 \in G_0^{-(\frac{1}{2l^2} + 2 - \frac{2}{l})}$  and  $\bar{\bar{V}}, Q_2 \in G_0^{-(\frac{1}{l^2} - \frac{4}{l} + 4)}$ .

P r o o f. Following similar calculations as in the proof of Proposition 4.1, we obtain that:  $Q_1 \in G_0^{-(\frac{1}{2l^2} + 2 - \frac{2}{l})}$ . Now let us determine the class of  $\overline{\overline{V}}$ , we can write:

$$\overline{\overline{V}} = \frac{1}{2Ti} \int_0^T \left[ AW(t), \int_0^t AW(r) dr \right] dt, \quad (59)$$

where

$$A = \frac{1}{l} H^{\frac{1}{l}-1}.$$

Let  $a$  (resp.  $b$ ) denote the Weyl symbols of  $AW(t)$  (resp.  $\int_0^t AW(r) dr$ ). By applying Proposition 2.1, we have

$$a = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} a_j, \quad b = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} b_j,$$

where

$$a_j = \sum_{\alpha' + \beta' + 2l' + 2k' = j} C_{\alpha', \beta'} (\partial_\xi^{\alpha'} \partial_x^{\beta'} \sigma_{W(t), 2l'}) (\partial_x^{\alpha'} \partial_\xi^{\beta'} \sigma_{A, 2k'}),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & b_j \\ &= \sum_{\alpha'' + \beta'' + 2l'' + 2k'' = j} C_{\alpha'', \beta''} \left( \int_0^t \partial_\xi^{\alpha''} \partial_x^{\beta''} \sigma_{W(r), 2l''} dr \right) \\ & \times (\partial_x^{\alpha''} \partial_\xi^{\beta''} \sigma_{A, 2k''}). \end{aligned}$$

The symbol of the commutator in equation (59) is expressed as:

$$c = \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} c_j,$$

where each  $c_j$  is given by:

$$c_j = \sum_{\alpha + \beta + k + m = j} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \frac{1}{\beta!} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^\alpha \left( -\frac{1}{2} \right)^\beta (1 - (-1)^{\alpha + \beta}) \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a_m \partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta b_k.$$



For the derivatives  $\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a_m$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a_m &= \sum_{\alpha' + \beta' + 2l' + 2k' = m} C_{\alpha', \beta'} \sum_{\substack{i_1 + i_2 = \alpha \\ j_1 + j_2 = \beta}} C_{\alpha, \beta} (\partial_\xi^{\alpha' + j_1} \partial_x^{\beta' + i_1} \sigma_{W(t), 2l'}) \\ &\quad \times (\partial_x^{\alpha' + i_2} \partial_\xi^{\beta' + j_2} \sigma_{A, 2k'}). \end{aligned}$$

By applying (9) and (39), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a_m \right| &\leq C_{\alpha, \beta} (1 + \sigma_H)^{\frac{1}{l} - 1 - \frac{m}{2l}} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^t \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha + \beta + m + 1} |V^{(i)}(x(u))| \right) du. \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

Similarly:

$$\left| \partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta b_k \right| \leq C(1 + \sigma_H)^{\frac{1}{l} - 1 - \frac{k}{2l}} \int_0^t \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha + \beta + k + 1} |V^{(i)}(x(u))| \right) du, \quad (61)$$

thus, for all  $j$ , we have:

$$|c_j| \leq C(1 + \sigma_H)^{\frac{2}{l} - 2} \left( \int_0^t \left( \sum_{i=1}^{j+1} |V^{(i)}(x(u))| \right) du \right)^2, \quad (62)$$

by applying the Leibniz formula, we obtain the following result for  $j \geq 1$ :

$$\left| \partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta c_j \right| \leq C(1 + \sigma_H)^{\frac{2}{l} - 2} \times \left( \int_0^t \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha + \beta + j + 1} |V^{(i)}(x(u))| \right) du \right)^2. \quad (63)$$

The symbol of  $\overline{\overline{V}}$  is obtained by integrating the symbol  $c$  with respect to  $t$ . For the integral given in equation (63), we perform the same calculation as in the proof of Proposition 4.1, leading to the result:

$$\overline{\overline{V}} \in G_0^{-(\frac{1}{l^2} - \frac{4}{l} + 4)}.$$

We use the same procedure to demonstrate that:

$$Q_2 \in G_0^{-(\frac{1}{l^2} - \frac{4}{l} + 4)}.$$

□

We return to the proof of proposition 5.1. Given that  $V \in G_0^0$ ,  $\overline{V} \in G_0^{-\frac{1}{2l^2}}$ ,  $Q_1, Q \in G_0^{-(\frac{1}{2l^2} + 2 - \frac{2}{l})}$  and  $\overline{\overline{V}}, Q_2 \in G_0^{-(\frac{1}{l^2} - \frac{4}{l} + 4)}$ , we apply

Proposition 2.1 in equation (58), we get:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \left\| \overline{\overline{V}} \cdot H^{\frac{1}{2l^2} + 2 - \frac{2}{l}} \right\| & \leq C, \\ \left\| \left[ Q_2, H^{\frac{1}{l} - 1} V \right] H^{\frac{1}{2l^2} + 3 - \frac{3}{l}} \right\| & \leq C, \\ \left\| \left[ Q, H^{\frac{1}{l} - 1} \overline{\overline{V}} \right] H^{\frac{1}{2l^2} + 2 - \frac{2}{l}} \right\| & \leq C, \\ \left\| \left[ Q, \left[ Q_1, H^{\frac{1}{l} - 1} V \right] \right] H^{\frac{1}{2l^2} + 3 - \frac{3}{l}} \right\| & \leq C, \\ \left\| \left[ Q, \left[ Q_2, \frac{1}{l} H^{\frac{1}{l} - 1} V \right] \right] H^{\frac{3}{4l^2} + 4 - \frac{4}{l}} \right\| & \leq C, \\ \left\| \left[ Q, \overline{\overline{V}} \right] H^{\frac{3}{4l^2} + 4 - \frac{4}{l}} \right\| & \leq C, \\ \left\| \frac{(AdQ)^n}{(n+3)!} [Q, [Q, [Q, L_l]]] H^{\frac{3}{4l^2} + 3 - \frac{4}{l}} \right\| & \leq C \|Q\|^n. \end{array} \right. \quad (64)$$

For the last inequality, we used equation (54) along with the identity:

$$(AdQ)^n \cdot W = \sum_{p=0}^n (-1)^{n-p} C_n^p Q^p W Q^{n-p},$$

from the above, we can conclude that

$$(e^Q L_l e^{-Q} - \overline{L}_l) H^{\frac{1}{2l^2} + 2 - \frac{2}{l}},$$

is bounded. Returning to the proof of Proposition 1.3, we deduce from Proposition 5.1 that there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that:

$$-c H^{-(\frac{1}{2l^2} + 2 - \frac{2}{l})} \leq e^Q L_l e^{-Q} - \overline{L}_l \leq c H^{-(\frac{1}{2l^2} + 2 - \frac{2}{l})},$$

according to the Min-Max theorem, we have:

$$v_k = \overline{v}_k + O(\lambda^{-(\frac{1}{2l^2} + 2 - \frac{2}{l})}).$$

Now, to prove Theorem 1.1, we simply combine Propositions 1.1 and 1.2.

For  $l \geq 2$ , this yields:

$$\mu_k = \frac{l}{B(\frac{1}{2l}, \frac{1}{2l})} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{V(y \lambda_k^{\frac{1}{2l}})}{(1 - y^{2l})^{1 - \frac{1}{2l}}} dy + O\left(\lambda_k^{-(\frac{1}{l} - \frac{1}{4l^2})}\right).$$

□

## 6. Appendix: Proof of Proposition 2.1

i) We proceed as in ([14], Theorem (II 30)), let  $a$  and  $b$  denote the Weyl symbols of operators  $A$  and  $B$  respectively. The Weyl symbol  $c$  of the operator  $AB$  is given by ([14], p. 79, with the constant  $h$  replaced

by 1):

$$c(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int e^{-2i(r\rho - \omega\tau)} a(x + \omega, \rho + \xi) b(x + r, \tau + \xi) d\rho d\omega d\tau dr, \quad (65)$$

for every  $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ . We split the oscillator integral  $c$  into two parts  $c^{(1)}$  and  $c^{(2)}$ , then we use the cutoff function:

$$\omega_{1,\varepsilon}(x, \xi, \omega, \tau, r, \rho) = \chi \left[ \frac{\omega^2 + \rho^2 + r^2 + \tau^2}{\varepsilon(1 + x^{2l} + \xi^{2l})^{\frac{\eta}{2}}} \right], \quad (66)$$

and

$$\omega_{2,\varepsilon} = 1 - \omega_{1,\varepsilon},$$

where  $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\chi \equiv 1$  in  $[-1, 1]$ ,  $\chi \equiv 0$  in  $\mathbb{R} \setminus ]-2, 2[$  and  $\eta > 0$ .

Let us consider for  $j \in \{1, 2\}$

$$d_j(x, \xi, \omega, \tau, r, \rho) = \omega_{j,\varepsilon}(x, \xi, \omega, \tau, r, \rho) a(x + \omega, \rho + \xi) b(x + r, \tau + \xi), \quad (67)$$

$c^{(1)}$  ( resp  $c^{(2)}$  ) the integral obtained in (65) by replacing the amplitude by  $d_1$  (resp  $d_2$ ).

**Study of  $c^{(2)}$ :**

On the support of  $d_2$ , we have  $\omega^2 + \rho^2 + r^2 + \tau^2 \geq 2\varepsilon(1 + x^{2l} + \xi^{2l})^{\frac{\eta}{2}}$ , we perform integration by parts using the operator:

$$M = \frac{1}{2i}(\omega^2 + \rho^2 + r^2 + \tau^2)^{-1}(-\rho\partial_r - r\partial_\rho + \tau\partial_\omega + \omega\partial_\tau),$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have:

$$c^{(2)} = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int e^{-2i(r\rho - \omega\tau)} ({}^t M)^k d_2 d\rho d\omega d\tau dr,$$

leading to

$$c^{(2)} \in \Gamma_0^{m_1 + m_2 - \frac{\eta}{2}k}. \quad (68)$$

**Study of  $c^{(1)}$ :**

The function  $(\omega, \tau, r, \rho) \longrightarrow d_1(x, \xi, \omega, \tau, r, \rho)$  has a compact support. From ([14], Proposition II – 26), we deduce that for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$c^{(1)} = \sum_{j=0}^N c_j(x, \xi) + R_{N+1}(x, \xi), \quad (69)$$

where

$$c_j = \frac{1}{2^j} \sum_{\alpha + \beta = j} \frac{(-1)^{|\beta|}}{\alpha! \beta!} (\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta a)(\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta b), \quad (70)$$

and

$$|R_{N+1}(x, \xi)| \leq c_N \left\| (\partial_\omega \partial_\tau - \partial_r \partial_\rho)^{N+1} d_1 \right\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^4)}, \quad (71)$$

where  $H^3(\mathbb{R}^4)$  is the Sobolev space. Since  $a \in \Gamma_\rho^{m_1}$  and  $b \in \Gamma_0^{m_2}$ , we have for every  $j \leq N$ :

$$c = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} c_j, \quad c_j \in \Gamma_0^{m_1+m_2-\rho j}. \quad (72)$$

Let us study the rest term  $R_{N+1}(x, \xi)$  in equation (69). From (71) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & |R_{N+1}(x, \xi)| \\ & \leq c_N \sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \leq 3 \\ \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^4}} \left\| (\partial_\omega \partial_\tau - \partial_r \partial_\rho)^{N+1} d_1 \partial_{\omega, \tau, r, \rho}^\gamma d_1 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^4)} \\ & \leq c_N (1 + x^{2l} + \xi^{2l})^\eta \\ & \quad \times \sup_{\substack{[\omega^2 + \rho^2 + r^2 + \tau^2 \leq 2\varepsilon(1 + x^{2l} + \xi^{2l})^{\frac{\eta}{2}}] \\ |\gamma| \leq 3}} |(\partial_\omega \partial_\tau - \partial_r \partial_\rho)^{N+1} \partial_{\omega, \tau, r, \rho}^\gamma d_1|, \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

for  $|\gamma| \leq 3$ , we have :

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\partial_\omega \partial_\tau - \partial_r \partial_\rho)^{N+1} \partial_{\omega, \tau, r, \rho}^\gamma d_1| \\ & = (N+1)! \sum_{\alpha + \beta = N+1} \frac{(-1)^\beta}{\alpha! \beta!} \partial_\omega^\beta \partial_\tau^\beta \partial_r^\alpha \partial_\rho^\alpha \partial_{\omega, \tau, r, \rho}^\gamma d_1. \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

Since  $a$  (resp  $b$ ) are independent of  $(\tau, r)$  (resp  $(\omega, \rho)$ ), for  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4)$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & |\partial_\omega^\beta \partial_\tau^\beta \partial_r^\alpha \partial_\rho^\alpha \partial_{\omega, \tau, r, \rho}^\gamma d_1| \\ & \leq C \sum_{\substack{i_1 + i_2 = \beta + \gamma_1 \\ i_p \leq \beta + \gamma_1 \\ j_1 + j_2 = \beta + \gamma_2 \\ j_p \leq \beta + \gamma_2 \\ k_1 + k_2 = \alpha + \gamma_3 \\ k_p \leq \alpha + \gamma_3 \\ r_1 + r_2 = \alpha + \gamma_4 \\ r_p \leq \alpha + \gamma_4}} |\partial_\omega^{i_1} \partial_\rho^{r_1} a| |\partial_\tau^{j_1} \partial_r^{k_1} b| |\partial_\omega^{i_2} \partial_\tau^{j_2} \partial_r^{k_2} \partial_\rho^{r_2} \omega_{1, \varepsilon}|, \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

on the support of  $\omega_{1, \varepsilon}$  and for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ , we have:

$$|\partial_\omega^{i_2} \partial_\tau^{j_2} \partial_r^{k_2} \partial_\rho^{r_2} \omega_{1, \varepsilon}| \leq c(1 + x^{2l} + \xi^{2l})^{\frac{-\eta}{4}(i_2 + j_2 + k_2 + r_2)}. \quad (76)$$

We now introduce the following lemma.

LEMMA 6.1. *For sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $0 < \eta \leq \frac{2}{l}$ , there exist positive constants  $c, c', C, C'$  such that:*

$$c(1 + x^{2l} + \xi^{2l})^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq (1 + (x + u)^{2l} + (\xi + v)^{2l})^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C(1 + x^{2l} + \xi^{2l})^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

for all  $x, \xi, u$  and  $v$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

P r o o f. The convexity of the function  $x \rightarrow x^{2l}$ , along with the condition  $0 < \eta \leq \frac{2}{l}$  allows us to demonstrate that:

$$1 + (x + u)^{2l} + (\xi + v)^{2l} \leq C(1 + x^{2l} + \xi^{2l}).$$

Similarly, we have:

$$x^{2l} \leq 2^{2l-1}((x + u)^{2l} + u^{2l}) \quad ; \quad \xi^{2l} \leq 2^{2l-1}((\xi + v)^{2l} + v^{2l}),$$

hence

$$(1 + x^{2l} + \xi^{2l})(1 - 2^{3l}\varepsilon^l) \leq C(1 + (x + u)^{2l} + (\xi + v)^{2l}),$$

for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ , we obtain:

$$c(1 + x^{2l} + \xi^{2l}) \leq (1 + (x + u)^{2l} + (\xi + v)^{2l}),$$

which implies

$$c(1 + x^{2l} + \xi^{2l})^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq (1 + (x + u)^{2l} + (\xi + v)^{2l})^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C(1 + x^{2l} + \xi^{2l})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

□

From equations (75),(76), Lemma 6.1, and the facts that  $a \in \Gamma_\rho^{m_1}$ ,  $b \in \Gamma_0^{m_2}$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_\omega^\beta \partial_\tau^\beta \partial_r^\alpha \partial_\rho^\alpha \partial_{\omega,\tau,r,\rho}^\gamma d_1| &\leq C(1 + x^{2l} + \xi^{2l})^{\frac{m_1+m_2}{2}} \\ &\times \sum (1 + x^{2l} + \xi^{2l})^{-\frac{\rho}{2}(i_1+r_1)-\frac{\eta}{4}(i_2+j_2+k_2+r_2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

assuming that  $\frac{\eta}{2} \geq \rho$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_\omega^\beta \partial_\tau^\beta \partial_r^\alpha \partial_\rho^\alpha \partial_{\omega,\tau,r,\rho}^\gamma d_1| &\leq C(e + x^{2l} + \xi^{2l})^{\frac{m_1+m_2}{2}} \\ &\times \sum (1 + x^{2l} + \xi^{2l})^{-\frac{\rho}{2}(i_1+i_2+r_1+r_2)-\frac{\rho}{2}(j_2+k_2)}, \end{aligned}$$

since  $i_1 + i_2 + r_1 + r_2 = N + 1 + \gamma_1 + \gamma_4$ , it follows that:

$$|\partial_\omega^\beta \partial_\tau^\beta \partial_r^\alpha \partial_\rho^\alpha \partial_{\omega,\tau,r,\rho}^\gamma d_1| \leq C(e + \sigma_H)^{\frac{m_1+m_2-(N+1)\rho}{2}}, \quad (78)$$

using (74) and (78), we get:

$$|(\partial_\omega \partial_x - \partial_r \partial_\rho)^{N+1} \partial_{\omega,\tau,r,\rho}^\gamma d_1| \leq C_N(e + \sigma_H)^{\frac{m_1+m_2-(N+1)\rho}{2}}. \quad (79)$$

Finally, combining (73) and (79), we obtain the following estimate for  $R_{N+1}$ :

$$|R_{N+1}(x, \xi)| \leq C_N(e + \sigma_H)^{\frac{m_1+m_2-(N+1)\rho+2\eta}{2}}, \quad (80)$$

this implies that

$$R_{N+1} \in \Gamma_0^{m_1+m_2-(N+1)\rho+2\eta},$$

the rest of the symbol  $c$  is expressed as:

$$\delta_{N+1}(x, \xi) = R_{N+1}(x, \xi) + c^{(2)}(x, \xi). \quad (81)$$

To estimate  $\delta_{N+1}$ , we use (68), (80) and (81), by further expanding the development, i.e., writing:

$$\delta_{N+1}(x, \xi) = c_{N+1} + \cdots + c_{N+k} + \delta_{N+1+k},$$

and choosing  $k \geq 4$ , we find that

$$\delta_{N+1} \in \Gamma_0^{m_1+m_2-(N+1)\rho}.$$

ii) It is sufficient to do the same for  $n = 2$ . Let us first note that  $H \in G_{\frac{1}{l}}^2$ , we have:

$$B_1 B_2 H^{-\frac{m_1+m_2}{2}} = B_1 H^{-\frac{m_1}{2}} H^{\frac{m_1}{2}} B_2 H^{-\frac{m_1+m_2}{2}},$$

according to i) the operator  $B_1 H^{-\frac{m_1}{2}} \in G_0^0$ , and according to Calderon Vaillancourt's Theorem 2.1,  $B_1 H^{-\frac{m_1}{2}}$  is bounded. Similarly, the operator  $B_2 H^{-\frac{m_1+m_2}{2}} \in G_0^{-\frac{m_1}{2}}$ , thus  $H^{\frac{m_1}{2}} B_2 H^{-\frac{m_1+m_2}{2}} \in G_0^0$ .

## References

- [1] B. Helffer, D. Robert, Propriétés asymptotiques du spectre d'opérateurs pseudo-différentiels sur  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, (1982), 795-882.
- [2] R. Imekraz, Normal forms for semilinear superquadratic quantum oscillators, *Journal of Differential Equations*, **252**, No 3 (2012), 2025–2052.
- [3] D. Gurarie, Asymptotic inverse spectral problem for anharmonic oscillators, *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, **112**, (1987), 491–502.
- [4] D. Gurarie, Asymptotic inverse spectral problem for anharmonic oscillators with odd potentials, *Inverse Problems*, **5**, (1989), 293-306.

- [5] D. Gurarie, Averaging methods in spectral theory of Schrödinger operators, In: *Maximal Principles and Eigenvalue Problems, Notes in Mathematics Series*, Pitman Research Notes, Vol. **175** (1988), 167—177.
- [6] K. Fedosova, M. Nursultanov, Asymptotic expansion for the eigenvalues of a perturbed anharmonic oscillator, *arXiv preprint arXiv:1902.04545* (2019).
- [7] M. Reed, B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics IV: Analysis of Operators* (1978).
- [8] D. M. Elton, Asymptotics for the eigenvalues of the harmonic oscillator with a quasi-periodic perturbation, *arXiv preprint math/0312110* (2003).
- [9] I. Aarab, M. A. Tagmouti, Harmonic oscillator perturbed by a decreasing scalar potential, *Journal of Pseudo-Differential Operators and Applications*, **11**, No 1 (2020), 141-157.
- [10] Y. Colin de Verdière, La méthode de moyennisation en mécanique semi-classique, *Journées équations aux dérivées partielles*, (1996), pp. 1-11.
- [11] A. Weinstein, Asymptotics of eigenvalue clusters for the Laplacian plus a potential, *Duke Math.*, **44**, No 4 (1977), 883-892.
- [12] T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Springer, Berlin **132** (2013).
- [13] B. Helffer, D. Robert, Calcul fonctionnel par la transformation de Mellin et opérateurs admissibles. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, **53**, No 3 (1983), 246-268.
- [14] D. Robert, Autour de l'approximation semi-classique, *Progress in Mathematics*, **68** (1987).
- [15] B. Helffer, D. Robert, Comportement semi-classique du spectre des hamiltoniens quantiques elliptiques. *Annales de l'institut Fourier*, **31**, No 3 (1981), 169-223.
- [16] X. P. Wang, Approximation semi-classique de l'équation de Heisenberg. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, **104**, No 1 (1986), 77-86.
- [17] M. Klein, E. Korotyaev, A. Pokrovski, Spectral asymptotics of the harmonic oscillator perturbed by bounded potentials, *Annales Henri Poincaré*, **6**, No 4 (2005), 747-789.
- [18] A. Pushnitski, I. Sorrell, High energy asymptotics and trace formulas for the perturbed harmonic oscillator, *Annales Henri Poincaré*, **7**, No 2 (2006), 381–396.