

SOME FORMS FOR r^{th} MOVING MAXIMA OF ITERATED LOGARITHM LAW

Bader Almohaimed

Department of Mathematics

College of Science

Qassim University

Buraydah 51431, P.O. Box 707, SAUDI ARABIA

Abstract: Let $\eta_{r,n}$ be a sequence of independent random variables, which is identically distributed and is defined over common probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{A})$ for a continuous distribution function F . Let $\eta_{r,n}$ denote the r^{th} upper order statistic between $(X_{n-a_n+1}, X_{n-a_n+2}, \dots, X_n)$, for $n \geq 1$ with sequence (a_n) of integers, which is non-decreasing for $0 \leq a_n \leq n$. In this paper, some forms of iterated logarithm law for $\eta_{r,n}$ are obtained.

AMS Subject Classification: 60F15

Key Words: moving maxima, iterated logarithm law, functions varying regularly

1. Introduction

Let (X_n) be a sequence of random variables (r.vs) with independent identically distributed (i.i.d) terms defined over common probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{A})$, and the common distribution (d.f) F be continuous. Let us represent $r(F)$ as right extremity of F . Observe that if for all real x , $F(x) < 1$, then $r(f) = \infty$. On the same space, define a sequence (U_n) of uniform $(0, 1)$ r.vs. If $(M_{r,n})$ denotes the r^{th} largest among X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n and $M_{r,n}^*$ denotes the largest among

U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n , then $(M_{r,n})$ and $M_{r,n}^*$ are called the upper order statistic among X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n and the upper order statistic of U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n , respectively.

Consider a sequence of integers $\{a_n\}$ which is non-decreasing, for $0 < a_n \leq n$ and let $\eta_{r,n}$ denote the largest among $(X_{n-a_n+1}, X_{n-a_n+2}, \dots, X_n)$, and $\eta_{r,n}^*$ denote the r^{th} largest among $(U_{n-a_n+1}, U_{n-a_n+2}, \dots, U_n)$. Then, $\eta_{r,n}$ is the r^{th} upper order statistic among (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) and $\eta_{r,n}^*$ the r^{th} upper order statistics of $(U_{n-a_n+1}, U_{n-a_n+2}, \dots, U_n)$ and $\eta_{r,n}$ may be called the r^{th} moving maxima.

Through this paper, we assume $\{a_n\}$ is non-decreasing and $a_n/n \sim b_n$, with b_n is non-decreasing as smooth condition. Moreover, we assume that $a_n/\log n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Also i.o and a.s mean infinitely often and almost surely. For any $\lambda > 0$, $[\lambda]$ stands for the greatest integer less than or equal to λ . With suffix or without, we represent constants N (integer) and C as positive.

Barndorff-Nielson [1] established

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(1 - M_{1,n}^*)}{\log \log n} = 1, \quad a.s. \quad (1)$$

The result in (1) is generalized by Rothman-Russo [2] with the conditions on (a_n) for certain classes, to moving maxima $\eta_{1,n}^*$. Using the smoothness conditions on (a_n) stated above, Vasudeva [9] has observed that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(1 - \eta_{1,n}^*)}{\beta_n} = 1, \quad a.s. \quad (2)$$

for $\beta_n = \log \frac{n}{a_n} + \log \log n$.

Bahram and Benchikh [3] established that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(1 - \eta_{1,n}^*)}{\beta_n(\alpha)} = 1, \quad a.s., \quad (3)$$

where $\beta_n(\alpha) = \log \frac{n}{a_n} + (1 - \alpha) \log \log a_n + \alpha \log \log n$ for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$.

In this paper, we establish Barndorff-Nielson's form of the L.I.L., for $\eta_{r,n}$, using the construction of Vasudeva and Moridani [4].

For our convenience, in extreme value theory C_1 and C_2 are represented as two major classes. We denote L.I.L., for $\{\eta_{r,n}\}$, which is normalized properly for d.f.s F and belongs to C_1 and C_2 . The class C_1 is for all d.f.s F with $-\log \bar{F} = x^\gamma L(x)$, with $x \rightarrow \infty$ for any constant $\gamma > 0$ and function $L(x)$ is a slowly varying. The distributions along Weibullian right tail (including Normal, Exponential, Gumbel etc.) are contained in this class. Following [5],

it is observed that distributions along Weibullian tail contain in domain for attraction in Gumbel law, for $0 < \gamma < 1$. Moreover, we observe that when F is Normal ($\gamma = 2$) or Exponential ($\gamma = 1$), $\{M_n\}$ converges properly normalized to Gumbell r.v [6]. The C_2 class is for all d.f.s along $\bar{F}(x) = x^{-\gamma}L(x)$, with $x \rightarrow \infty$, for any constant $\gamma > 0$ and function $L(x)$ is a slowly varying. Galambos [6] observed that the class C_2 of all d.f.s contains in the domain of attraction of Fréchet law. For $F \in C_1$, we define $U(x) = -\log(1 - F(x))$, $x > 0$. Also denoted is V as the inverse function of $U(x)$. If $U(x) = x^\gamma L(x)$, following [7], it is observed that for every functions $a(\cdot)$, $0 \neq a(x) \rightarrow 0$, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{V(x(1 + a(x))) - V(x)}{a(x)V(x)} \rightarrow \gamma^{-1} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4)$$

This follows that for x large enough, V is continuous and varying regularly along exponent γ^{-1} . For $F \in C_2$, we define $U^*(x) = 1 - F(x)$, $x > 0$. Also, we observe that $U^*(x) = x^{-\gamma}L(x)$, for any constant $\gamma > 0$ and function L is slowly varying. Suppose that the inverse of U^* is represented by V^* . Note that, $V^*(y) = y^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}l(\frac{1}{y})$, $0 < y \leq 1$ with l is varying slowly. Note that the functions $U^*(\cdot)$ and $V^*(\cdot)$ are decreasing. Recently, Vasudeva and Srilakshminarayana [8] established the following theorems.

Theorem 1. *Let $F \in C_1$. Then*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(\log a_n - \log \beta_n) \left(\frac{\eta_{r,n}}{V(\log a_n - \log \beta_n)} - 1 \right) = 0 \quad a.s.,$$

for $\beta_n = \log \frac{n}{a_n} + \log \log n$, with $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$.

Theorem 2. *Let $F \in C_2$. Then*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\eta_{r,n}}{V^*\left(\frac{\beta_n}{a_n}\right)} = 1 \quad a.s.,$$

for $\beta_n = \log \frac{n}{a_n} + \alpha \log \log n$, with $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$.

2. Main Results

Our main purpose in this paper is to extend the Vasudeva and Srilakshminarayana's theorem by using $\beta_n(\alpha) = \log \frac{n}{a_n} + (1 - \alpha) \log \log a_n + \alpha \log \log n$ for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$.

Theorem 3. Let $F \in C_1$. Then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(\log a_n - \log \beta_n(\alpha)) \left(\frac{\eta_{r,n}}{V(\log a_n - \log \beta_n(\alpha))} - 1 \right) = 0 \quad a.s.$$

Remark 2.1. Let us mention some particular cases:

1. For $a_n = n$ and $r = 1$, $\eta_{1,n}$ coincides with the partial maxima, i.e., with $M_{1,n}$. The L.L.I. in Theorem 3, reduces to

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(\log n - \log_3 n) \left(\frac{M_{r,n}}{V(\log n - \log_3 n)} - 1 \right) = 0 \quad a.s.,$$

where $\log_3 = \log \log \log n$.

2. If $\alpha = 1$, we have Theorem 1.

3. If $\alpha = 0$, we also have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\log a_n - \log \beta_n(0)) \left(\frac{\eta_{r,n}}{V(\log a_n - \log \beta_n(0))} - 1 \right) = 0 \quad a.s.,$$

where $\beta_n(0) = \log \frac{n}{a_n} + \log \log a_n$.

Theorem 4. Let $F \in C_2$. Then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\eta_{r,n}}{V^* \left(\frac{\beta_n(\alpha)}{a_n} \right)} = 1 \quad a.s.$$

Remark 2.2. 1. For $a_n = n$, the above theorem gives:

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_{r,n}}{V^* \left(\frac{\log \log n}{n} \right)} = 1 \quad a.s.$$

2. If $\alpha = 1$, we have Theorem 2.

3. If $\alpha = 0$, Theorem 4 also implies the following result

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\eta_{r,n}}{V^* \left(\frac{\beta_n(0)}{a_n} \right)} = 1 \quad a.s.$$

We need two lemmas to prove our results. We first present the following Borel-Cantelli lemma, which is presented by Barndorff-Nielsen [1].

Lemma 2.1. (See [1]) *Let $\{A_n\}$ be a sequence of events defined over a probability space such that $P(A_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n \cap A_{n+1}^c) < \infty$. Then, $P(A_n i.o.) = 0$.*

The following lemma is crucial from the context of this paper.

Lemma 2.2.

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n(1 - \eta_{r,n}^*)}{\beta_n(\alpha)} = 1 \quad a.s.,$$

for $\beta_n(\alpha) = \log \frac{n}{a_n} + (1 - \alpha) \log_2 a_n + \alpha \log_2 n$ with $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$.

Proof of Lemma 2.2. Equivalently, we show that for any given $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$P\left(\frac{a_n(1 - \eta_{r,n}^*)}{\beta_n(\alpha)} > 1 + \varepsilon \quad i.o.\right) = 0, \quad (5)$$

and

$$P\left(\frac{a_n(1 - \eta_{r,n}^*)}{\beta_n(\alpha)} > 1 - \varepsilon \quad i.o.\right) = 1. \quad (6)$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\frac{a_n(1 - \eta_{r,n}^*)}{\beta_n(\alpha)} > 1 + \varepsilon\right) &= P\left(\eta_{r,n}^* < 1 - \frac{\beta_n(\alpha)(1 + \varepsilon)}{a_n}\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{a_n}{j} \left((1 + \varepsilon) \frac{\beta_n(\alpha)}{a_n}\right)^j \left(1 - (1 + \varepsilon) \frac{\beta_n(\alpha)}{a_n}\right)^{a_n-j}. \end{aligned}$$

From the fact that $\frac{a_n}{\log n} \rightarrow \infty$, note that $\frac{\beta_n(\alpha)}{a_n} \rightarrow 0$ and $\left(1 - (1 + \varepsilon) \frac{\beta_n(\alpha)}{a_n}\right)^{a_n-j} \sim e^{-(1+\varepsilon)\beta_n(\alpha)}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $0 \leq j \leq r$. Consequently, for all $n \geq N_1$, and N_1 large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=0}^r \binom{a_n}{j} \left((1 + \varepsilon) \frac{\beta_n(\alpha)}{a_n}\right)^j \left(1 - (1 + \varepsilon) \frac{\beta_n(\alpha)}{a_n}\right)^{a_n-j} \\ &\leq 2\beta_n^r(\alpha) e^{-(1+\varepsilon)\beta_n(\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

In turn, for all $n \geq N_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\frac{a_n(1 - \eta_{r,n}^*)}{\beta_n(\alpha)} > 1 + \varepsilon\right) &\leq 2\beta_n^r(\alpha)e^{-(1+\varepsilon)\beta_n(\alpha)} \\ &= \frac{2\left(\log\left(\frac{n(\log n)^\alpha(\log a_n)^{(1-\alpha)}}{a_n}\right)\right)^r}{\left(\frac{n(\log n)^\alpha(\log a_n)^{(1-\alpha)}}{a_n}\right)^{1+\varepsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{\left(\log\left(\frac{n(\log n)^\alpha(\log a_n)^{(1-\alpha)}}{a_n}\right)\right)^r}{\left(\frac{n(\log n)^\alpha(\log a_n)^{(1-\alpha)}}{a_n}\right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we may get a $N_2(\geq N_1)$

such that for all $n \geq N_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\frac{a_n(1 - \eta_{r,n}^*)}{\beta_n(\alpha)} > 1 + \varepsilon\right) &\leq \left(\frac{a_n}{n(\log n)^\alpha(\log a_n)^{(1-\alpha)}}\right)^{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \\ &= \left(\frac{a_n}{n} \left(\left(\frac{\log n}{\log a_n}\right)^{1-\alpha} \frac{1}{\log n}\right)\right)^{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{a_n}{n} \left(\left(\frac{\log n}{\log a_n}\right) \frac{1}{\log n}\right)\right)^{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \\ &= \left(\frac{a_n}{n} \left(\left(\frac{\log n}{\log a_n}\right) \frac{1}{\log n}\right)\right)^{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \\ &= \left(\frac{a_n}{n \log a_n}\right)^{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Define $A_n = \left(\frac{a_n(1 - \eta_{r,n}^*)}{\beta_n(\alpha)} > 1 + \varepsilon\right)$. Remark that $P(A_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Observe that

$$A_n \cap A_{n+1}^c \subseteq \left(\eta_{r,n}^* < 1 - \frac{(1+\varepsilon)\beta_n(\alpha)}{a_n}\right) \cap \left(X_{n+1} > 1 - \frac{(1+\varepsilon)\beta_{n+1}(\alpha)}{a_{n+1}}\right).$$

Hence, for all $n \geq N_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} P(A_n \cap A_{n+1}^c) &= P(A_n)(1+\varepsilon)\frac{\beta_{n+1}(\alpha)}{a_{n+1}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{a_n}{n \log a_n}\right)^{(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{2})} (1+\varepsilon)\frac{\beta_{n+1}(\alpha)}{a_{n+1}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{a_n}{n \log a_n}\right)^{(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{2})} (1+\varepsilon)\frac{\beta_{n+1}}{a_{n+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

since $\frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \leq 1$. Let $u_n = \frac{n \log n}{a_n}$. Using $(n+1) \log(n+1) \leq 2n \log(n)$ for large n , we may get a N_3 and a c_1 with $\beta_{n+1} \leq c_1 \beta_n$ for all $n \geq N_3$. Consequently,

for $n \geq N_3$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(A_n \cap A_{n+1}^c) &\leq c_1 \left(\frac{a_n}{n \log a_n} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{4}} \frac{\beta_n}{n(\log a_n)^{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{4}}} \\
 &\leq c_1 \left(\frac{a_n}{n \log a_n} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{4}} \frac{\log u_n}{n(\log a_n)^{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{4}}} \\
 &= c_1 \left(\frac{a_n}{n \log n} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{4}} \left(\frac{\log n}{\log a_n} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{4}} \frac{\log u_n}{n(\log a_n)^{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{4}}} \\
 &= c_1 \frac{\log u_n}{u_n^{\frac{\varepsilon}{4}}} \left(\frac{\log n}{\log a_n} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{4}} \frac{1}{n(\log a_n)^{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{4}}} \\
 &= c_1 \frac{\log u_n}{u_n^{\frac{\varepsilon}{4}}} \left(\frac{\log n}{\log a_n} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{4}} \frac{1}{n(\log a_n)^{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{4}}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using $\frac{\log u_n}{u_n^{\frac{\varepsilon}{4}}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, one can find a N_4 such that for all $n \geq N_4$,

$$P(A_n \cap A_{n+1}^c) \leq c_3 \left(\frac{\log n}{\log a_n} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{4}} \frac{1}{n(\log a_n)^{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{4}}}.$$

Let $a_n = [n^p]$, $0 < p < 1$, one can find a N_5 such that for all $n \geq N_5$,

$$P(A_n \cap A_{n+1}^c) \leq c_4 \frac{1}{n(\log n)^{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{4}}}.$$

Consequently, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n \cap A_{n+1}^c) < \infty$. Recalling $P(A_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and applying Lemma 2.1, (5) is established. We now prove (6). From Bahram and Benchikh [3], we have

$$P \left(\frac{a_n(1 - \eta_{1,n}^*)}{\beta_n(\alpha)} > 1 - \varepsilon \right) = 0. \quad (8)$$

Since $\eta_{r,n}^* \leq \eta_{1,n}^*$, one can trivially see that

$$\frac{a_n(1 - \eta_{r,n})}{\beta_n(\alpha)} > \frac{a_n(1 - \eta_{1,n}^*)}{\beta_n(\alpha)}.$$

In turn, (8) implies (6).

3. Proofs of the Theorems

Given that (X_n) is a sequence of i.i.d. r.v.s. with a common continuous d.f. F define $U_n = F(X_n)$, $n \geq 1$, and observe that $\{U_n\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. Uniform $(0, 1)$ r.v.s. Recall that $\eta_{r,n}$ is the r^{th} maxima of X_{n-a_n+1}, \dots, X_n and that $\eta_{r,n}^*$ the r^{th} maxima of U_{n-a_n+1}, \dots, U_n . Note the relation $\eta_{r,n}^* = F(\eta_{r,n})$.

Proof of Theorem 3. We show that for $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$,

$$P \left(\gamma(\log a_n - \log \beta_n(\alpha)) \left(\frac{\eta_{r,n}}{V(\log a_n - \log \beta_n(\alpha))} - 1 \right) < \log \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \quad i.o. \right) = 0 \quad (9)$$

and

$$P \left(\gamma(\log a_n - \log \beta_n(\alpha)) \left(\frac{\eta_{r,n}}{V(\log a_n - \log \beta_n(\alpha))} - 1 \right) < \log \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \quad i.o. \right) = 1. \quad (10)$$

From Lemma 2.1 we have:

$$P \left(1 - \eta_{r,n}^* > \frac{\beta_n(\alpha)}{a_n} (1 + \varepsilon) \quad i.o. \right) = 0 \quad (11)$$

and

$$P \left(1 - \eta_{r,n}^* > \frac{\beta_n(\alpha)}{a_n} (1 - \varepsilon) \quad i.o. \right) = 1. \quad (12)$$

Using the same arguments as in Vasudeva and Moridani [4], we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \eta_{r,n}^* &> \frac{\beta_n(\alpha)}{a_n} (1 + \varepsilon) \\ \Leftrightarrow -\log(1 - F(\eta_{r,n})) &< -\log \left(\frac{\beta_n(\alpha)}{a_n} (1 + \varepsilon) \right) \\ \Leftrightarrow U(\eta_{r,n}) &< -\log \left(\frac{\beta_n(\alpha)}{a_n} (1 + \varepsilon) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Leftrightarrow \eta_{r,n} < V \left(-\log\left(\frac{\beta_n(\alpha)}{a_n}\right) + \log(1+\varepsilon)^{-1} \right) \\
&\Leftrightarrow \eta_{r,n} < V \left((\log a_n - \log \beta_n(\alpha)) \left(1 + \frac{\log(1+\varepsilon)^{-1}}{\log a_n - \log \beta_n(\alpha)} \right) \right) \\
&\Leftrightarrow \eta_{r,n} - V(\log(a_n/\beta_n(\alpha))) \\
&< V \left((\log(a_n/\beta_n(\alpha))) \left(1 + \frac{\log(1+\varepsilon)^{-1}}{\log a_n - \log \beta_n(\alpha)} \right) \right) \\
&- V(\log(a_n/\beta_n(\alpha))).
\end{aligned}$$

Using equation (4) one can find a $\delta > 0$ such that for all n large,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\eta_{r,n} - V(\log(a_n - \log \beta_n(\alpha))) \\
&< \gamma^{-1}(1-\delta) \frac{\log(1+\varepsilon)^{-1}}{\log a_n - \log \beta_n(\alpha)} V(\log a_n - \log \beta_n(\alpha)) \\
&\Leftrightarrow \left(\frac{\eta_{r,n}}{V(\log a_n - \log \beta_n(\alpha))} - 1 \right) < \gamma^{-1}(1-\delta) \frac{\log(1+\varepsilon)^{-1}}{\log a_n - \log \beta_n(\alpha)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Choose δ such that $(1-\delta)\log(1+\varepsilon)^{-1} = \log(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{2})^{-1}$ for n large. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
1 - \eta_{r,n}^* &> \frac{\beta_n(\alpha)}{a_n}(1+\varepsilon) \\
&\Leftrightarrow \left(\frac{\eta_{r,n}}{V(\log a_n - \log \beta_n(\alpha))} - 1 \right) < \gamma^{-1} \frac{\log(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{2})^{-1}}{\log a_n - \log \beta_n(\alpha)},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{or } \gamma(\log a_n - \log \beta_n(\alpha)) \left(\frac{\eta_{r,n}}{V(\log a_n - \log \beta_n(\alpha))} - 1 \right) < \log(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{2})^{-1}.$$

From (11), we hence have (9). Proceeding on similar lines one can show (10) from (12). The details are omitted.

Proof of Theorem 4. From Lemma 2.2, we have

$$P \left(1 - \eta_{r,n}^* > \frac{\beta_n(\alpha)}{a_n}(1+\varepsilon) \quad i.o. \right) = 0 \quad (13)$$

and

$$P \left(1 - \eta_{r,n}^* > \frac{\beta_n(\alpha)}{a_n}(1-\varepsilon) \quad i.o. \right) = 1. \quad (14)$$

Using the relations

$$\eta_{r,n}^* = F(\eta_{r,n}) \quad \text{and} \quad U^*(x) = 1 - F(x) = x^{-\gamma}L(x),$$

where L is slowly varying, from (13) we get

$$P\left(U^*(\eta_{r,n}) > \frac{\beta_n(\alpha)}{a_n}(1+\varepsilon)i.o.\right) = 0. \quad (15)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} U^*(\eta_{r,n}) > \frac{\beta_n(\alpha)}{a_n}(1+\varepsilon) &\Leftrightarrow V^*(U^*(\eta_{r,n})) < V^*\left(\frac{\beta_n(\alpha)}{a_n}(1+\varepsilon)\right) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \eta_{r,n} < a_n^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(\beta_n(\alpha)(1+\varepsilon))^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}l\left(\frac{a_n}{(\beta_n(\alpha)(1+\varepsilon))}\right) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \eta_{r,n} < \left(\frac{\beta_n(\alpha)}{a_n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}l\left(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}\frac{1}{\frac{\beta_n(\alpha)}{a_n}}\right)(1+\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \eta_{r,n} < V^*\left(\frac{\beta_n(\alpha)}{a_n}\right)(1+\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

$$P\left(\frac{\eta_{r,n}}{V^*\left(\frac{\beta_n(\alpha)}{a_n}\right)} < \frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \quad i.o.\right) = 0.$$

Similarly from (14) we get

$$P\left(\frac{\eta_{r,n}}{V^*\left(\frac{\beta_n(\alpha)}{a_n}\right)} > \frac{1}{(1-\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \quad i.o.\right) = 1.$$

Hence the theorem is proved.

References

- [1] O. Barnadoff-Nielsen, On the rate of growth of partial maxima of a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables, *Math. Scand.*, **9** (1963), 383-394.
- [2] M.D. Rothmann, P. Russo, Strong limiting bounds for a sequences of moving maxima, *Stat. Proba. Letters*, **11** (1991), 403-410.
- [3] A. Bahram, T. Benchikh, A result for law of the logarithm for moving maxima, *Int. J. Appl. Math.*, **22** (2009), 331-336.

- [4] R. Vasudeva, A.Y.Moridani, Kieffer's law of the iterated logarithm for the vector of upper statistics, *Probability Mathematical Statistics*, **31** (2011), 331-347.
- [5] A.G. Pakes, The number and sum of near-maxima for thin tailed populations, *Advanced in Applied Probability*, **32** (2000), 1100-1116.
- [6] J. Galambos, *The Asymptotic Theory of Extreme Order Statistics*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1st ed. (1978).
- [7] P. Hall, On the relative stability of large order statistics, *Mathematical Proc. Cambridge Philosophical Soc.*, **8** (1979), 467-475.
- [8] R. Vasudeva, G. Srilakshminarayana, Barndorff-Nielsen's form of the law of the iterated logarithm for r^{th} moving maxima, *Calcutta Statistical Association Bulletin* , **66** (2014), 261-272.
- [9] R. Vasudeva, Law of the iterated logarithm for moving maxima, *Indian J. Statistics, Ser. A*, **61** (1999), 166-173.

