

WEIGHTED COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON  
SEQUENCE SPACES OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

Kuldip Raj<sup>1 §</sup>, B.S. Komal<sup>2</sup>, Vinay Khosla<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Mathematics

Shri Mata Vaishno Devi University

Katra-182320, J&K, INDIA

e-mail: kuldipraj68@gmail.com, kuldeepraj68@rediffmail.com

<sup>2,3</sup>Department of Mathematics

University of Jammu, Jammu, INDIA

e-mail: bskomal2@yahoo.co.in

**Abstract:** In this paper we characterize the weighted composition operators on sequence spaces of entire functions and also make an effort to characterize compactness, closed range and invertibility of these operators.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 47B38, 46E30

**Key Words:** invertible operator, isometry, closed range

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let  $(X, T)$  be a Hausdorff locally convex space and  $U(x)$  be the fundamental system of balanced, convex and absorbing neighbourhoods of origin. For  $u \in U(x)$ , set  $p_u = \sup\{|f(x)| : f \in u^0\}$ , where  $u^0$  is the polar of  $u$ . Then  $p_u$  is a seminorm. Moreover,  $p_u = \inf\{\alpha > 0 : x \in \alpha u\}$ . Let  $D = \{p_u : u \in U(x)\}$ . Then  $D$  is the family of continuous seminorms generating the topology  $T$  on  $X$ . We denote by  $E(X)$ , the class of all sequences  $x = \{x_n\} \in X$  such that  $\{p_u(x_n)\}^{\frac{1}{n}}$  tends to zero as  $n$  tends to infinity for each  $u \in U(x)$ . For each  $x = \{x_n\} \in E(X)$  we define  $P_u(x) = \sup(p_u(x_n))^{\frac{1}{n}}$ . Then  $P_u$  satisfies the following properties:

---

Received: December 27, 2011

© 2012 Academic Publications

<sup>§</sup>Correspondence author

1.  $P_u(x) \geq 0$ ,
2.  $P_u(x + y) \leq P_u(x) + P_u(y)$ ,
3.  $P_u(\alpha x) \leq A(\alpha)P_u(x)$ ,  $x, y \in E(X)$ ,

where  $\alpha$  is a scalar and  $A(\alpha) = \max\{|\alpha|, 1\}$ . Srivastava [6] proved in his paper that  $P_u$  is a paranorm on  $E(X)$  and  $(E(X), P_u)$  is a paranormed space for each  $u \in U(X)$ . If we consider  $VP_u$  the supremum of the topologies induced by all paranorms  $P_u, u \in U(X)$ , then  $(E(X), VP_u)$  is a topological vector space. It is proved in [6] that if  $X$  is complete, then  $(E(X), VP_u)$  is also complete.

If the mapping  $u : N \rightarrow C$  and  $v : N \rightarrow N$  are such that  $u.f \circ v \in E(X)$ , then a weighted composition transformation  $m_{u,v} : E(X) \rightarrow E(X)$  is defined as  $m_{u,v}f = u.f \circ v$  for every  $f \in E(X)$ . In case  $m_{u,v}$  happens to be continuous, we name it as a weighted composition operator.

A linear transformation  $A$  defined on a locally convex space  $(X, T_P)$  where  $P$  is the family of seminorms on  $X$  is an isometry if for every  $p \in P$ ,  $p(Af) = p(f)$ , for every  $f \in X$ . For more details about sequence spaces one can refer to ([6],[7]) and references therein, whereas the study of weighted composition operators on some function spaces are considered by ([1],[2],[3],[4],[5]) etc. By  $B(E(X))$  we denote the set of all bounded linear operator from  $E(X)$  into itself.

In this paper we plan to study the weighted composition operators on sequence spaces of entire functions  $E(X)$ .

## 2. Main Results

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $m_{u,v} : E(X) \rightarrow E(X)$  be a linear transformation. Then  $m_{u,v}$  is continuous if and only if for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $b > 0$ ,  $M > 0$  such that*

1.  $\{n : \frac{n}{v(n)} > b\} \cap \{n : u(n) \geq \epsilon\}$  is a finite set,
2.  $|u(n)|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq M$  for every  $n \in N$ .

*Proof.* Assume first that  $m_{u,v}$  is continuous. If the condition (i) were false, there exist  $\epsilon > 0$  such that the set  $\{n : \frac{n}{v(n)} > k\} \cap \{n : u(n) \geq \epsilon\}$  is an infinite for each  $k \in N$ . Let  $\{n_k\}$  be an infinite sequence of natural numbers such that  $\frac{n_k}{v(n_k)} \geq k$  and  $u(n_k) \geq \epsilon$ . Suppose  $u \in U(X)$  and  $0 \neq y \in u$ . Take  $x = \frac{y}{p_u(y)}$ . Then  $p_u(x) = 1$ . Let  $f_{n_k} : N \rightarrow X$  be the function defined by  $f_{n_k} = R^{n_k}x\chi_{v(n_k)}$

where  $0 < R < 1$ . Now,

$$P_u(f_{n_k}) = (p_u(R^{n_k}x))^{\frac{1}{v(n_k)}} = R^{\frac{n_k}{v(n_k)}} \cdot (p_u(x))^{\frac{1}{v(n_k)}} \leq R^k \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let  $x \in X$  be such that  $x \in u$  for some  $u \in U(X)$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} P_u(m_{u,v}f_{n_k}) &= \sup\{(p_u(u(m)f_{n_k}(v(m))))^{\frac{1}{m}}, m \geq 1\} \\ &\geq (p_u(u(n_k)R^{n_k}x))^{\frac{1}{n_k}} \\ &= \epsilon^{\frac{1}{n_k}} \cdot R(p_u(x))^{\frac{1}{n_k}} \\ &\geq \epsilon R, \end{aligned}$$

this shows that  $m_{u,v}f_{n_k} \not\rightarrow 0$ , which is a contradiction. Hence the condition (i) must be true. Next if the condition (ii) were false, then for every  $k \in N$ , there exists  $n_k \in N$  such that  $u(n_k)^{\frac{1}{n_k}} > k$ . Let  $u \in U(X)$  be such that  $x \in u$  and  $p_u(x) = 1$ . Let  $f_{n_k} = \chi_{v(n_k)} \cdot \frac{x}{u(n_k)}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} P_u(f_{n_k}) &= \left[ p_u\left(\frac{x}{u(n_k)}\right) \right]^{\frac{1}{v(n_k)}} \\ &< \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{n_k}{v(n_k)}} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

But  $P_u(m_{u,v}f_{v(n_k)}) \geq (p_u(u(n_k) \cdot f_{v(n_k)}(v(n_k))))^{\frac{1}{n_k}} = (p_u(x))^{\frac{1}{n_k}}$  which  $\not\rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , which is again a contradiction. Hence the condition (ii) must be true.

Conversely, suppose that the condition (i) and (ii) are valid. We first prove that  $m_{u,v}$  is an into map. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Then for  $f \in E(X)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have  $(p_u(f(v_n)))^{\frac{1}{v(n)}} < \epsilon$  for all except finitely many values of  $n$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} (p_u(u(n)f(v(n))))^{\frac{1}{n}} &= |u(n)|^{\frac{1}{n}} (p_u(f(v_n))^{\frac{1}{v(n)}})^{\frac{v(n)}{n}} \\ &\leq M\epsilon^{\frac{1}{b}} \end{aligned}$$

for all but finitely many values of  $n$ . Hence  $u \cdot f \circ v \in E(X)$ . The continuity of  $m_{u,v}$  follows from the identity

$$\begin{aligned} p_u(m_{u,v}f) &= \sup\{(p_u(u(n)f(v(n))))^{\frac{1}{n}} : n \geq 1\} \\ &\leq MP_u(f \circ v) \\ &\leq M\delta P_u(f) \end{aligned}$$

for some  $\delta > 0$ . Hence  $m_{u,v}$  is continuous. □

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $m_{u,v} \in B(E(x))$ . Then  $m_{u,v}$  is invertible if and only if

1.  $v$  is invertible,
2.  $\{n : \frac{n}{v^{-1}(n)} \geq b\} \cap \{n : \frac{1}{uov^{-1}(n)} \geq \epsilon\}$  is a finite set,
3.  $|\frac{1}{uov^{-1}(n)}|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq M$  for every  $n$ .

*Proof.* We first assume that  $m_{u,v}$  is invertible with its continuous inverse  $G$ . For every  $n \in N$ ,  $(m_{u,v} \circ G)(e_n) = e_n = (G \circ m_{u,v})(e_n)$ , so that  $u.(Ge_n) \circ v = e_n$ , which implies that  $u(n) \neq 0$ . If  $v$  is not surjection, then  $m_{u,v}e_n = 0$  for every  $n \in N \setminus v(N)$  so that  $m_{u,v}$  has non-trivial kernel, which contradict the injectivity of  $m_{u,v}$ . Hence  $v$  must be surjective. Next if  $v$  is not an injection, then for two distinct positive integers  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ ,  $v(n_1) = v(n_2) = n_0$  (say). Now either  $u(n_1) = u(n_2)$  or  $u(n_1) \neq u(n_2)$ . In case  $u(n_1) = u(n_2)$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (m_{u,v}f)(n_1) &= u(n_1).f(v(n_1)) \\ &= u(n_2).f(v(n_2)) \\ &= (m_{u,v}f)(n_2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $g \in E(X)$  with  $g(n_1) \neq g(n_2)$  will not be in the range of  $m_{u,v}$ . Hence  $v$  must be injective. Further, in case  $u(n_1) \neq u(n_2)$ , we have  $\chi_{(n_1, n_2)}$  is not in the range of  $m_{u,v}$ . Hence  $v$  must be invertible. Set  $q = v^{-1}$  and  $p : N \rightarrow C$  be defined as  $p(n) = \frac{1}{u(q(n))}$ . Then  $G = m_{p,q}$ . By continuity of  $G$ ,  $\{n : \frac{n}{q(n)} > b\} \cap \{n : p(n) > \epsilon\}$  is a finite set and  $|\frac{1}{uov^{-1}(n)}|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq M$  for every  $n \in N$ .

Conversely, assume that the conditions of the theorem are true. Define the mapping  $p : N \rightarrow C$  and  $q : N \rightarrow N$  as  $p(n) = \frac{1}{u(v^{-1}(n))}$  and  $q(n) = v^{-1}(n)$  respectively for all  $n \in N$ . Then in view of Theorem 2.1,  $m_{p,q}$  is the continuous inverse of  $m_{u,v}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $m_{u,v} \in B(E(X))$ . Then  $m_{u,v}$  has closed range if and only if  $u^r$  is bounded away from zero on  $[Z(u)]'$ , where  $u^r(n) = |u(n)|^{\frac{1}{n}}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose first that the condition of the theorem is true. We prove that  $m_{u,v}$  has closed range. Let  $h \in E(X)$  be such that  $m_{u,v}h_n \rightarrow h$  for some sequence  $\{h_n\}$  in  $E(X)$ . Then for  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ , we have  $P_u(m_{u,v}h_n - m_{u,v}h_m) < \epsilon$  for all  $n, m > n_0$ . This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \sup_{[Z(u)]'} (p_u(h_n(v(k)) - h_m(v(k)))^{\frac{1}{k}} &\leq \sup(|u(k)|^{\frac{1}{k}} p_u(h_n(v(k))h_m(v(k)))^{\frac{1}{k}} \\ &\leq P_u(m_{u,v}h_n - m_{u,v}h_m) \\ &< \epsilon, \text{ for all } n, m \geq n_0. \end{aligned}$$

or  $[p_u(h_n(v(k)) - h_m(v(k)))^{\frac{1}{k}} < \frac{\epsilon}{\delta}$ . Therefore  $[p_u(h_n(v(k)) - h_m(v(k)))^{\frac{1}{v(k)}} \leq (\frac{\epsilon}{\delta})^{\frac{k}{v(v(k))}} < (\frac{\epsilon}{\delta})^b$ , for all  $n, m \geq n_0$  and for all  $k \in [Z(u)]'$ , where  $b = \inf\{\frac{k}{v(k)} : k \in [Z(u)]'\}$ , for each  $n \in N$ , define

$$g_n(k) = \begin{cases} h_n(k), & \text{if } k \in [Z(u)]' \cap T(N); \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $g_n \in E(X)$  for each  $n \in N$  and  $P_u(g_n - g_m) = \sup\{p_u(h_n(v(k)) - h_m(v(k))) : k \in [Z(u)]'\}^{\frac{1}{k}} < \epsilon$  for all  $n, m \geq n_0$ . This shows that  $\{g_n\}$  is a cauchy sequence in  $E(X)$ . Therefore there exists  $g \in E(X)$  such that  $g_n \rightarrow g$ . By continuity of  $m_{u,v}$ ,  $m_{u,v}g_n \rightarrow m_{u,v}g$ . Hence  $m_{u,v}g = h$ , so that  $h \in \text{ran } m_{u,v}$  which proves that  $m_{u,v}$  has closed range.

Conversely if  $u^r$  is not bounded away from zero on  $[Z(u)]'$ , then there exists a sequence  $\{n_k\}$  of positive integers such that  $|u(n_k)|^{\frac{1}{n_k}} = 0$ . Define  $h : N \rightarrow X$  as

$$h(m) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } m \notin \{n_k : k \in N\}; \\ |u(n_k)|x, & \text{if } m = n_k \text{ for some } k \in N. \end{cases}$$

Then  $(p_u(h(m)))^{\frac{1}{m}} = (p_u(|u(n_k)|x))^{\frac{1}{n_k}} = |u(n_k)|^{\frac{1}{n_k}} (p_u(x))^{\frac{1}{n_k}} \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  so that  $h \in E(X)$ . Let  $g_m = \sum_{k=1}^m e(v(n_k))$ . Then  $P_u(m_{u,v}g_m - h) = \sup\{(p_u(m_{u,v}g_m - h)(k))^{\frac{1}{k}}\} = 0$ . Hence  $h \in \text{ran } m_{u,v}$  so that  $\text{ran } m_{u,v}$  is closed.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $m_{u,v} \in B(E(X))$ . Then  $m_{u,v}$  is compact if and only if the set  $E_\epsilon = \{n \in N : |u(n)|^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq \epsilon\}$  is a finite set for each  $\epsilon > 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $m_{u,v}$  is a compact operator. We see that  $E_\epsilon$  is a finite set for each  $\epsilon > 0$ . If  $E_\epsilon$  is an infinite set, then we can choose a sequence  $\{n_k\}$  of infinitely many elements such that  $(u(n_k))^{\frac{1}{n_k}} \geq \epsilon$  and  $v(n_k) \in E_\epsilon$ . Now  $\{e_{n_k}x\}$  is a bounded sequence in  $E(X)$  and  $P_u(m_{u,v}e_{n_k}x - m_{u,v}e_{n_j}x) \geq \epsilon(p_u(x))^{\frac{1}{n_k}}$  which does not go to zero. This contradicts the continuity of  $m_{u,v}$ . Hence the condition of the theorem must be true.

Conversely, assume that  $E_\epsilon$  is a finite set for each  $\epsilon > 0$  so that  $0 < \epsilon < \sup |u(n)|^{\frac{1}{n}}$ . Let  $H = \{n : |u(n)|^{\frac{1}{n}} < \epsilon\}$  and  $G = \{n : |u(n)|^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq \epsilon\}$ . Then  $v(G) = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$ . Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a bounded sequence in  $E(X)$ . That is for each  $u \in U(X)$ , there exists  $m_u > 0$  such that  $p_u(f_n) \leq m_u$  for all  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . We can choose a positive integer  $n_0$  and a subsequence  $\{g_n\}$  of  $\{f_n\}$  such that  $\sup(p_u(g_n(p_i) - g_m(p_i)))^{\frac{1}{k}} < \frac{\epsilon}{3\|u\|}$ . Now if  $k \in G$ , then

$(p_u(u(k)g_n(v(k)) - u(k)g_m(v(k)))^{\frac{1}{k}} < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ . Further, if  $k \in H$ , then  $P_u(m_{u,v}g_n - m_{u,v}g_m) = \sup\{(p_u(u(k)g_n(v(k)) - u(k)g_m(v(k)))^{\frac{1}{k}} : k \geq 1\} \leq \epsilon(p_u(g_n(v(k)) - g_m(v(k)))^{\frac{1}{k}} < \epsilon$ . This shows that  $m_{u,v}$  is a compact operator.  $\square$

### References

- [1] J.W. Carlson, *Weighted Composition Operators on  $l^2$* , Ph.D. Thesis, Purdue Univ. (1985).
- [2] J.E. Jamison, M. Rajagopalan, Weighted composition operators on  $C(X, E)$ , *Journal of Operator Theory*, **19** (1988), 307-317.
- [3] H. Kamowitz, Compact weighted endomorphism of  $C(X)$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **83** (1981), 517-521.
- [4] B.S. Komal, K. Raj, S. Gupta, On operators of weighted substitution on the generalized spaces of entire functions – I, *Math. Today*, **XV** (1997), 3-10.
- [5] B.S. Komal, K. Raj, S. Gupta, Isometric and Fredholm substitution operators on the generalized spaces of entire functions, *Far East Journal of Math. Sci.*, Special Volume (1998), Part-I, 25-35.
- [6] J.K. Srivastava, Kothe and topological duals of generalized entire sequence space, *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics*, **17**, No. 3 (1986), 115-126.
- [7] J.K. Srivastava, B.K. Srivastava, Generalized sequence space  $C(X, P)$ , *Indian Journal Pure and Applied Math.*, **27**, No. 1 (1996), 73-84.